

Factors predisposing to conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy

John Griniatsos

1st Department of Surgery, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Correspondence to: John Griniatsos. Associate Professor of Surgery, 1st Department of Surgery, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Laiko Hospital, 17 Agiou Thoma str, GR 115-27, Goudi, Athens, Greece. Email: johngriniatsos@yahoo.com.

Abstract: The conversion of a laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) to an open procedure seems to be multifactorial, be affected by factors related to the patient, the gallbladder's pathology and the surgeon. Among the more than twenty parameters which have been studied as possible predisposing factors for conversion, current literature addresses that: a male patient, above 65 years old, with American Society of Anaesthesiology (ASA) score ≥ 3 , suffering from acute cholecystitis, with a thickened gallbladder's wall on preoperative CT and an impacted stone in infundibulum or Mirizzi syndrome as intraoperative finding, who was operated on more than 72 hours from the onset of symptoms, by a non-laparoscopic surgeon, represents the most likely candidate for conversion from LC to open surgery. The probability increases further in the presence of malnutrition and/or cirrhosis. The proposed predictive scores for conversion have not yet found worldwide acceptance and their use is limited to theoretical or training purposes. Even patients with maximum predictive score, do not have a 100% probability for conversion. An experienced surgeon can complete a difficult LC successfully in high-risk surgical patient using the subtotal LC. The need for conversion to laparotomy should be considered as neither a failure nor a complication, but as an attempt to be avoided intra- or post-operative complications. The present review attempts to present the most recent knowledge on the field "conversion from LC to open surgery".

Keywords: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC); conversion

Received: 05 January 2018; Accepted: 28 January 2018; Published: 10 February 2018.

doi: 10.21037/ales.2018.01.04

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales.2018.01.04>

Introduction

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) represents the "gold-standard" for the treatment of symptomatic gallstones disease, being the most common intra-abdominal operation performed in Western nations (1).

A conversion rate 5% to 10% has been reported on a nationwide basis (2). Depending on specific circumstances, a conversion can be characterized as either elective, which is defined as the surgeon's decision to resort a laparotomy (because of obscure anatomy or lack of progress of the laparoscopic procedure) before being forced to do so as a result of a major intraoperative complication or as enforced, when an intraoperative emergency such as uncontrollable bleeding or bile duct injury, occurs (3).

The most recognizable causes for conversion are:

obscure biliary anatomy, presence of dense pericholecystic adhesions, intraoperative bleeding, failure of the progression and suspicion of choledocholithiasis (4,5).

Several factors such as male gender, age greater than 65 years, diabetes mellitus, obesity, acute cholecystitis, gallbladder's wall thickness, previous abdominal surgery, cirrhosis, etc. have been studied as predisposing for conversion, but contradictory results have been published. Predictive scoring systems based on these factors (6-8), although did not gained worldwide acceptance, have been proposed as useful in selection of cases for residents training (3).

Discussion

Literature addresses that the factors predisposing to

conversion can be classified as patient related, disease related and surgeon related (3).

Patient related factors include age, gender, obesity, diabetes mellitus, previous upper abdominal surgery, presence of cirrhosis and several coexisting comorbidities.

As disease related predisposing factors have been proposed the recurrent episodes of biliary colic, the gallbladder's wall thickness, the acute cholecystitis as well as the presence of empyema or gangrenous cholecystitis, the biliary pancreatitis, the Mirizzi syndrom, the gallbladder's cancer and the presence of concomitant common bile duct (CBD) stones. Laboratory parameters such as, C reactive protein, white blood cell count, liver function tests (LFTs) and serum albumin have also been studied.

Finally, as surgeons related predisposing factors have been proposed the surgeon's experience and the development of serious intraoperative complications.

Patient related factors

Age and gender

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses (3,9-13) steadily disclosed male gender and patient's age above 65 years old as the two most recognizable predisposing factors for conversion. It has been proposed that inflammation and fibrosis were more extensive in men than in women causing difficult dissection at Calot's triangle during LC, fact predisposing to conversion (14). Particularly for elderly patients, it has been postulated that the longer the history of symptomatic gallstones disease, the more the delay in the presentation (15), thus; the more the untreated episodes of acute or even gangrenous cholecystitis, the higher the incidence of dense adhesions formation, the greater the incidence of anatomical difficulties and the higher the probability for a vulnerable dissection at Calot's triangle (16-18).

Obesity

Patients with an increased body weight have been reported to be especially prone to more severe inflammation or fibrosis of the gallbladder, making the dissection more difficult (19), while technical difficulties related to the trocars placement, the obscure anatomy because of the excessive intraperitoneal fat presence and the inability to retract the liver sufficiently, make obese patients prone to conversion. However, the role of obesity as a predisposing factor for conversion remains unclear. Other studies (20-22) disclosed body mass index (BMI) >30 as a predisposing factor for conversion, while others (23,24) state that as

the surgeon's experience increases and the laparoscopic instruments improve, obesity gradually becomes even less important and should not be considered as a predisposing factor for conversion any more.

