

# Challenges and prospects in prediction and treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma with microvascular invasion

## Takumi Kawaguchi, Shigeo Shimose, Takuji Torimura

Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan

*Correspondence to:* Takumi Kawaguchi, MD, PhD. Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan. Email: takumi@med.kurume-u.ac.jp.

*Comment on:* Lee S, Kang TW, Song KD, *et al.* Effect of microvascular invasion risk on early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgery and radiofrequency ablation. Ann Surg 2019. [Epub ahead of print].

Submitted Aug 08, 2019. Accepted for publication Aug 12, 2019. doi: 10.21037/hbsn.2019.08.04 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn.2019.08.04

Microvascular invasion (MVI) is an independent risk factor for early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) after local treatment as well as poor prognosis in patients with HCC (1-3). MVI is an important factor for management of patients with HCC; however, MVI is based on a histopathological diagnosis using resected surgical specimens and is thus difficult to determine preoperatively. Recently, Lee et al. developed a new MVI risk score using the following 4 non-histological parameters: (I) alpha-fetoprotein (AFP); (II) protein induced by vitamin K absence-II (PIVKA-II); (III) arterial peritumoral enhancement on hepatobiliary phase of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); (IV) peritumoral hypointensity on hepatobiliary phase of MRI (4). They also reported that, in HCC patients with MVI, the HCC recurrence rate was lower in patients treated with hepatic resection than with radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and proposed that patients with HCC who were at high risk of MVI should be treated with hepatic resection rather than RFA (4). This editorial summarizes the recent progress of the prediction method for MVI and discusses the therapeutic strategy for small HCC with MVI.

Imaging modalities are crucial tools to characterize HCC. Especially, MRI with hepatobiliary contrast agents provides important findings regarding biological characteristics of HCC (5). Lee *et al.* previously reported that a combination of two or more of the following findings could be used as a preoperative imaging biomarker for predicting MVI: (I) arterial peritumoral enhancement, (II) a non-smooth tumor margin, and (III) peritumoral hypointensity on hepatobiliary phase of MRI (6) (*Table 1*). For that study, the sensitivity

for MVI was reported to be 54.6% (6), which was relatively low. In the recent study by Lee et al., the MVI risk score was based on not only MRI findings but also on serum tumor markers such as levels of AFP and PIVKA-II (4). Although the new prediction model showed higher sensitivity for MVI than the model from their previous study (4), the sensitivity was 65.2% and further improvement is needed. Sumie et al. reported that gross classification of HCC (single nodular with extranodular growth type or confluent multinodular type) was useful to predict the presence of MVI (7). Furthermore, Yuan et al. reported that long noncoding RNA associated with MVI promoted angiogenesis and predicted poor recurrence-free survival of patients with HCC who underwent hepatectomy (8). Thus, the sensitivity of the MVI prediction model may be improved by the additional use of the gross classification of HCC and detection of the presence of long noncoding RNA (Table 1).

Assessment of findings is an issue for the prediction model using imaging modalities. In the recent study by Lee *et al.* MRI findings were assessed by two board-certified radiologists with more than 6 years of experience in abdominal imaging (4). The interobserver agreement values for the arterial peritumoral enhancement and peritumoral hypointensity were 0.91 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.85–0.96] and 0.81 (95% CI: 0.72–0.89), respectively (4). Thus, there were discrepancies in the assessment of MRI findings between both radiologists, although they had sufficient experience in abdominal imaging. Recently, artificial intelligence has accelerated progress in diagnosis of medical imaging. Radiomics is an innovation in medical

Table 1	Predictive	factors for	HCC with	n MVI
---------	------------	-------------	----------	-------

Factors	Reference
AFP	(4)
PIVKA-II	
Arterial peritumoral enhancement on hepatobiliary phase of MRI	
Peritumoral hypointensity on hepatobiliary phase of MRI	
Non-smooth tumor margin	
Gross classification of HCC (single nodular with extranodular growth type or confluent multinodular type)	
Long noncoding RNA	(8)

