

Neural monitoring in endocrine neck surgery

Mustapha El Lakis¹, Electron Kebebew²

¹Endocrine Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA; ²Department of Surgery, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA

Correspondence to: Mustapha El Lakis, MD. Endocrine Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, 10 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. Email: moustapha_lakis@hotmail.com.

Provenance: This is a Guest Editorial commissioned by Section Editor Xiao-li Liu (Deputy Director of Science and Education Department, Department of Thyroid Surgery, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China).

Comment on: Kim HY, Liu X, Wu CE, *et al.* Future Directions of Neural Monitoring in Thyroid Surgery. *J Endocr Surg* 2017;17:93-103.

Submitted Mar 08, 2018. Accepted for publication Mar 14, 2018.

doi: 10.21037/gs.2018.03.08

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs.2018.03.08>

Recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) injury is the most dreaded complication in endocrine neck surgery. It can manifest as dysphonia, dysphagia, paroxysmal coughing, aspiration, or acute airway obstruction. A substantial proportion of patients (80%) undergoing thyroid surgery may complain of voice changes (1). RLN injury has been ranked among the leading reasons for malpractice lawsuits. The true injury rate is underreported, and it is proportional to the rate of post-thyroidectomy laryngeal examinations. Surgery for thyroid cancer, especially reoperative and when it involves nodal dissection, has been identified as a significant risk factor for RLN palsy. In some reports, up to 25% of patients may sustain a transient laryngeal nerve injury following thyroid surgery, with persistent hoarseness occurring in 4% (2,3).

In 1938, Lahey and Hoover introduced the principle of routinely identifying the RLN during thyroid surgery, which eventually became the standard of care, resulting in a reduction in the rate of nerve palsy (4,5). However, several studies indicated that visual inspection has a poor sensitivity in detecting nerve injury at the time of thyroid surgery, especially when RLN integrity was visually assured. In the 1970s, neuromonitoring was introduced with the premise of facilitating nerve identification (6) and, consequently, decreasing the rates of injury to the RLN and the external branch of the superior laryngeal nerve. Intraoperative nerve monitoring (IONM) offers a functional dynamic of evoked electromyography in response to nerve stimulation. Many techniques have been described for conventional IONM. Intermittent IONM can be considered a major drawback of

conventional IONM, as it helps identify the injury after its occurrence. Another IONM technique is continuous IONM (cIONM), which gives the surgeon continuous verification of electromyography responses—including amplitude and latency measures—through the operation. cIONM has been shown to be feasible, reliable, and safe for monitoring RLN status during thyroid surgery (7). Kim *et al.* have discussed in depth the two different techniques, along with their advantages and limitations, and recommended future directions (8).

IONM can provide information than sight alone during thyroid surgery. It helps (I) in neural mapping before visual nerve identification, (II) in prognostic information about the nerve function, (III) in localizing the injured nerve segment, and finally (IV) in decision making such as staged surgery if nerve injury is suspected.

The question is whether there is enough evidence in the literature to support routine IONM. A meta-analysis of six randomized controlled trials assessing the use of IONM in comparison to visual identification of the nerve, including 1,602 patients and 3,064 nerves at risk, showed no statistically significant decrease in the risk of temporary or permanent RLN injury (9). Similarly, a large meta-analysis, evaluating 64,699 nerves at risk, showed no superiority of IONM in comparison to visual nerve identification alone in terms of temporary or permanent RLN injury during thyroidectomy (10). On the other hand, a meta-analysis evaluating 36,487 at risk-nerves demonstrated a reduction in the incidence of transient RLN injury in patients undergoing IONM versus visual identification only;

However, no difference in the incidence of permanent RLN injury was identified (11).