Diabetes mellitus

In theory, poorly controlled diabetes causes autonomic and peripheral neuropathy; thus diabetic patients may not develop symptoms of gallstones until later in the course of the disease, fact which may lead to a delayed diagnosis and more severe inflammation, increasing the risk for conversion during the laparoscopic procedure (8). Whether diabetes represents a predisposing factor for conversion remains controversial, since other studies (10,25) favor its predisposing role, while others (11,26) are against it. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) plasma level >6 mg/dL has been proposed as a more predictive risk factor for conversion (27).

Previous upper abdominal surgery

Previous abdominal operations, particularly in the upper abdomen, are associated with an increased need for adhesiolysis, a prolong operating time and a higher conversion rate (3,10,28-31). However, previous upper abdominal surgery should not preclude LC as the first therapeutic option in symptomatic gallstones patients.

Cirrhosis

Cholelithiasis occurs twice as often in cirrhotic patients as in the general population. Since cirrhosis and compromised multiple organ function usually co-exist, while the hardness of the fibrotic liver and its increased vasculature secondary to portal hypertension with a high risk for bleeding, constitute major intraoperative difficulties, LC was considered as a contraindication in cirrhotic patients (32).

In 2003, Puggioni and Wong (33) enrolled 400 cirrhotic patients in a meta-analysis of the articles been published between 1993 and 2001. Among them, only 6 had been classified as Child-Pugh class C. The analysis found that compared to open cholecystectomy, LC in cirrhotic patients was associated with less intraoperative blood loss, decreased operative time and shorter postoperative hospital stay. The overall conversion rate was 7%. The authors concluded that although cirrhotic patients undergo cholecystectomy for more emergent reasons, LC can be safely and effectively applied, having advantages over open cholecystectomy and carrying an acceptable conversion rate.

In 2012, Machado (34) enrolled 1,310 cirrhotic patients

in a review of the articles been published between 1994 and 2011. Among them, 17 had been classified as Child-Pugh class C. The overall conversion rate was 4.5%, be increased up to 35% in Child-Pugh class C patients.

Currently, LC is not contraindicated in cirrhotic patients Child-Pugh class A and B, although a higher conversion rate should be expected. For cirrhotic patients Child-Pugh class C, the existing evidence is not enough for definite conclusions. However, for this particular subgroup of patients, open cholecystectomy still has an important role. Intensive preoperative optimization of the cirrhotic patient is mandatory prior to any laparoscopic or open approach.

Comorbid cardiopulmonary disease

It is well established that patients with American Society of Anaesthesiology (ASA) score 3,4 and 5, compared to them with ASA score 1 and 2 (35,36) as well as patients with comorbidities compared to low anesthetic risk ones (9,29), are at an increased—nearly double (35)—risk for conversion. Moreover, the positive pressure of pneumoperitoneum has adverse effect on the stroke volume and the cardiac index in patients with significant ischemic heart disease (37). The abdominal wall lift and the low pressure pneumoperitoneum techniques have been used to overpass the problem. Systematic reviews concluded that abdominal wall lift was not found to offer any advantage over pneumoperitoneum in low anesthetic risk patients (38), while the LC can be completed successfully using low pressure pneumoperitoneum in 90% of the patients, offering nothing to low anesthetic risk patients (39). Since the previously mentioned modifications of the laparoscopic technique have minimal effect on the conversion rate, all efforts should be focused in the preoperative optimization of the patient.

Other comorbidities

A nationwide study (2) disclosed a higher incidence of conversion rate among patients with malignancy as well as among psychiatric ones. Immunosuppression caused by the tumor itself or its therapy and conceal of the symptoms caused by the mental disorders themselves or the drugs used to treat them, delay the diagnosis, leading to more advanced stage of the disease at the time of diagnosis, causing more intraoperative technical difficulties, finally increasing the probability for conversion.

Disease related factors

Biliary colic

It was proposed that the breakpoint of more than 10

biliary colic attacks was a highly significant predictor for conversion (40). Based on only one high-bias risk trial, a systematic review (41) concluded that an early (within 24 from the diagnosis of the biliary colic) LC carries statistically significant lower conversion rate compared to the delayed one, (0% *vs.* 20% respectively, $P=0.017$) when multiple recurrences of the symptoms may have been occurred. Although the statistical significance was lost in the sequel systematic review (42), it also concluded that an early LC carries lower conversion rate compared to the delayed one (0% versus 17%, $P=0.07$) in cases of biliary colic.

Gallbladder's wall abnormalities

The terms “thickened gallbladder's wall” and “pericholecystic fluid” are the imaging findings unspecifically used in the literature to describe preoperatively terms such as acute cholecystitis, “complicated” cholecystitis and “difficult” gallbladder.

Since the proposed sonographic signs (e.g., wall thickness, wall striations, pericholecystic free fluid, local inflammatory fat changes) are neither sensitive nor specific enough to definitively diagnose acute cholecystitis (43), the accurate diagnosis of a thickened gallbladder's wall should be based on the CT findings. A thickened wall is defined as a smooth weakly enhancing thin inner layer consistent with inflamed or sloughed mucosa and a non-enhancing thick outer layer compatible with an oedematous loose connective tissue layer (44). Based on the preoperative CT findings, Fuks *et al.* (45) clearly stated that pericholecystic fluid was not significantly associated with conversion, the absence of gallbladder's wall enhancement was associated with gangrenous cholecystitis and only the presence of a gallstone in the infundibulum was associated with conversion. Maehira *et al.*, (46) determined the CT attenuation ratio of arterial phase (ARAP) to represent the degree of transient focal enhancement of the liver adjacent to the gallbladder. They found that an increased $ARAP \geq 1.5$ is a predictive factor for difficult LC and conversion.

