

The ultimate prognosis for face allotransplantation: fantasy or reality?

Geoffrey G. Hallock

Division of Plastic Surgery, Sacred Heart Hospital, Allentown, Pennsylvania, USA

Correspondence to: Geoffrey G. Hallock, MD. 1230 South Cedar Crest Boulevard, Suite 306, Allentown, Pennsylvania 18103, USA. Email: gghallock@hotmail.com.

Comment on: Lantieri L, Grimbert P, Ortonne N, et al. Face transplant: long-term follow-up and results of a prospective open study. Lancet 2016;388:1398-407.

Received: 01 November 2016; Accepted: 06 December 2016; Published: 21 February 2017.

doi: 10.21037/jxym.2017.01.05

View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jxym.2017.01.05

In spite of our sometimes impressive egos, all reconstructive surgeons are quite aware that we are limited in our abilities to completely restore the normal appearance of all those disfigured, in spite of the expectation or perhaps fantasy of the layperson that we are somehow magicians that can transform even the most grotesque into a beauty to behold. Tissue engineering has been suggested as a better means to manufacture any necessary body parts, but quite frankly, little pragmatic progress has occurred in the past decade. For this reason, vascularized composite allotransplantation (VCA) may at the present be the more practical solution for those clinical challenges that cannot be solved with traditional procedures. This extraordinary advance to date has not been without some ethical concern, as Marchac et al. (1) pointed out that all articles on this subject before 2002 categorically stated that face transplantation was not ethically justifiable; but since 2008 these concerns have been counterbalanced by a recognition of potential benefits for some patients.

The prospective, long-term follow-up and summary of results by Lantieri *et al.* (2) was intended not to just document their personal observations on facial VCA; but provide a vehicle to assist in answering the puzzling question as to its risk: benefit ratio and determination whether such treatment can ever become the standard of care, or will it continue to be an experimental procedure. This group has performed 7 face VCAs beginning 9 years previously, which they state is the largest face transplant series in the world and that with the longest follow-up. Their mean follow-up was 6 years; but 2 patients died prematurely, one at

65 days after transplant due to transplant destruction related to a pseudomonas infection, and the other, a self-inflicted ballistic injury patient, who at 3.4 years post-transplant committed suicide.

In general, all their patients had good functional as well as aesthetic outcomes as shown in figures within the manuscript, not only in the short-term but also long-term. Challenges over the long term could be subdivided into three categories—psychological, technical and functional, or immunological in origin. Revisions to improve appearance or function were common events, so facial VCA was never a once and done event. Acute rejection episodes requiring high dose steroid or other drug regimen alterations involved all patients, averaging 0.2-1 per year; but never altered face function nor appearance. No attempt was made to wean any patient from their selected immunosuppression protocol. Chronic rejection admittedly occurred yet demonstrated no vasculopathy, but primarily progressive lymphedematous changes in the skin. In contrast to these constant occurrences, improvements in the social integration and quality of life of this group were highly variable. This depended mainly on the baseline levels and psychiatric co-morbidities of the individual patient and any existing social support. For example, the patients with selfinflicted ballistic injuries did poorly compared to the other individuals.

Other investigators have found similar results for face VCA patients, either those they have treated or reviewed by an extensive search of literature and lay sources (3-6). Sosin *et al.* (3) have reported the risk of antibody-

rejection in a sensitized patient, malignancies including skin cancer as common in solid organ transplants, and complete facial allograft rejection following cessation of immunosuppression. In spite of these risks, none of the patients of Lantieri *et al.* (2) stated that they had any regret in having undergone facial transplantation. Interestingly, all also considered the donor to be their own face, reflecting their personality and emotions.

Breidenbach et al. (4) after a rigorous statistical analysis of both hand and face transplantations argued that certain hand transplants now can meet the standard of care. However, face allotransplantations presently require more numbers and more time in follow-up to better define the inclusion or exclusion criteria of potential candidates before a similar verdict can be forthcoming (4). On the one hand, Marchac et al. (1) believe that even children should not be excluded from consideration for face VCA if in their best interest. Yet in conclusion in their "Discussion", Lantieri et al. (2) suggest that the ideal selection criteria for face VCA candidates has yet to be determined; and that "moderation and prudence" in choosing individuals for this extraordinary surgery be observed, with the assurance that long-term monitoring and strict control of each patient is possible to check compliance and treat adverse events that are inevitable. Although most microsurgeons will never be involved in total face transplantation, the dream of many of us to provide our patients just with the perfect nose, the perfect ear, or perfect other lost part that we know we cannot replicate with our rudimentary flaps and grafts will just have to wait for another day.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Juyu Tang, MD, PhD and Assistant Editor Liming Qing, MD (Department of Hand & Microsurgery of Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China).

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jxym.2017.01.05). The author has no conflicts

of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Marchac A, Kuschner T, Paris J, et al. Ethical Issues in Pediatric Face Transplantation: Should We Perform Face Transplantation in Children? Plast Reconstr Surg 2016;138:449-54.
- 2. Lantieri L, Grimbert P, Ortonne N, et al. Face transplant: long-term follow-up and results of a prospective open study. Lancet 2016;388:1398-407.
- Sosin M, Rodriguez ED. The Face Transplantation Update: 2016. Plast Reconstr Surg 2016;137:1841-50.
- Breidenbach WC, Meister EA, Becker GW, et al. A
 Statistical Comparative Assessment of Face and Hand
 Transplantation Outcomes to Determine Whether Either
 Meets the Standard of Care Threshold. Plast Reconstr
 Surg 2016;137:214e-222e.
- Kaufman CL, Ouseph R, Marvin MR, et al. Monitoring and long-term outcomes in vascularized composite allotransplantation. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2013;18:652-8.
- 6. Petruzzo P, Kanitakis J, Badet L, et al. Long-term followup in composite tissue allotransplantation: in-depth study of five (hand and face) recipients. Am J Transplant 2011;11:808-16.

doi: 10.21037/jxym.2017.01.05

Cite this article as: Hallock GG. The ultimate prognosis for face allotransplantation: fantasy or reality? J Xiangya Med 2017;2:20.