

The changing surgical approach to acute type A aortic dissection

Amer Harky^{1,2}, Mohamad Bashir³, Athanasios Antoniou⁴, Haris Bilal³

¹Department of Vascular Surgery, Countess of Chester Hospital, Chester, UK; ²Department Cardiothoracic Surgery, Northwest Deanery, Health Education England, UK; ³Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road, Manchester, UK; ⁴Department of Cardiac Surgery, Barts Heart centre, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London, UK

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: A Harky, M Bashir; (II) Administrative support: A Harky, M Bashir; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: None; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: A Harky, M Bashir; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: None; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Mohamad Bashir, MD, PhD, MRCS. Department of Aortovascular Surgery, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, M13 9WL, UK. Email: drmbashir@mail.com.

Abstract: Acute type A aortic dissection (ATAAD) is a surgical emergency that requires immediate intervention once recognised, mortality rate from such condition can be as high as 75% if not managed on time. Currently, the gold standard method for management of such life-threatening condition is open repair. The extent of the aorta to be repaired is dependent on many factors, primarily the extend of the dissected aorta and patients haemodynamic status at the time of presentation. Nevertheless, the surgeon experience and availability of hybrid approach to treat it, have direct influence on the approach to treat ATAAD. With advancement in operative techniques and graft modalities, there is marked shift in managing such lethal condition from total open repair to open repair of the aortic root with frozen elephant trunk (FET) and lately the role of endovascular management of ATAAD. In this review article, we will focus on the current advancement and changing trend in surgical management of ATAAD.

Keywords: Aortic surgery; type A dissection; aorta; acute dissection

Received: 06 June 2018; Accepted: 05 July 2018; Published: 23 July 2018. doi: 10.21037/jovs.2018.07.05 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jovs.2018.07.05

Introduction

Type A aortic dissection, by definition is presence of a tear anywhere from the aortic root up to the, including, the origin of the left subclavian artery. In certain circumstances, this dissection involves the great vessels of the neck which indicates a poor neurologic prognosis (1). Repairing the dissected aortic tissue can be very challenging, the more aorta is dissected the more complex is the repair procedure, in cases of isolated dissection of the ascending aorta \pm aortic root, open repair with an interposition graft or full aortic root replacement (ARR) is the preferred method of treatment (2), this procedure doesn't require the use of hypothermic circulatory arrest (HCA) or any other method for cerebral protection and therefore, it is associated with lower mortality rate when compared with extended procedures and the use of HCA. In majority of the cases, the intimal tear extends to involve the aortic arch, either in partial or in full and this warrants surgical intervention either as single procedure with extended hemi-arch replacement or in selected cases to perform total arch replacement (3). However, the moment aortic arch is involved in surgical intervention, a brain protection mechanism is required to reduce the advent of neurological complications which serves a key postoperative indicator to recover from this complex repair (4). With no doubt, open aortic arch repair is the gold standard method of repair as of today (5,6), however with current advancement in clinical practice and technology, several other options have challenged this practice, initially it started with the use of elephant trunk technique and two stage procedure and lately, the use of frozen elephant trunk (FET) is gaining momentum in treatment of acute type A aortic dissection (ATAAD) along open repair of the dissected tissue (7,8).

Page 2 of 7

However, despite presence of excellent brain protection mechanism with HCA, with or without the use of antegrade or retrograde cerebral perfusion, this complex operation has significant perioperative morbidity and mortality rates (9-11). Endovascular techniques have evolved dramatically to assist in repairing such dissected aorta, either as separate procedure at a later stage or combined with open repair as hybrid aortic surgery (12,13). Currently, the role of endovascular repair for aortic arch is evolving, while use of such technique in ATAAD remains challenging and obscure with very limited international data to support its application and yet the mid or long-term outcomes to be reported (12,14).

Isolated aortic root dissection—what is the prospect?