The “difficult” gallbladder

The term “difficult” gallbladder is mainly based on intraoperative findings and is strongly depended on surgeons' skills to handle with a thickened gallbladder's wall (difficulties to grasp and retract the gallbladder, limitations in anatomic definitions, failures in dissection) (47), adhesions, concomitant choledocholithiasis or Mirizzi's syndrome (48). Thus, meta-analyses (10-12) and clinical studies (6,49-52) encountering the objective intraoperative

findings of a “difficult gallbladder” or a “thickened gallbladder’s wall” as possible parameters affecting conversion, logically concluded that a gallbladder’s wall of more than 5 (11,49), 6 (50) or 7 mm (51) predicts difficulty with anatomic exposure, predisposing to conversion. Bat (48) addressed severe adhesions at Calot’s triangle as the most serious problem among all difficult LC cases and their presence was directly related to the overall operative time, the conversion rate and the wound infection rate. Not surprisingly, difficult LC, particularly in the elderly, carries a 22% conversion rate, compared to only 2.5% in cases of chronic cholecystitis (53).

For this particular subgroup of patients, a modification of the LC, the subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SLC), was advocated as a safe and feasible alternative prior to conversion. By resecting either both the posterior and anterior walls of the gallbladder, usually starting from fundus in a retrograde manner, closing or not the remnant at the level of the gallbladder’s neck or Hartmann’s pouch and leaving the pouch behind or by excising most of the gallbladder’s anterior wall, leaving part of the posterior wall attached to the liver and closing or not the remained gallbladder’s stump, the conversion rates were significantly decreased (54,55). Systematic review (56) suggested that closure of the cystic duct, gallbladder remnant or both should be preferable since fewer bile leaks, less need for ERCP and less recurrent gallstones symptoms seemed to occur.

The recently published (57) 25-point definitions of a “difficult” gallbladder, potentially can become the foundation of a novel and universally accepted grading system of surgical difficulty during LC. It can possibly lead to the initiation of a multicenter clinical trial in the future that was lacking in the past owing to the absence of a gold standard frame of reference. Such a study will have far-reaching impacts in solving important clinical questions.

Acute cholecystitis

Acute cholecystitis is a severe inflammation accompanied by increased vascularity and dense adhesions that interfere with good visualization, whereas the thick-walled gallbladder is often shrunk and contracted. Therefore, the cystic duct becomes shortened and the gallbladder adherents to the CBD, making its grasp for retraction difficult and its dissection from the CBD unsafe (58). Because of the technical difficulties confronted during LC, acute cholecystitis represents a steadily predisposing factor for conversion in the majority of the studies (3,9,10,11,21,25,27,30,58). An untreated acute

cholecystitis may progress to emphysematous, gangrenous, emphysematous and perforated cholecystitis. Reports from national registries (59,60) disclosed that whenever any of the above happened, the conversion rate was increased by 3-fold, compared to the simple acute cholecystitis cases.

One of the factors found to affect the conversion rate, was the timing for cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis cases. A Cochrane review (61), outlined the benefits of LC within 7 days from the onset of symptoms, others (13) addressed that the conversion rate was significantly lower in patients who underwent LC within 96 hours from the onset of symptoms, while others (62,63), advocated LC within the “golden 72 hours” of symptoms duration. Despite the obvious benefits, the feasibility of performing LC within 72 hours is often questioned due to a multitude of factors such as the possible attempts by patients to self-medicate with which may result in the late recognition of the condition, and that in a substantial group of patients with significant co-morbidities is required time for adequate pre-operative assessment and optimization (64). Current evidence support that is beneficial for the patients an early, within 72 hours from the onset of symptoms, rather than an interval LC and it should be offered as a therapeutic option in all non-high surgical risk acute cholecystitis patients.

Mirizzi syndrome

Mirizzi syndrome is encountered in 0.3–3% of all LC (65). For accurate diagnosis of the disease a high index of suspicion is required, and it should be suspected in any case of empyema, mucocele or stone impaction in the infundibulum (66). Mirizzi syndrome was considered as a contraindication for laparoscopic approach (65), since it was carrying a conversion rate of up to 74% for Type I and up to 100% for Type II (67). Since the introduction of the SLC technique, the conversion rates decreased to 16% for Type I and to 28% for Type II (68), indicating that a successful laparoscopic management by using the SLC technique, avoiding the conversion, can be achieved in selected cases (66,68,69)

Gallbladder cancer

Gallbladder cancer is mainly an incidental diagnosis. In the vast majority of the patients is diagnosed postoperative on the histological examination and exceptionally rare constitutes an intraoperative finding during LC. The incidence of the disease has been reported as low as 0.05% in simple LC, increasing to 0.60% in converted LC (70) The 5% conversion

rate for cholecystectomies performed for benign diseases, increases to 58% in cases of malignancy (71). Study from USA (70) addressed that incidental gallbladder cancer should be suspected in female patients, older than 65 years old, with elevated alkaline phosphatase level. Study from Europe (72) stated that the disease should be suspected in older women, with history of cholecystitis without jaundice and intraoperative palpable mass in the gallbladder. Therefore, incidentally diagnosed gallbladder cancer represents a predisposing factor for conversion during LC.

