



Cardioplegia in minimally invasive cardiac surgery: time to go

Wan Kee Kim, Joon Bum Kim, Jae Won Lee

Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Correspondence to: Jae Won Lee, MD, PhD. Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, 88 Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, Korea. Email: jwlee@amc.seoul.kr.

Received: 05 September 2018; Accepted: 14 December 2018; Published: 16 January 2019.

doi: 10.21037/jovs.2018.12.11

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jovs.2018.12.11>

In an interest to accelerate postoperative recovery in cardiac surgery, “minimal invasive cardiac surgery (MICS)” has been introduced to reduce surgical stress for the patients (1). Right thoracotomy and upper hemi-sternotomy are common approaches for MICS, and clinical outcomes of MICS have been reported acceptable compared with conventional median full sternotomy (2,3). The MICS may provide less surgical wound pain compared with full sternotomy and has been reported to confer shorter lengths of hospital stay (4,5). On the other hand, the MICS requires longer cardiac procedural times than the conventional approach (6,7). The longer time requirement is largely attributed to the restriction in movements along with limited exposure of surgical field. In aortic valve replacement through right mini-thoracotomy approach, for instance, the exposure of right coronary cusp of the aortic valve is often limited to ensure straightforward procedures.

In order to minimize systematic inflammatory responses and myocardial damages in cardiac surgery, restricting times of cardiopulmonary bypass and cardiac ischemia has been regarded as the paramount importance. For this reason, multiple or complex cardiac procedures have not been regarded reasonable candidates for MICS approach in the past (8,9). To overcome this time-limitation in MICS, there have been effort to utilize ideal cardioplegic solutions, which may allow sufficient time of cardio-protection long enough to complete the procedures by a less-interruptive single shot of infusion. In this sense, there have been several prerequisites for ideal cardioplegia raised by the experts in MICS as follows: (I) long duration of cardio-protection; (II) availability to infuse in antegrade fashion; and (III) comparable efficacy and safety to conventional blood cardioplegia.

In these regards, Custodiol Histidine-tryptophan-

ketoglutarate (HTK) and del Nido (DN) solutions have emerged as most popularized cardioplegia solutions for MICS. HTK is known as an intracellular crystalloid cardioplegic solution providing up to three hours of myocardial protection by a single shot (10,11). This solution was first introduced by Bretschneider 50 years ago (12). Low sodium contents in HTK derive hyperpolarization of the myocyte plasma membrane, thus it induces diastolic cardiac arrest. This solution contains several additions such as histidine, ketoglutarate and tryptophan as a buffer, improving energy source during reperfusion and membrane stabilizer, respectively.

To form the DN solution, blood is combined in a 1:4 ratio with Plasma-Lyte A (Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Deerfield, IL, USA; the solution is composed of KCl, NaHCO₃, mannitol, MgSO₄, and lidocaine). This combination is to avoid an accruing high level of potassium ion which can induce sequential calcium ion inflow (13). The DN cardioplegia contains substrates (blood), buffers (NaHCO₃) and membrane stabilizers (lidocaine) (14). Those additives are considered to maintain similar stability in the recovery of myocardial contraction to that of blood cardioplegia. Even though the development of DN solution was intended to be used in pediatric cardiac surgery, its uses have been expanded into the adult cardiac surgery based on the expectation that the myocardial susceptibility of adult may be similar with the pediatric hearts (15-17). Recently, excellent clinical outcomes have been reported by the use of DN solution in single-valve surgeries, coronary artery bypassing (18,19) and even in complex cardiac procedures (20).

Nevertheless, there are several limitations in these solutions. For example, hyponatremia-related issues driven by the rapid administration HTK solution have been reported (21). In addition, some studies demonstrated that

the HTK solution may trigger the ventricular fibrillation during myocardial reperfusion (22). Meanwhile, the safety issue on the use of DN for adult cardiac surgery, especially for the patients requiring long myocardial ischemic time, have been remained unclear. Consequently, the use of DN in adult cardiac surgery has not been further expanded perhaps due to limited supporting evidences of its safety in long procedures. In our own review, only one randomized trial of the use of DN for adult cardiac surgery has been published (23).

Despite the rising interests on the use of HTK and DN solutions in the MICS, scientific evidences based on the randomized trial have been limited. It is challenging executively, logistically and ethically to conduct randomized controlled trials on patients undergoing cardiac surgery. In addition, observational studies have limitations in controlling confounders such as baseline risk variables, variations in surgical skills and approaches of the MICS. However, given the current rapid growing interests on the MICS, the need for more detailed information on these cardioplegia is increasing. To address these issues, continuous efforts to investigate the advantage and drawbacks of these cardioplegic solutions should be followed. We believe it is time to conduct multi-center, randomized controlled trials to determine optimal cardioplegia in various MICS surgery by international collaborative works.