Despite these findings, interest in IONM has grown over the years. A survey of recently trained endocrine and head and neck surgeons revealed that the majority (95%) routinely use IONM during thyroid surgery (12). However, it is not yet widely used. For instance, IONM was used in only 8.3% of patients who underwent thyroid surgery between 2010 and 2011 in Florida, New York, and Washington (13). That notwithstanding, IONM has been advised by multiple organizations, including the American Thyroid Association, the International Neural Monitoring Study Group, the American Academy of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, and the American Head and Neck Society, to facilitate nerve identification and confirm nerve function (4,14-16). They suggest it be used mainly in bilateral thyroid surgery, reoperative thyroid surgery, and surgery in the setting of existing RLN paralysis. They also note that neural monitoring is helpful in confirming intact neural function at the end of the surgery, which may impact the timing of the contralateral surgery, the need for tracheostomy, and the timing of discharge.

Surgeon's experience, proper training, and high-volume caseload continue to be the predictors of RLN injury in endocrine neck surgeries. IONM is evolving and is helpful in identifying nerve palsy and, hence, in staging endocrine neck surgeries. The widespread routine use of nerve monitoring among new generations of surgeons may provide further data to analyze the usefulness of the cIONM.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Stojadinovic A, Shaha AR, Orlikoff RF, et al. Prospective functional voice assessment in patients undergoing thyroid surgery. *Ann Surg* 2002;236:823-32.
2. Lo CY, Kwok KF, Yuen PW. A prospective evaluation of recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis during thyroidectomy. *Arch Surg* 2000;135:204-7.
3. Jeannon JP, Orabi AA, Bruch GA, et al. Diagnosis of recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy after thyroidectomy: a systematic review. *Int J Clin Pract* 2009;63:624-9.
4. Randolph GW, Dralle H, Abdullah H, et al. Electrophysiologic Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve Monitoring During Thyroid and Parathyroid Surgery: International Standards Guideline Statement. *Laryngoscope* 2011;121:S1-16.
5. Lahey FH, Hoover WB. Injuries to the recurrent laryngeal nerve in thyroid operations. *Ann Surg* 1938;108:545-62.
6. Flisberg K, Lindholm T. Electrical stimulation of the human recurrent laryngeal nerve during thyroid operation. *Acta Otolaryngol Suppl* 1969;263:63-7.
7. Phelan E, Schneider R, Lorenz K, et al. Continuous vagal IONM prevents recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis by revealing initial EMG changes of impending neuropraxic injury: a prospective, multicenter study. *Laryngoscope* 2014;124:1498-505.
8. Kim HY, Liu X, Wu CE, et al. Future Directions of Neural Monitoring in Thyroid Surgery. *J Endocr Surg* 2017;17:93-103.
9. Sanabria A, Ramirez A, Kowalski LP, et al. Neuromonitoring in thyroidectomy: a meta-analysis of effectiveness from randomized controlled trials. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2013;270:2175-89.
10. Higgins TS, Gupta R, Ketcham AS, et al. Recurrent laryngeal nerve monitoring versus identification alone on post-thyroidectomy true vocal fold palsy: a meta-analysis. *Laryngoscope* 2011;121:1009-17.
11. Zheng S, Xu Z, Wei Y, et al. Effect of intraoperative neuromonitoring on recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy rates after thyroid surgery--a meta-analysis. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2013;112:463-72.
12. Marti JL, Holm T, Randolph G. Universal Use of Intraoperative Nerve Monitoring by Recently Fellowship-Trained Thyroid Surgeons is Common, Associated with Higher Surgical Volume, and Impacts Intraoperative Decision-Making. *World J Surg* 2016;40:337-43.
13. Al-Qurayshi Z, Randolph GW, Alshehri M, et al. Analysis of Variations in the Use of Intraoperative Nerve Monitoring in Thyroid Surgery. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2016;142:584-9.
14. Chandrasekhar SS, Randolph GW, Seidman MD, et al. Clinical practice guideline: improving voice outcomes after thyroid surgery. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2013;148:S1-37.
15. Shindo ML, Caruana SM, Kandil E, et al. Management of invasive well-differentiated thyroid cancer: an American

- Head and Neck Society consensus statement. AHNS consensus statement. *Head Neck* 2014;36:1379-90.
16. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules

and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* 2016;26:1-133.

Cite this article as: El Lakis M, Kebebew E. Neural monitoring in endocrine neck surgery. *Gland Surg* 2018;7(Suppl 1):S86-S88. doi: 10.21037/gs.2018.03.08