Biliary pancreatitis

The most recent systematic review (73) addressed that in cases of mild acute biliary pancreatitis, LC should be offered as definitive treatment during the same admission of the patient and ideally within 7 days from the onset of symptoms. However, studies (74-76) comparing the conversion rate between the early and the delayed LC in mild acute biliary pancreatitis cases concluded that the time of the definitive operation did not influence it. Thus, mild acute biliary pancreatitis should not be considered as a predisposing factor for conversion.

Concomitant CBD stone(s)

Up to 14.7% of the patients with gallstones have concomitant choledocholithiasis (77), while its incidence has been reported as high as 43% in patients older than 80 years (16). However, the role of choledocholithiasis as a predisposing factor for conversion is debatable and contradictory results have been published (78).

For preoperatively known choledocholithiasis, preoperative ERCP followed by LC is the preferable treatment modality both in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas (79).

For patients with cholangitis, it is advisable firstly to drain the biliary obstruction by ERCP (80) or by percutaneous transhepatic cholangial drainage (81), followed by LC.

For intraoperatively discovered CBD stone(s), laparoscopic CBD exploration (LCBDE) can be offered to all patients, if local resources and surgical expertise is available (82,83). However, only in 30% of the patients, the LCBDE, was offered (79).

Systematic review (84) and meta-analyses (85,86) concluded that the single-stage approach (LC + LCBDE) has similar and comparable results to the two-stage approach (ERCP + LC) in terms of CBD clearance, postoperative morbidity and incidence of retained stones. Based on the above, current literature addresses that gallstones and

concomitant choledocholithiasis can be successfully treated by endoscopic and/or laparoscopic approach in one- or two-stage approach and only exceptionally CBD stones can be considered as predisposing factors for conversion.

Laboratory parameters

C reactive protein (CRP)

Elevated CRP plasma levels reflect the severity of an inflammation and are used for the estimation of the inflammation process in acute cholecystitis cases. Mok *et al.* (87) disclosed that plasma CRP level >200 mg/dL has 100% sensitivity, 87.9% specificity and 100% negative predictive value for gangrenous cholecystitis, proposing CRP >200 mg/dL as an indicator for early/urgent operation. In retrospective studies, multivariate analyses among several factors including CRP, disclosed that CRP >165 mg/dL (88) or CRP >220 mg/dL (89) was significantly associated to conversion. However, aggregation of CRP with other parameters in several predictive scoring systems concluded that CRP either predicted the patients that should be considered as high risk for conversion (88,89) or was unrelated to the rate of conversion or the rate of complication (90). CRP may reflect the severity of the inflammation in acute cholecystitis and the consequences it causes, but only indirectly can be considered as a predisposing factor for conversion.

White blood cell count (WBC)

WBC represents one of the most exhaustively investigated factor which might affect the conversion rate. We should mention however, that the methodology of the studies was not homogenous, since other authors chose as cut-off level the 9×10^3 cells/mm³ (4), while others set the cut-off level in 10×10^3 (27), 11×10^3 (91), or 12×10^3 (15,51). Other studies (4,21,27,51,91) disclosed elevated WBC as an independent predisposing for conversion factor, while others (5,20,58,92,93) concluded that the levels of WBC were unrelated to the conversion. Currently, the only available evidence-based result is coming from the meta-analysis of Rothman *et al.*, (11) who claimed that WBC was not associated to the conversion.

Liver function tests (LFTs)

Total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and γ -glutamyltransferase (γ GT) have been studied as factors predisposing to conversion. For bilirubin, other studies (20,59,93) did not conclude in any association, while others

(94,95) found that an increased bilirubin can increase the risk for conversion up to three-fold. For alkaline phosphatase, the majority of the studies (20,52,59,93,94) did not find any association, while others (4,21,92) disclosed ALP as a predisposing factor for conversion. Finally, γ GT has been disclosed as a strong predisposing factor for conversion (96). In a prediction model (97), in which duration of LC for more than 60min was encountered as a “difficult” one, fact indirectly predisposing to conversion, LFTs was disclosed as an independent predisposing factor for conversion. However, other authors (20,78) did not support this finding. The role of LFTs in conversion should be investigated further.

Serum albumin

Severe inflammation, as in cases of acute cholecystitis, results in decreased albumin synthesis, while hypoalbuminemia can also be the result of protein-calorie malnutrition or reduced hepatic synthetic secondary to cirrhosis or other hepatic diseases (95). Since, low serum albumin level has been shown to predict postoperative complications in general, hypoalbuminemia has been studied as a risk factor for conversion and several reports (21,95,96) disclosed it as a strong and independent variance for conversion.

Surgeon's related factors

Surgeons experience

Studies from Western countries (98-101) clearly stated that in general, the conversions rates are lower among the well-trained high-volume laparoscopic surgeons, compared either to the general surgeons or to the inexperienced laparoscopic ones. On the other hand, the finding of increased conversion rates among the more experienced surgeons (102,103), probably reflects the fact that more likely an experienced surgeon will be involved in a difficult LC in high risk surgical patients: patients who otherwise might had been treated either open from the beginning or might had been converted at an earlier stage of the procedure by less experienced surgeons.