The most common form of TAAD is the tear starting from the ascending aorta involving the non-coronary cusp, however uncommonly it could also involve any of right or left coronary cusps, aortic valve cusp or the annulus itself (15), in such cases it is therefore considered as an "easy to approach" surgical emergency with good prognosis due to the limited dissected tissue that needs repair (11,16). The gold standard method in such isolated ATAAD is through open repair. Such repair technique ranges from isolated ascending aorta replacement to total aortic root repair and the key in such life-threatening case is to repair enough aortic tissue to provide safe recovery and stable health afterward (17). However, the choice of only ascending replacement over total ARR is depending on many factors such as status of the aortic valve, annulus, coronary sinus involvement and the origin of the tear itself (18,19). Cohen et al. (17) in their institutional experience, they emphasized the fact of minimal tissue intervention and recommends not to intervene with rest of aortic conduit unless extremely necessary, on the contrary, Leshnower et al. (15) is a strong supporter of conservative aortic root repair through preserving the aortic valve, this technique involves the preservation of the dissected sinus segments through resuspension of the native aortic valve commissural posts or replacing the native valve with a prosthetic one in contrary to less preferred method of total ARR which requires excision of the dissected sinus segments plus replacement of aortic root and reimplantation of the coronary buttons. The advantage of the conservative aortic root repair lies in keeping minimal native tissue disruption, avoiding introduction of anti-coagulation

when a mechanical prostheses is used and hence lower rate of thromboembolic events (20), additionally promoting thrombosis of the false lumen and occlusion thereafter (21,22) thus improved long term survival, finally, reduction in the re-intervention rate for the prosthetic aortic valve at later stage (23), although the later has been debated heavily and several other studies have reported higher re-operation rates following conservative aortic root repair vs. ARR. In a study by Halstead et al. (19), they reported a similar operative mortality between both techniques, however with a higher survival rate at ten years in ARR group (65% vs. 55%, P=0.48) and higher re-operation rate in the conservatively repaired group (4.5% vs. 0%, P=0.08), this was also supported by a similar study from Di Eusanio et al. (24) with a rate re-operation rate of 22% in the conservatively repaired while only 6.3% in the ARR group, P=0.005. Those re-intervention findings were not supported by the findings from the international registry of acute aortic dissection (IRAD) which reported no differences in reoperation rates between both groups at three years of follow up (1% vs. 1%, P=0.77), yet this lacks long term data support at 10 years (15).

Recently, several other reports have come into publication through a more conservative surgical management of valve sparing root repair (VSRR), this technique failed to show a good success rate in patients with ATAAD at early experience which has led to modification in its clinical practice techniques (25). Due to the complexity of the VSRR procedure and the data are being limited to small number of case series in relation to patients presenting with ATAAD, not to mention that is performed only in high volume centres with relevant expertise in this procedure, the evidences behind this technique is limited and should be carefully considered (26,27).

Regardless of how life saving open surgical repair can be, it is associated with significant perioperative complications, among the key ones are death and neurological complications which can be catastrophic. In the view of the recent advancement in clinical practice and understanding the pathophysiology of ATAAD, the practice is facing a newly born challenge to reduce such open repair related complication rates and this is through endovascular intervention (28). The very first reported case of successful endovascular repair of ATAAD was by Metcalfe *et al.* (29) in which a 68-year-old patient who presented with ATAAD was treated and discharged effectively. Thereafter, several studies have reported the use of endovascular stenting for ATAAD, however such data are limited to only case series and small numbers of patients were involved and therefore can't be used as a strong literature evidence for use in emergency cases of ATAAD (30-32).

Hemi-arch or full arch?

Inspection of the aortic arch during open repair of ATAAD looking for intimal or re-entry tear is a key element to decide whether intervention to the aortic arch is required. Pre-operative imaging that determines localization of the dissection to the aortic root plays a key role whether application of aortic cross clamp can induce iatrogenic extension of the aortic dissection (33). When the tear is localized to the ascending aorta or the start of the aortic curvature, a hemi-arch replacement is the procedure of choice especially when it comes to elderly and haemodynamically unstable patients. However, when the dissection tear extends beyond that, then a total open aortic arch repair is warranted. Nevertheless, open aortic arch repair is a complex procedure that needs circulatory arrest and certainly some degree of neurological deficits may occur postoperatively (34); however, to minimize rate of such adverse outcomes, use of several methods to protect the brain is recommended, these could be in the form of HCA with or without adjuncts such as antegrade or retrograde cerebral perfusions. In addition to the location and extent of the intimal tear, there are other factors that significantly influence the decision to perform hemi or full aortic arch repair and it includes, but not limited to, presence of dissected tissue in the descending aorta, severity of compression of the true lumen, involvement of neck vessels, and presence or absence of connective tissue disorders (3,8).