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MVI, microvascular invasion; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II, protein induced by vitamin K absence-II; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

imaging analysis in which images are analyzed using an automated high-throughput extraction technique to process large amounts of quantitative features from medical images (9). Radiomics has the advantage of high repeatability, indefatigability, and no interference of human subjectivity (10). Radiomics has been successfully applied to the diagnosis and prognosis prediction of HCC (11). In addition, Feng et al. established a radiomics model predicting MVI by extracting radiomics features from the intratumoral and peritumoral regions of gadoliniumethoxybenzyl-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid enhanced MRI (12). They used a least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) in a logistic regression model to enhance the prediction accuracy and reduce the dimensions of 1,044 features, such that, finally, 10 features were selected to establish the final model. The sensitivity of the radiomics model for MVI was reported to be 88.2% and 90.0% in training and validation sets, respectively (12). Although there are limitations in radiomics, including retrospective studies with a small number of patients, radiomics has been applied to MVI prediction using contrast enhanced computed tomography images as well as ultrasound images (13,14). Further study will be focused on the use of multimodality imaging data for the prediction of MVI.

The recent study by Lee *et al.* demonstrated that the recurrence rate of HCC with MVI was lower in patients treated with hepatic resection than with RFA (4). Their findings are in good agreement with previous reports and hepatic resection should be considered as the first-line therapeutic strategy for small HCC with MVI. However, in HCC patients with MVI, the recurrence rate of HCC is approximately 30% 2 years after hepatic resection (4), indicating that a new therapeutic strategy is required for

HCC with MVI. At present, 4 therapeutic options have been reported for HCC with MVI (Table 2). First, a high preoperative hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA level is known to be an independent risk factor of MVI (15); antiviral treatment administered more than 90 days before hepatic resection was reported to reduce the incidence of MVI and early tumor recurrence after hepatic resection for HBV-related HCC (15). Second, a wide margin of hepatic resection (≥1 cm) has been reported to show better 5-year recurrence-free and overall survival rates compared to a narrow margin of hepatic resection (<1 cm) in HCC patients with MVI (16). Third, postoperative adjuvant transcatheter arterial chemoembolization was reported to improve disease-free survival and overall survival for HCC patients with MVI compared to hepatic resection alone (2,17). Fourth, the use of postoperative adjuvant sorafenib therapy was reported to improve overall survival compared to hepatic resection alone for HCC patients with MVI (18) (Table 2). Recently, programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in HCC was reported to be associated with MVI (19), and, therefore, anti-PD-L1 antibody is a hopeful adjuvant therapy after hepatic resection in patients with HCC with MVI. A phase 3 clinical trial, CheckMate 9DX, is now ongoing, which investigates the impact of adjuvant therapy of nivolumab on recurrence-free survival in patients with HCC who are at high risk of recurrence after curative hepatic resection or ablation (NCT03383458) (Table 2).

This editorial summarizes the recent progress of prediction methods for HCC with MVI and discusses the therapeutic strategy for small HCC with MVI. The recent study by Lee *et al.* demonstrated a new MVI risk score using MRI findings and tumor markers such as AFP and PIVKA-II. Although the MVI risk score is better than the previous

#### HepatoBiliary Surgery and Nutrition, Vol 8, No 6 December 2019

Therapeutic strategy	Reference	
Preoperative antiviral treatment for HBV	(15)	
A wide margin of hepatic resection (≥1 cm)	(16)	
Postoperative adjuvant transcatheter arterial chemoembolization	(2,17)	
Postoperative adjuvant therapy with sorafenib	(18)	
Postoperative adjuvant therapy with nivolumab (phase 3 clinical trial)	NCT03383458	

Table 2 Therapeutic strategies for prevention of HCC recurrence after curative resection of HCC with MVI

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MVI, microvascular invasion; HBV, hepatitis B virus.

prediction model using MRI findings alone, the diagnostic ability of the MVI risk score requires improvement. In addition, although hepatic resection is the first-line therapy for HCC with MVI, the recurrence rate of HCC with MVI is high even after curative hepatic resection. Thus, a new prediction method and therapeutic strategy need to be developed for HCC with MVI.

## **Acknowledgments**

*Funding:* This editorial was supported by AMED under Grant Number JP19fk0210040.