From the technical point of view, Tang *et al.* (104) identified the dissection at Calot's triangle as the task in which most errors were committed (the hazard zone of LC) and 97% of these errors were related to surgeon's visual perceptual illusion. They also observed that the use of different dissecting instruments was associated with different error probabilities. However, surgical experience leads to standardization of the method, fact probably affecting the conversion rates (101). Based on the above,

it is postulated that well-structured educational models can guarantee high safety profile (102). Early resident involvement in laparoscopic procedures (105), as well as advanced laparoscopic fellowship training, decrease conversion rates of LC for acute cholecystitis (106,107) thus the patients experienced shorter hospitalizations, fact which may influence the overall morbidity and the cost (97,105).

Serious intraoperative complications

The most common intraoperative complication leading to conversion is the intraoperative bleeding, followed by the suspicion for bile duct injury (53). Complications such as duodenal injury, life-threatening intraabdominal bleeding from puncture of the inferior vena cava or the external iliac artery by a trocar, injury to the right portal vein branches, uncontrollable bleeding from the liver bed and small bowel injury caused by the blind insertion of the umbilical trocar, have been described as factors which can enforce a surgeon to convert the LC (58,108).

Conclusions

The conversion of a LC to an open procedure seems to be multifactorial, be affected by factors related to the patient, the gallbladder's pathology and the surgeon.

Among the more than twenty parameters which have been studied as possible predisposing factors for conversion, current literature addresses that: a male patient, above 65 years old, with ASA score ≥ 3 , suffering from acute cholecystitis, with a thickened gallbladder's wall on preoperative CT and an impacted stone in infundibulum or Mirizzi syndrome as intraoperative finding, who was operated on more than 72 hours from the onset of symptoms, by a non-laparoscopic surgeon, represents the most likely candidate for conversion from LC to open surgery. The probability increases further in the presence of malnutrition and/or cirrhosis. The proposed predictive scores for conversion have not yet found worldwide acceptance and their use is limited to theoretical or training purposes. Even patients with maximum predictive score, do not have a 100% probability for conversion. An experienced surgeon can complete a difficult LC successfully in high-risk surgical patient using the subtotal LC.

The need for conversion to laparotomy should be considered as neither a failure nor a complication, but as an attempt to be avoided intra- or post-operative complications. The present review attempts to present the most recent knowledge on the field “conversion from LC to open surgery”.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales.2018.01.04>). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Gaillard M, Tranchart H, Lainas P, et al. New minimally invasive approaches for cholecystectomy: review of the literature. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2015;7:243-8.
2. Livingston EH, Rege RV. A nationwide study of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg* 2004;188:205-11.
3. Tang B, Cuschieri A. Conversions during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: risk factors and effects on patient outcome. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2006;10:1081-91.
4. Sultan AM, el Nakeeb A, Elsehawy T, et al. Risk factors for conversion during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: retrospective analysis of ten years' experience at a single tertiary referral centre. *Dig Surg* 2013;30:51-5.
5. Zhang WJ, Li JM, Wu GZ, et al. Risk factors affecting conversion in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *ANZ J Surg* 2008;78:973-6.
6. Onoe S, Maeda A, Takayama Y, et al. A preoperative predictive scoring system to predict the ability to achieve the critical view of safety during laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *HPB* 2017;19:406-10.
7. Bourgouin S, Mancini J, Monchal T, et al. How to predict difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy? Proposal for a simple preoperative scoring system. *Am J Surg* 2016;212:873-81.
8. Wevers KP, van Westreenen HL, Patijin GA. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis: C-reactive protein level combined with age predicts conversion. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2013;23:163-6.
9. Kanakala V, Borowski DW, Pellen MG, et al. Risk factors in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a multivariate analysis. *Int J Surg* 2011;9:318-23.
10. Yang TF, Guo L, Wang Q. Evaluation of preoperative risk factor for converting laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: a meta-analysis. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2014;61:958-65.
11. Philip Rothman J, Burcharth J, Pommergaard HC, et al. Preoperative risk factors for conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery - A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Dig Surg* 2016;33:414-23.
12. Sutcliffe RP, Hollyman M, Hodson J, et al. Preoperative risk factors for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: a validated risk score derived from a prospective UK database of 8820 patients. *HPB* 2016;18:922-8.
13. Inoue K, Ueno T, Douchi D, et al. Risk factors for difficulty of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in grade II acute cholecystitis according to the Tokyo guidelines 2013. *BMC Surg* 2017;17:114.
14. Yol S, Kartal A, Vatansev C, et al. Sex as a factor in conversion from laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery. *JSLs* 2006;10:359-63.
15. Kanaan SA, Murayama KM, Merriam LT, et al. Risk factors for conversion of laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *J Surg Res* 2002;106:20-4.
16. Brunt LM, Quasebarth MA, Dunnegan DL, et al. Outcomes analysis of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the extremely elderly. *Surg Endosc* 2001;15:700-5.
17. Merriam LT, Kanaan SA, Dawes LG, et al. Gangrenous cholecystitis: analysis of risk factors and experience with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surgery* 1999;126:680-5; discussion 685-6.
18. Laycock WS, Siewers AE, Birkmeyer CM, et al. Variation in the use of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for elderly patients with acute cholecystitis. *Arch Surg* 2000;135:457-62.
19. Giger UF, Michel JM, Opitz I, et al. Risk factors for perioperative complications in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy: analysis of 22,953