In a study by Kim *et al.* (3) of 188 patients that underwent either total aortic arch replacement (n=44) or hemi-arch replacement (n=144) for ATAAD, all patients had ascending aorta replaced at the same time, they found that patients that had total arch replacement had lower 5 years survival when compared to hemi-arch group of patients (65.8% *vs.* 83.2%, P=0.013) and higher rate of neurological complications (56.9% *vs.* 24.8%, P<0.001 respectively), interestingly there were no significant difference in re-operation rate nor rate of aortic root dilatation in both groups (P=0.14), therefore they recommended a conservative management against aggressive surgical intervention when possible.

In a separate single centre study by Lio *et al.* (35) of 201 patients that underwent either full or hemi-arch replacement for ATAAD, they supported the findings by

Kim *et al.*, there was higher operative mortality rate in full arch group (33% *vs.* 15%, P=0.04), total arch replacement was independent risk factor of operative mortality (P=0.021), finally, the mean five year survival was 59% and freedom from distal reoperation was 95% (P>0.05) and therefore, they have also recommended the hemi-arch approach when appropriate and safe in patients with ATAAD. Similar data were reported by Rylski *et al.* from 534 patients, hemiarch group of patients had lower operative mortality as well as higher 5 and 10 years survival and freedom from re-interventions when compared with full arch group of patients (36,37).

A prognostic factor in patients with ATAAD is the patency of the false lumen, which is a major risk factor for reintervention when it exists in the aortic arch or the descending aorta, this is supported by two large studies from Halestead *et al.* (38) and Fattori *et al.* (39), whom they identified a direct correlation between patent false lumen and increased rate of re-intervention rate, hence the recommendation for total arch replacement to minimize such risk in patients presenting with ATAAD comes into place (40-42).

Finally, the aggressive approach of replacing the arch has been supported by two large studies, the first one is from the German registry for ATAAD (GERAADA) which supporting total arch replacement in such group of patients than hemi-arch replacement, as there was no significant difference in the perioperative outcomes among both groups of patients (43). The second evidence is from a systematic review and meta-analysis by Poon et al. of 2,221 patients that presented with ATAAD and underwent either total or hemi-arch replacement, there reported no different in operative mortality among either group, no significant difference in the rate of aortic re-intervention at follow up period and similar rate of freedom from re-operation in between both groups (44), however their study was limited by many factors including publication and detection bias, as high volume centres with relevant surgical expertise have better perioperative surgical outcomes when compared to low volume centres and surgeons (45).

Is FET the solution?

With current advancement in technology and clinical practice, the outcomes and prognosis post repair of ATAAD has been dramatically improved, however, the long-term results are guarded by the residual dissection and the patent false lumen that extends into the descending aorta

Page 4 of 7

which increases the risk of latent aortic re-intervention at later stage (46). Therefore, a new technique has evolved in the era of clinical practice and known as FET through hybrid technique, which is a combination of conventional open aortic arch repair and floating the stent down the descending thoracic aorta at the same time and as one stage procedure, however this procedure is technically demanding and more time consuming when compared to conventional open repair (33). With this technique, there is more than 90% chance of obliteration of the false lumen and hence improved survival rate and lower rate of re-intervention (47).

Uchida et al. (47) compared outcomes in 120 consecutive patients that underwent either hemi-arch or full arch replacement with FET in the setting of ATAAD, they have shown similar operative mortality in both groups, however a higher survival rate in the FET group at 5 years (95.3% vs. 69%, P=0.03) and total occlusion of the false lumen in all patients that underwent FET while 29% of the hemiarch patient group had patent false lumen. The same group has published a different series of 120 patients that underwent FET repair for ATAAD (48), their mean follows up period was 104.6±51.9 months. No patient had a patent false lumen at the time of final follow-up, 10 years survival was 75% and hence they concluded that FET should be performed in patients with ATAAAD when there is evidence of involvement of other parts of thoracic aorta as it improves long term outcomes. Di Bartolomeo et al. have also reported and suggested the use of FET in the setting of acute thoracic aortic dissection, however with caution and in high volume centres with presence of experienced surgeons, as FET requires particular attention and adverse outcomes can be dramatic if duration of cerebral perfusion or surgery itself prolonged unnecessarily (49), and this was backed up as well earlier by Jakob (50).

Later the recommendations came into place of using FET in patients with ATAAD with a primary tear entry into distal aortic arch or proximal descending thoracic aorta (51).