### Footnote

*Conflicts of Interest:* Takumi Kawaguchi received lecture fees from Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, MSD K.K., and Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Shigeo Shimose and Takuji Torimura have no conflicts of interest. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

### References

- Chan AWH, Zhong J, Berhane S, et al. Development of pre and post-operative models to predict early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical resection. J Hepatol 2018;69:1284-93.
- Wang H, Du PC, Wu MC, et al. Postoperative adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization for multinodular hepatocellular carcinoma within the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer early stage and microvascular invasion. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2018;7:418-28.

- Wang H, Wu MC, Cong WM. Microvascular invasion predicts a poor prognosis of solitary hepatocellular carcinoma up to 2 cm based on propensity score matching analysis. Hepatol Res 2019;49:344-54.
- Lee S, Kang TW, Song KD, et al. Effect of microvascular invasion risk on early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgery and radiofrequency ablation. Ann Surg 2019. [Epub ahead of print].
- Jiang HY, Chen J, Xia CC, et al. Noninvasive imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: From diagnosis to prognosis. World J Gastroenterol 2018;24:2348-62.
- Lee S, Kim SH, Lee JE, et al. Preoperative gadoxetic acidenhanced MRI for predicting microvascular invasion in patients with single hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2017;67:526-34.
- Sumie S, Kuromatsu R, Okuda K, et al. Microvascular invasion in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and its predictable clinicopathological factors. Ann Surg Oncol 2008;15:1375-82.
- Yuan SX, Yang F, Yang Y, et al. Long noncoding RNA associated with microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma promotes angiogenesis and serves as a predictor for hepatocellular carcinoma patients' poor recurrence-free survival after hepatectomy. Hepatology 2012;56:2231-41.
- Xu G, Yang HY, Xu HF. Prediction of microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma with preoperative imaging radiomic analysis: is it ready for prime time? Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2019;18:289-90.
- Aerts HJ, Velazquez ER, Leijenaar RT, et al. Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach. Nat Commun 2014;5:4006.
- Zheng BH, Liu LZ, Zhang ZZ, et al. Radiomics score: a potential prognostic imaging feature for postoperative survival of solitary HCC patients. BMC Cancer 2018;18:1148.

#### Kawaguchi et al. Prediction of hepatoma with MVI

- Feng ST, Jia Y, Liao B, et al. Preoperative prediction of microvascular invasion in hepatocellular cancer: a radiomics model using Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI. Eur Radiol 2019;29:4648-59.
- Xu X, Zhang HL, Liu QP, et al. Radiomic analysis of contrast-enhanced CT predicts microvascular invasion and outcome in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2019;70:1133-44.
- Hu HT, Wang Z, Huang XW, et al. Ultrasound-based radiomics score: a potential biomarker for the prediction of microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma. Eur Radiol 2019;29:2890-901.
- 15. Li Z, Lei Z, Xia Y, et al. Association of preoperative antiviral treatment with incidences of microvascular invasion and early tumor recurrence in hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma. JAMA Surg 2018;153:e182721.
- 16. Yang P, Si A, Yang J, et al. A wide-margin liver resection

**Cite this article as:** Kawaguchi T, Shimose S, Torimura T. Challenges and prospects in prediction and treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma with microvascular invasion. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2019;8(6):651-654. doi: 10.21037/ hbsn.2019.08.04

improves long-term outcomes for patients with HBVrelated hepatocellular carcinoma with microvascular invasion. Surgery 2019;165:721-30.

- Chen ZH, Zhang XP, Zhou TF, et al. Adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization improves survival outcomes in hepatocellular carcinoma with microvascular invasion: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Surg Oncol 2019. [Epub ahead of print].
- Zhang XP, Chai ZT, Gao YZ, et al. Postoperative adjuvant sorafenib improves survival outcomes in hepatocellular carcinoma patients with microvascular invasion after R0 liver resection: a propensity score matching analysis. HPB (Oxford) 2019. [Epub ahead of print].
- Calderaro J, Rousseau B, Amaddeo G, et al. Programmed death ligand 1 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma: relationship with clinical and pathological features. Hepatology 2016;64:2038-46.

# 654