It is time to conduct multi-center, randomized controlled trials to determine optimal cardioplegia in minimal invasive approach cardiac surgery by international collaborative works.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editor (Peyman Sardari Nia) for the series “Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery” published in *Journal of Visualized Surgery*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jovs.2018.12.11>). The series “Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. The

authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Gammie JS, Zhao Y, Peterson ED, et al. J. Maxwell Chamberlain Memorial Paper for adult cardiac surgery. Less-invasive mitral valve operations: trends and outcomes from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;90:1401-8, 1410.e1; discussion 1408-10.
2. Grossi EA, Galloway AC, LaPietra A, et al. Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery: a 6-year experience with 714 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;74:660-3; discussion 663-4.
3. Nair SK, Sudarshan CD, Thorpe BS, et al. Mini-Stern Trial: A randomized trial comparing mini-sternotomy to full median sternotomy for aortic valve replacement. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2018;156:2124-32.e31.
4. Modi P, Hassan A, Chitwood WR Jr. Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;34:943-52.
5. Phan K, Xie A, Di Eusanio M, et al. A meta-analysis of minimally invasive versus conventional sternotomy for aortic valve replacement. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2014;98:1499-511.
6. Lim JY, Deo SV, Altarabsheh SE, et al. Conventional versus minimally invasive aortic valve replacement: pooled analysis of propensity-matched data. *J Card Surg* 2015;30:125-34.
7. Richardson L, Richardson M, Hunter S. Is a port-access mitral valve repair superior to the sternotomy approach in accelerating postoperative recovery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2008;7:678-83.

8. Paparella D, Yau TM, Young E. Cardiopulmonary bypass induced inflammation: pathophysiology and treatment. An update. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2002;21:232-44.
9. Paparella D, Guida P, Caparrotti S, et al. Myocardial damage influences short- and mid-term survival after valve surgery: a prospective multicenter study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2014;148:2373-9.e1.
10. Gebhard MM, Preusse CJ, Schnabel PA, et al. Different effects of cardioplegic solution HTK during single or intermittent administration. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1984;32:271-6.
11. Bretschneider HJ. Myocardial protection. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1980;28:295-302.
12. Bretschneider HJ, Hubner G, Knoll D, et al. Myocardial resistance and tolerance to ischemia: physiological and biochemical basis. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 1975;16:241-60.
13. Govindapillai A, Hua R, Rose R, et al. Protecting the aged heart during cardiac surgery: use of del Nido cardioplegia provides superior functional recovery in isolated hearts. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;146:940-8.
14. Kotani Y, Tweddell J, Gruber P, et al. Current cardioplegia practice in pediatric cardiac surgery: a North American multiinstitutional survey. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2013;96:923-9.
15. Matte GS, del Nido PJ. History and use of del Nido cardioplegia solution at Boston Children's Hospital. *J Extra Corpor Technol* 2012;44:98-103.
16. McCully JD, Toyoda Y, Wakiyama H, et al. Age- and gender-related differences in ischemia/reperfusion injury and cardioprotection: effects of diazoxide. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;82:117-23.
17. Willems L, Zatta A, Holmgren K, et al. Age-related changes in ischemic tolerance in male and female mouse hearts. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2005;38:245-56.
18. Mick SL, Robich MP, Houghtaling PL, et al. del Nido versus Buckberg cardioplegia in adult isolated valve surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2015;149:626-34; discussion 634-6.
19. Timek T, Willekes C, Hulme O, et al. Propensity Matched Analysis of del Nido Cardioplegia in Adult Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting: Initial Experience With 100 Consecutive Patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2016;101:2237-41.
20. Kim WK, Kim HR, Kim JB, et al. del Nido cardioplegia in adult cardiac surgery: beyond single-valve surgery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2018;27:81-7.
21. Ji B, Liu J, Long C, et al. Potential risk of hyponatremia using histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate solution during pediatric cardiopulmonary bypass. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;93:2120-1; author reply 2121.
22. Braathen B, Jeppsson A, Schersten H, et al. One single dose of histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate solution gives equally good myocardial protection in elective mitral valve surgery as repetitive cold blood cardioplegia: a prospective randomized study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;141:995-1001.
23. Ad N. del Nido cardioplegia: ready for prime time in adult cardiac surgery? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2015;149:637-8.

doi: 10.21037/jovs.2018.12.11

Cite this article as: Kim WK, Kim JB, Lee JW. Cardioplegia in minimally invasive cardiac surgery: time to go. *J Vis Surg* 2019;5:9.