Finally, in a meta-analysis by Takagi *et al.* (52) of 1,279 patients that underwent total aortic arch replacement with FET, they reported an early mortality of 9.2%, stroke rate of 4.8%, spinal cord injury of 3.5%, an overall mortality of 13% (>1 year), re-intervention rate of 9.6% and lumen thrombosis in 96.8% of the cases. Therefore, this technique of FET is considered as safe, effective and reliable approach during total aortic arch repair in comparison to conventional elephant trunk surgery.

In a later study by Matt *et al.* of 141 patients (53), they recommended the use of a different technique known as

modified FET in patients presenting with ATAAD that requires aortic arch intervention. They have performed a match analysis of 37 patients in hemi-arch and 37 patients in modified FET group of patients. Their results were far more detailed any the previously reported ones, the rate of stroke and paraplegia were higher in hemi-arch group, however this didn't reach statistical significance (24.3% vs. 8.1%, P=0.1 and 2.7% vs. 0%, P=1.0 respectively), 30-day mortality was higher in the hemi-arch group (13.5% vs. 0%, P=0.05) and survival rate in the modified FET was higher at 6 months of follow up (100% vs. 86.5%, P=0.02).

The endovascular and hybrid approach

Literature evidences supporting the use of endovascular stenting of isolated ATAAD that is limited to the aortic root is very scarce and in majority of published literature is limited to personal experience to limited cases and in limited centres (30-32); therefore, open surgical repair remains the gold standard method in such patients.

However, when the aortic dissection extends to the aortic arch and beyond, the role of endovascular repair gets more into attention. As open aortic surgery, particularly the arch and descending aorta, associated with significant mortality and morbidities, in particular neurological complications, aortic surgeons have prompted the use of such minimal invasive techniques to aid in improving patients perioperative outcomes (12). The combined use of open replacement of aortic arch with stent deployment into the rest of the thoracic aorta, known as hybrid procedure or FET, seems to be the perfect practice example now a day among the pioneers in a rtic surgery (51,54). Due to nature of such procedures being complex and requires prolonged periods of circulatory arrest and use of adjuncts to protect the brain, its use it limited to specialized centres with practice of experienced surgeon in this particular field to minimize the adverse outcomes (53).

On the contrary to the aortic root dissection with arch involvement, endovascular repair of the extended dissection into the descending thoracic aortic has took over open repair with or without open repair of the aortic arch.

Conclusions

Open repair of the dissected aortic root remains the optimum management option for patients with ATAAD, when the dissection extends beyond the aortic root, current literature supports equivocal outcomes between hemi or full

Journal of Visualized Surgery, 2018

aortic arch replacement, hence the decision is surgeon and centre specific. A dissection that involves aortic arch and beyond is optimally managed with open aortic arch repair and FET, such technique provides safe, durable and reliable outcomes in such acutely unwell patient cohort.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Journal of Visualized Surgery* for the series "Innovations in Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm Surgery". The article has undergone external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/jovs.2018.07.05). The series "Innovations in Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm Surgery" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. MB served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Lentini S, Tancredi F, Benedetto F, et al. Type A aortic dissection involving the carotid arteries: carotid stenting during open aortic arch surgery. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2009;8:157-9.
- 2. Appoo JJ, Pozeg Z. Strategies in the surgical treatment of type A aortic arch dissection. Ann Cardiothorac Surg

2013;2:205-11.