- consecutive cases from the Swiss Association of Laparoscopic and Thoracoscopic Surgery database. *J Am Coll Surg* 2006;203:723-8.
20. Goonawardena J, Gunnarsson R, De Costa A. Predicting conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy presented as a probability nomogram based on preoperative patient risk factors. *Am J Surg* 2015;210:492-500.
 21. Sippey M, Grzybowski M, Manwaring ML, et al. Acute cholecystitis: risk factors for conversion to an open procedure. *J Surg Res* 2015;199:357-61.
 22. Farkas DT, Moradi D, Moaddel D, et al. The impact of body mass index on outcome after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2012;26:964-9.
 23. Tiong L, Oh J. Safety and efficacy of a laparoscopic cholecystectomy on the morbid and super obese patients. *HPB* 2015;17:600-4.
 24. Neylan CJ, Damrauer SM, Kelz RR, et al. The role of Body Mass Index in cholecystectomy after acute cholecystitis: an American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program analysis. *Surgery* 2016;160:699-707.
 25. Bouassida M, Chtourou MF, Charrada H, et al. The severity grading of acute cholecystitis following the Tokyo guidelines is the most powerful predictive factor for conversion from laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open cholecystectomy. *J Visc Surg* 2017;154:239-43.
 26. Ho HS, Mathiesen KA, Wolfe BM. The impact of laparoscopic cholecystectomy on the treatment of symptomatic cholelithiasis. *Surg Endosc* 1996;10:746-50.
 27. Ibrahim S, Hean TK, Ho LS, et al. Risk factors for conversion to open surgery in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *World J Surg* 2006;30:1698-704.
 28. El Nakeeb A, Mahdy Y, Salem A, et al. Open cholecystectomy has a place in the laparoscopic era: a retrospective cohort study. *Indian J Surg* 2017;79:437-43.
 29. Goh JC, Tan JK, Lim JW, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: an analysis of early versus delayed cholecystectomy and predictive factors for conversion. *Minerva Chir* 2017;72:455-63.
 30. Ashfaq A, Ahmadieh K, Shah AA, et al. The difficult gallbladder: outcomes following laparoscopic cholecystectomy and the need for open conversion. *Am J Surg* 2016;212:1261-4.
 31. Karayiannakis AJ, Polychronidis A, Perente S, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with previous upper or lower abdominal surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2004;18:97-101.
 32. Bessa SS, Abdel-Razek AH, Sharaan MA, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in cirrhosis: A prospective randomized study comparing the conventional diathermy and the harmonic scalpel for gallbladder dissection. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2011;21:1-5.
 33. Puggioni A, Wong LL. A metaanalysis of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with cirrhosis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2003;197:921-6.
 34. Machado NO. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in cirrhotics. *JSLs* 2012;16:392-400.
 35. Harboe KM, Bardram L. The quality of cholecystectomy in Denmark: outcome and risk factors for 20,307 patients from the national database. *Surg Endosc* 2011;25:1630-41.
 36. Loozen CS, van Ramshorst B, van Santvoort HC, et al. Acute cholecystitis in elderly patients: a case for early cholecystectomy. *J Visc Surg* 2017;17:30113
 37. Alijani A, Hanna GB, Cuschieri A. Abdominal wall lift versus positive-pressure capnoperitoneum for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 2004;239:388-94.
 38. Gurusamy KS, Koti R, Samraj K, et al. Abdominal lift for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;16:CD006574.
 39. Gurusamy KS, Vaughan J, Davidson BR. Low pressure versus standard pressure pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;18:CD006930.
 40. Sanabria JR, Gallinger S, Croxford R, et al. Risk factors in elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy for conversion to open cholecystectomy. *J Am Coll Surg* 1994;179:696-704.
 41. Gurusamy KS, Samraj K, Fusai G, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for biliary colic. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;4:CD007196.
 42. Gurusamy KS, Koti R, Fusai G, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for uncomplicated biliary colic. *Cochrane database Syst rev* 2013;6:CD007196.
 43. Shapira-Rootman M, Mahamid A, Reindorp N, et al. Sonographic diagnosis of complicated cholecystitis. *J Ultrasound Med* 2015;34:2231-6.
 44. Mathur M, Singh J, Singh DP, et al. Imaging evaluation of enhancement patterns of flat gallbladder wall thickening and its correlation with clinical and histopathological findings. *J Clin Diag Res* 2017;11:7-11.
 45. Fuks D, Mouly C, Robert B, et al. Acute cholecystitis: preoperative CT can help the surgeon consider conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Radiology* 2012;263:128-38.
 46. Maehira H, Kawasaki M, Itoh A, et al. Prediction of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute

- cholecystitis. *J Surg Res* 2017;216:143-8.
47. Tuveri M, Tuveri A. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: complications and conversions with the 3-trocar technique. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2007;17:380-4.
 48. Bat O. The analysis of 146 patients with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015;8:16127-31.
 49. Kania D. Ultrasound measurement of the gallbladder wall thickness in the assessment of the risk of conversion from elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery - Olkusz county experience. *Pol Przegl Chir* 2016;88:334-45.
 50. Izquierdo YE, Diaz Diaz NE, Munoz N, et al. Preoperative factors associated with technical difficulties of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. *Radiologia* 2018;60:57-63.
 51. Domínguez LC, Rivera A, Bermúdez C, et al. Analysis of factors for conversion of laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: a prospective study of 703 patients with acute cholecystitis. *Cir Esp* 2011;89:300-6.
 52. Raman SR, Moradi D, Samaan BM, et al. The degree of gallbladder wall thickness and its impact on outcomes after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2012;26:3174-9.
 53. Bingener J, Richards ML, Schwesinger WH, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for elderly patients: gold standard for golden years? *Arch Surg* 2003;138:531-5; discussion 535-6.
 54. Abdelrahim WE, Elsidding KE, Wahab AA, et al. Subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy influences the rate of conversion in patients with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Case series. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)* 2017;19:19-22.
 55. Elshaer M, Gravante G, Thomas K, et al. Subtotal cholecystectomy for "difficult gallbladders": systematic review and meta-analysis *JAMA Surg* 2015;150:159-68.
 56. Henneman D, da Costa W, Vrouenraets BC, et al. Laparoscopic partial cholecystectomy for the difficult gallbladder: a systematic review. *Surg Endosc* 2013;27:351-8.
 57. Iwashita Y, Hibi T, Ohyama T, et al. An opportunity in difficulty: Japan-Korea-Taiwan expert Delphi consensus on surgical difficulty during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2017;24:191-8.
 58. Kama NA, Doganay M, Dolapci M, et al. Risk factors resulting in conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2001;15:965-8.
 59. Ambe PC, Jansen S, Macher-Heidrich S, et al. Surgical management of emphysematous cholecystitis: a register study of over 12,000 cases from a regional quality control database in Germany. *Surg Endosc* 2016;30:5319-24.
 60. Jansen S, Doemer J, Macher-Heidrich S, et al. Outcome of acute perforated cholecystitis: a register study of over 5,000 cases from a quality control database in Germany. *Surg Endosc* 2017;31:1896-900.
 61. Gurusamy KS, Davidson C, Gluud C, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for people with acute cholecystitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;6:CD005440.
 62. González-Rodríguez FJ, Paredes-Cotore JP, Ponton C, et al. Early or delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis? Conclusions of a controlled trial. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2009;56:11-6.
 63. Zhu B, Zhang Z, Wang Y, et al. Comparison of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis within and beyond 72h of symptom onset during emergency admissions. *World J Surg* 2012;36:2654-8.
 64. Tan JKH, Goh JCI, Lim JWL, et al. Same admission laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: is the "golden 72 hours" rule still relevant? *HPB* 2017;19:47-51.
 65. Lai EC, Lau WV. Mirizzi syndrome: history, present and future development. *ANZ J Surg* 2006;76:251-7.
 66. Rohatgi A, Singh KK. Mirizzi syndrome: Laparoscopic management by subtotal cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2006;20:1477-81.
 67. Schäfer M, Schnetter R, Krahenbuhl L. Incidence and management of Mirizzi syndrome during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2003;17:1186-90; discussion 1191-2.
 68. Lledó JB, Barber SM, Ibanez JC, et al. Update on the diagnosis and treatment of Mirizzi syndrome in laparoscopic era: our experience in 7 years. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2014;24:495-501.
 69. Koike D, Suka V, Nagai M, et al. Laparoscopic management of Mirizzi syndrome without dissection of Calot's triangle. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2017;27:141-5.
 70. Pitt SC, Jin LX, Hall BL, et al. Incidental gallbladder cancer at cholecystectomy; when should the surgeon be suspicious? *Ann Surg* 2014;260:128-33.
 71. Dorobisz T, Dorobisz K, Chabowski M, et al. Incidental gallbladder cancer after cholecystectomy: 1990-2014. *Onco Targets Ther* 2016;9:4913-6.
 72. Muszynska C, Lundgren L, Lindell G, et al. Predictors of incidental gallbladder cancer in patients undergoing cholecystectomy for benign gallbladder disease: results from a population-based gallstone surgery registry. *Surgery* 2017;162:256-63.
 73. Gurusamy KS, Nagendran M, Davidson BR. Early

- versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute gallstone pancreatitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;9:CD010326.
74. Aksoy F, Demiral G, Ekinçi O. Can the time of laparoscopic cholecystectomy after biliary pancreatitis change the conversion rate to open surgery? *Asian J Surg* 2017;doi:10.1016/j.asjsur.2017.02.001 [In press].
 75. Jee SL, Jarmin R, Lim KF, Raman K. Outcomes of early versus delayed cholecystectomy in patients with mild to moderate acute biliary pancreatitis: a randomized prospective study. *Asian J Surg* 2018;41:47-54
 76. Navarro-Sanchez A, Ashrafian H, Laliotis A, et al. Single-stage laparoscopic management of acute gallstone pancreatitis: outcomes at different timings. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2016;15:297-301.
 77. Shojaiefard A, Asmaeilzadeh M, Ghafouri A, et al. Various techniques for the surgical treatment of common bile duct stones: a meta review. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2009;2009:840208.
 78. Hu ASY, Menon R, Gunnarsson R, et al. Risk factors for conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery: A systematic literature review of 30 studies. *Am J Surg* 2017;214:920-30.
 79. Petelin JB. Laparoscopic common bile duct exploration. *Surg Endosc* 2003;17:1705-1715.
 80. Gupta N. Role of laparoscopic common bile duct exploration in the management of choledocholithiasis. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2016;8:376-81.
 81. Sun Z, Zhu Y, Zhu B, et al. Controversy and progress for treatment of acute cholangitis after Tokyo Guidelines (TG13). *Biosci trends* 2016;10:22-6.
 82. Gurusamy KS, Koti R, Davidson BR. T-tube drainage versus primary closure after laparoscopic common bile duct exploration. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;6:CD005641.
 83. Martin DJ, Vernon DR, Touli J. Surgical versus endoscopic treatment of bile duct stones. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006;2:CD003327.
 84. Dasari BV, Tan CJ, Gurusamy KS, et al. Surgical versus endoscopic treatment of bile duct stones. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(9):CD003327.
 85. Nagaraja V, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Systematic review and meta-analysis of minimally invasive techniques for the management of cholecysto-choledocholithiasis. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2014;21:896-901.
 86. Zhu HY, Xu M, Shen HJ, et al. A meta-analysis of single-stage versus two-stage management for concomitant gallstones and common bile duct stones. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2015;39:584-93.
 87. Mok KW, Reddy R, Wood F, et al. Is C-reactive protein a useful adjunct in selecting patients for emergency cholecystectomy by predicting severe/gangrenous cholecystitis? *Int J Surg* 2014;12:649-53.
 88. Wevers KP, van Westreenen HL, Patijn GA. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis: C-reactive protein level combined with age predicts conversion. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2013;23:163-6.
 89. Jessica Mok KW, Goh YL, et al. Is C-reactive protein the single most useful predictor of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy or its conversion? A pilot study. *J Minim Access Surg* 2016;12:26-32.
 90. Ambe PC, Papadakis M, Zirngibl H. A proposal for a preoperative clinical scoring system for acute cholecystitis. *J Surg Res* 2016;200:473-9.
 91. Alponat A, Kum CK, Koh BC, et al. Predictive factors for conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *World J Surg* 1997;21:629-33.
 92. Licciardello A, Arena M, Nicosia A, et al. Preoperative risk factors for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2014;18:60-8.
 93. Ercan M, Bostanci EB, Teke Z, et al. Predictive factors for conversion to open surgery in patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2010;20:427-34.
 94. Gholipour C, Fakhree MBA, Shalchi RA, et al. Prediction of conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery with artificial neural networks. *BMC Surg* 2009;9:13
 95. Lipman JM, Claridge JA, Haridas M, et al. Preoperative findings predict conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Surgery* 2007;142:556-63.
 96. Ishizuka M, Shibuya N, Shimoda M, et al. Preoperative hypoalbuminemia is an independent risk factor for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy in patients with cholecystolithiasis. *Asian J Endosc Surg* 2016;9:275-80.
 97. Lowndes B, Thiels CA, Habermann EB, et al. Impact of patient factors on operative duration during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: evaluation from the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program database. *Am J Surg* 2016;212:289-96.
 98. Kortram K, Reinders JS, van Ramshorst B, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis should be performed by a laparoscopic surgeon. *Surg Endosc* 2010;24:2206-9.
 99. Sakpal SV, Bindra SS, Chamberlain RS. Laparoscopic

- cholecystectomy conversion rates two decades later. *JSL* 2010;14:476-83.
100. Coffin SJ, Wrenn SM, Callas PW, et al. Three decades later: investigating the rate of and risks for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2018;32:923-9.
 101. Andrews S. Does concentration of surgical expertise improve outcomes for laparoscopic cholecystectomy? 9 year audit cycle. *Surgeon* 2013;11:309-12.
 102. Bresadola V, Pravisani R, Pighin M, et al. Clinical strategies to aim an adequate safety profile for patients and effective training for surgical residents: The laparoscopic cholecystectomy model. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)* 2016;11:58-61.
 103. Rothman JP, Burcharth J, Pommergaard HC, et al. Less surgical experience has no impact on mortality and morbidity after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a prospective cohort analysis. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2015;25:492-5.
 104. Tang B, Hanna GB, Joice P, et al. Identification and categorization of technical errors by Observational Clinical Human Reliability Assessment (OCHRA) during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Arch Surg* 2004;139:1215-20.
 105. Souadka A, Naya MS, Serji B, et al. Impact of seniority on operative time and short-term outcome in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Experience of an academic Surgical Department in a developing country. *J Minim Access Surg* 2017;13:131-4.
 106. Bohacek L, Pace DE. Advanced laparoscopic training and outcomes in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Can J Surg* 2009;52:291-4.
 107. Abelson JS, Afaneh C, Rich BS, et al. Advanced laparoscopic fellowship training decreases conversion rates during laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute biliary diseases: A retrospective cohort study. *Int J Surg* 2015;13:221-6.
 108. Fried GM, Barkun JS, Sigman HH, et al. Factors determining conversion to laparotomy in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg* 1994;167:35-9; discussion 39-41.

doi: 10.21037/ales.2018.01.04

Cite this article as: Griniatsos J. Factors predisposing to conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Ann Laparosc Endosc Surg* 2018;3:12.