#### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Not applicable	$\checkmark$
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
<b>Cell lines:</b> Provide species information, strain.	Not applicable	
Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b>		v
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
<b>OR</b> RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of	Not applicable	~
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	Not applicable	√
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the	Not applicable	~
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number	Not applicable	$\checkmark$
in repository (where relevant) <b>OR</b> RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
<b>Plants:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession	Not applicable	
number if available, and source (including location		Ň
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique	Not applicable	$\checkmark$
accession number if available, and source		v
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Footnote, Ethical Statement	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Footnote, Ethical Statement	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Table 1 Presentation characteristics	

#### <u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration	Not applicable	$\checkmark$
number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	Not applicable	$\checkmark$
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		117 G
done, <b>or</b> if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Methods, Patients, Paragraph 1	
	Methods, Laboratory data collection, Paragraph 1	
Randomisation	Methods, Patients, Paragraph 1	
Blinding	This is a retrospective analysis, and no blind comparison	$\checkmark$
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Methods, Laboratory data collection, Paragraph 1, line	
	10,11	
Comple definition and in the meters realization		,
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	The collection is the laboratory test results, the number	$\checkmark$
replicated in laboratory	of laboratory tests is not clear	,
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Not applicable	$\checkmark$
Fables		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Not applicable	~
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Not applicable	~
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Footnote, Ethical Statement	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	Not applicable	$\checkmark$

### <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Methods, Laboratory data collection, Paragraph 1, line 10,11	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Methods, Statistical analysis	,u
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	Methods, Laboratory data collection	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	Data cannot be made public	$\checkmark$
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	No public data is used	$\checkmark$
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:	······································	,
State whether the code or software is available.	No code or software is used	$\checkmark$
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	No code or software is used	$\checkmark$

# **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

# Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-6090