- Kim JB, Chung CH, Moon DH, et al. Total arch repair versus hemiarch repair in the management of acute DeBakey type I aortic dissection. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;40:881-7.
- Easo J, Weigang E, Hölzl PPF, et al. Influence of operative strategy for the aortic arch in DeBakey type I aortic dissection: Analysis of the German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012;144:617-23.
- Harky A, Bashir M, Mariscalco G. Aortic arch aneurysm surgery: what is the gold standard temperature in the absence of randomized data? Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2017. [Epub ahead of print].
- Demondion P, Ramadan R, Azmoun A, et al. Aortic Wrapping for Stanford Type A Acute Aortic Dissection: Short and Midterm Outcome. Ann Thorac Surg 2014;97:1590-6.
- Weiss G, Gorlitzer M, Folkmann S, et al. Frozen elephant trunk technique for acute type A aortic dissection. Multimed Man Cardiothorac Surg 2012;2012:mms012.
- Ma WG, Zhang W, Wang LF, et al. Type A aortic dissection with arch entry tear: Surgical experience in 104 patients over a 12-year period. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2016;151:1581-92.
- Harky A, Fok M, Bashir M, et al. Brain protection in aortic arch aneurysm: antegrade or retrograde ? Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018. [Epub ahead of print].
- Watanuki H, Ogino H, Minatoya K, et al. Is Emergency Total Arch Replacement With a Modified Elephant Trunk Technique Justified for Acute Type A Aortic Dissection? Ann Thorac Surg 2007;84:1585-91.
- Chiappini B, Schepens M, Tan E, et al. Early and late outcomes of acute type A aortic dissection: analysis of risk factors in 487 consecutive patients. Eur Heart J 2005;26:180-6
- Bashir M, Harky A, Bilal H. Is there a prospect for hybrid aortic arch surgery ? Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018. [Epub ahead of print].
- Karthikesalingam A, Holt PJE, Hinchliffe RJ, et al. The diagnosis and management of aortic dissection. Vasc Endovascular Surg 2010;44:165-9.
- Galvin SD, Perera NK, Matalanis G. Surgical management of acute type A aortic dissection: branch-first arch replacement with total aortic repair. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2016;5:236-44.
- 15. Leshnower BG, Chen EP. When and how to replace the aortic root in type A aortic dissection. Ann Cardiothorac

Page 6 of 7

Surg 2016;5:377-82.

- De Paulis R, Cetrano E, Moscarelli M, et al. Effects of ascending aorta replacement on aortic root dilatation. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2005;27:86-9.
- Cohen RG, Hackmann AE, Fleischman F, et al. Type A Aortic Dissection Repair: How I Teach It. Ann Thorac Surg 2017;103:14-7.
- Tang GH, Lansman SL, Spielvogel D. The aortic root in acute type a dissection: Rationale and outcome for an increased use of root replacement. In: Bonser RS, Pagano D, Haverich A, et al. (eds). Controversies in Aortic Dissection and Aneurysmal Disease. London: Springer-Verlag London, 2014:149-57.
- Halstead JC, Spielvogel D, Meier DM, et al. Composite aortic root replacement in acute type A dissection: time to rethink the indications? Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2005;27:626-32.
- 20. Song SW, Yoo KJ, Kim DK, et al. Effects of Early Anticoagulation on the Degree of Thrombosis After Repair of Acute DeBakey Type I Aortic Dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2011;92:1367-74; discussion 1374-5.
- Park KH, Lim C, Choi JH, et al. Midterm Change of Descending Aortic False Lumen After Repair of Acute Type I Dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2009;87:103-8.
- 22. Fattouch K, Sampognaro R, Navarra E, et al. Long-Term Results After Repair of Type A Acute Aortic Dissection According to False Lumen Patency. Ann Thorac Surg 2009;88:1244-50.
- 23. Iribarne A, Keenan J, Benrashid E, et al. Imaging Surveillance After Proximal Aortic Operations: Is it Necessary? Ann Thorac Surg 2017;103:734-41.
- 24. Di Eusanio M, Trimarchi S, Peterson MD, et al. Root Replacement Surgery Versus More Conservative Management During Type A Acute Aortic Dissection Repair. Ann Thorac Surg 2014;98:2078-84.
- 25. Leyh RG, Fischer S, Kallenbach K, et al. High failure rate after valve-sparing aortic root replacement using the "remodeling technique" in acute type A aortic dissection. Circulation 2002;106:I229-33.
- 26. Leshnower BG, Myung RJ, McPherson L, et al. Midterm Results of David V Valve-Sparing Aortic Root Replacement in Acute Type A Aortic Dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2015;99:795-800; discussion 800-1.
- Subramanian S, Leontyev S, Borger MA, et al. Valve-Sparing Root Reconstruction Does Not Compromise Survival in Acute Type A Aortic Dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2012;94:1230-4.
- 28. Nienaber CA, Clough RE, Sakalihasan N, et al. Aortic

dissection. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:16071.

- 29. Metcalfe MJ, Karthikesalingam A, Black SA, et al. The first endovascular repair of an acute type A dissection using an endograft designed for the ascending aorta. J Vasc Surg 2012;55:220-2.
- Rylski B. Endovascular repair of acute type A aortic dissection—we have the technology, but where is the courage? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2017;25:501-2.
- Shah A, Khoynezhad A. Thoracic endovascular repair for acute type A aortic dissection: operative technique. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2016;5:389-96.
- 32. Horton JD, Kölbel T, Haulon S, et al. Endovascular Repair of Type A Aortic Dissection: Current Experience and Technical Considerations. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2016;28:312-7.
- Grabenwoger M, Weiss G. Type A aortic dissection: the extent of surgical intervention. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2013;2:212-5.
- Ehrlich MP, Ergin MA, McCullough JN, et al. Results of immediate surgical treatment of all acute type A dissections. Circulation 2000;102:III248-52.
- 35. Lio A, Nicolò F, Bovio E, et al. Total Arch versus Hemiarch Replacement for Type A Acute Aortic Dissection: A Single-Center Experience. Tex Heart Inst J 2016;43:488-95.
- Rylski B, Beyersdorf F, Kari FA, et al. Acute type A aortic dissection extending beyond ascending aorta: Limited or extensive distal repair. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;148:949-54.
- Rylski B, Milewski RK, Bavaria JE, et al. Long-term results of aggressive hemiarch replacement in 534 patients with type A aortic dissection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;148:2981-5.
- Halstead JC, Meier M, Etz C, et al. The fate of the distal aorta after repair of acute type A aortic dissection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2007;133:127-35.
- Fattori R, Bacchi-Reggiani L, Bertaccini P, et al. Evolution of aortic dissection after surgical repair. Am J Cardiol 2000;86:868-72.
- Zhang H, Lang X, Lu F, et al. Acute type A dissection without intimal tear in arch: Proximal or extensive repair? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;147:1251-5.
- Westaby S, Saito S, Katsumata T. Acute type A dissection: conservative methods provide consistently low mortality. Ann Thorac Surg 2002;73:707-13.
- 42. Crawford ES, Kirklin JW, Naftel DC, et al. Surgery for acute dissection of ascending aorta. Should the arch be included? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1992;104:46-59.

Journal of Visualized Surgery, 2018

- Weigang E, Conzelmann L, Kallenbach K, et al. German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A (GERAADA)
 - Lessons Learned from the Registry. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2010;58:154-8.
- 44. Poon SS, Theologou T, Harrington D, et al. Hemiarch versus total aortic arch replacement in acute type A dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2016;5:156-73.
- 45. Bashir M, Harky A, Fok M, et al. Acute type A aortic dissection in the United Kingdom: Surgeon volume-outcome relation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2017;154:398-406.e1.
- 46. Kazui T, Yamashita K, Washiyama N, et al. Impact of an aggressive surgical approach on surgical outcome in type A aortic dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2002;74:S1844-7; discussion S1857-63.
- 47. Uchida N, Shibamura H, Katayama A, et al. Operative Strategy for Acute Type A Aortic Dissection: Ascending Aortic or Hemiarch Versus Total Arch Replacement With Frozen Elephant Trunk. Ann Thorac Surg 2009;87:773-7.
- Katayama A, Uchida N, Katayama K, et al. The frozen elephant trunk technique for acute type A aortic dissection: results from 15 years of experience. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2015;47:355-60; discussion 360

doi: 10.21037/jovs.2018.07.05

Cite this article as: Harky A, Bashir M, Antoniou A, Bilal H. The changing surgical approach to acute type A aortic dissection. J Vis Surg 2018;4:151.

- 49. Di Bartolomeo R, Pantaleo A, Berretta P, et al. Frozen elephant trunk surgery in acute aortic dissection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015;149:S105-9.
- Jakob H. Frozen elephant trunk in acute type I dissection—a personal view. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2013;2:640-1.
- 51. Shrestha M, Bachet J, Bavaria J, et al. Current status and recommendations for use of the frozen elephant trunk technique: a position paper by the Vascular Domain of EACTS. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2015;47:759-69.
- 52. Takagi H, Umemoto T, ALICE Group. A Meta-Analysis of Total Arch Replacement With Frozen Elephant Trunk in Acute Type A Aortic Dissection. Vasc Endovascular Surg 2016;50:33-46.
- 53. Matt P, Banerjee P, Grapow M, et al. Modified frozen elephant trunk for acute type A aortic dissection: a comparative study with standard repair technique. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2017;51:754-60.
- 54. Ma WG, Zheng J, Dong SB, et al. Sun's procedure of total arch replacement using a tetrafurcated graft with stented elephant trunk implantation: analysis of early outcome in 398 patients with acute type A aortic dissection. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2013;2:621-8.