# C3, C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and HLA-E as potential prognostic biomarkers for renal clear cell carcinoma 

Guangdi Chu ${ }^{1 \#}$, Wei Jiao ${ }^{1 \#}$, Xuecheng Yang ${ }^{1}$, Ye Liang ${ }^{1}$, Zhiqiang Li $^{2}$, Haitao Niu ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Urology, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, China; ${ }^{2}$ The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University \& The Biomedical Sciences Institute of Qingdao University (Qingdao Branch of SJTU Bio-X Institutes), Qingdao University, Qingdao, China Contributions: (I) Conception and design: G Chu, W Jiao; (II) Administrative support: H Niu, Z Li; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: X Yang, Y Liang; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: G Chu, W Jiao; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: G Chu, W Jiao; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.<br>"These authors contributed equally to this work.<br>Correspondence to: Haitao Niu. Department of Urology, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, No. 16 Jiangsu Road, Qingdao, China. Email: niuht0532@126.com; Zhiqiang Li. The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University \& The Biomedical Sciences Institute of Qingdao University (Qingdao Branch of SJTU Bio-X Institutes), Qingdao University, No. 16 Jiangsu Road, Qingdao, China. Email: lizqsjtu@gmail.com.

Background: Prognostic biomarkers play a vital role in the early detection of the cancer and assessment of prognosis. With advances in technology, a large number of biomarkers of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC) have been discovered, but their prognostic value has not been fully investigated, and thus have not been widely used in clinical practice. We aimed to identify the reliable markers associated with the prognosis of KIRC patients.
Methods: We obtained 72 normal samples and 539 tumor samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), and 23 normal samples and 32 tumor samples from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). Overlapping differentially expressed genes (ODEGs) were analyzed by Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses, followed by construction of a proteinprotein interaction (PPI) network to screen hub genes. Kaplan-Meier analysis, univariate Cox analysis, multivariate Cox analysis, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Kruskal-Wallis test, and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) were performed to verify the prognostic value and function of the markers we selected. The relationships among gene expression level, tumor immune cell infiltration, and immune-checkpoints were also analyzed.
Results: A total of 910 genes were screened out, and C3, C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and HLA-E were identified as potential tumor markers. The expression of each gene was closely associated with tumor immune cell infiltration, survival rate, and the patients' clinical characteristics ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and HLA-E were also verified as independent prognostic factors of $\operatorname{KIRC}(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$, and all these potential biomarkers had a close correlation with immune checkpoints.
Conclusions: C3, C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and HLA-E could be reliable biomarkers of KIRC and may have a significant contribution to make in immunotherapy, thus playing an important role in the improvement of prognosis.
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## Introduction

Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC) is a malignant tumor originating from the renal epithelium and accounts for approximately $75 \%$ of renal tumors (1). Its prognosis is worse than that of other common types of renal cell carcinoma (RCC), such as kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, and kidney chromophobe (2). The rate of detection with early screening has improved with advances in technology, but about $30 \%$ of patients with KIRC are still found to have metastasis at initial diagnosis (3), and 30$35 \%$ of patients undergoing surgical treatment eventually develop distant metastasis (4). Although the pathogenesis of KIRC has been extensively studied and many "omics" studies have explored various causes and potential mechanisms for the formation and development of renal cancer, the specific etiology is still unknown, the incidence and mortality of KIRC have remained high over the past decades, and effective diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers are still lacking $(5,6)$. Therefore, finding reliable biomarkers for the early detection and prediction of KIRC is an urgent task.

To seek potential, reliable biomarkers for KIRC, we analyzed transcriptome data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and GSE15641 from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. A total of 910 different genes were screened by Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses using "clusterProfiler", a package of R software (7). Hub genes were screened through the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING), cytoHubba, and Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE). Subsequently, we selected some interesting hub genes (IHGs) and used Oncomine and The Human Protein Atlas (HPA) databases to analyze their expression as external validation. The prognostic value of these potential biomarkers was analyzed by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and further verified by the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) database; the correlation between gene expression and clinical characteristics and the independent prognostic performance of these potential biomarkers were also fully validated through subsequent analysis. We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-20-699).

## Methods

## Data acquisition and differential expression analysis

GSE15641 data were obtained from the GEO database
(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo), comprising 32 tumor samples and 23 normal tissue samples (Platform: GPL96/ HG-U133A Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array) (8). Gene expression data (count) of KIRC patients were downloaded from the TCGA database's (https://portal. gdc.cancer.gov/) KIRC dataset, comprising 72 normal tissue samples and 539 tumor samples. RNA-sequencing data of 33 types of tumors from the TCGA, which were normalized and transformed into transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) values, were downloaded from the UCSC Xena browser (GDC hub: https://gdc.xenahubs.net). TPM data can make samples more comparable and improve the credibility of the analysis results (9-11). Detailed clinical information of KIRC patients was also obtained from the TCGA data portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). Because the data were obtained from public databases and the retrospective nature of the research, the requirement for informed consent was waived. The code could be obtained after contacting the corresponding author.
To screen for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the GSE15641 dataset, GEO2R was used for the analysis (12) with the following screening criteria: adj $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ and $\operatorname{llog}$ fold-change $($ (log FCI) $>2$. The edgeR package was used to analyze the gene expression data (count) of the TCGA data with the following cutoff criteria: adj $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ and $\mathrm{I} \log \mathrm{FCI}>2$ as the $(13,14)$. Subsequently, venny2.1.0 (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html) was used to identify overlapping DEGs (ODEGs) in the two groups, which were used for further analysis. Upregulated and downregulated genes were downloaded.

## GO and KEGG pathway analyses, and construction of the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network

The R Package "clusterProfiler" was used for the GO and KEGG analyses (7). A P value $<0.05$ was considered a statistically significant result. The results were visualized with a bubble diagram and a circle diagram. The interaction between proteins is important for understanding the metabolic and molecular mechanisms of tumors, so we used the STRING database (https://string-db.org/), which collects related information to predict protein interactions (15) We imported the names of the ODEGs into STRING and set an interaction score of 0.9 points to create a PPI network. Next, we used Cytoscape v3.7.2 to further analyze the data (16), and MCODE was also performed (17). The
results were obtained by analyzing with a parameter degree cutoff of 2 , a node score cutoff of 0.2 , a k-core of 2 , and a maximum depth of 100 . Meanwhile, cytoHubba was applied to search the hub genes with degree as the evaluation method (18). Finally, we selected some IHGs (C3, C3AR1, $H L A-D R A$, and HLA-E) from the results of overlapping genes between MCODE and cytoHubba for further analysis and verification.

## Verification of gene expression and the prognostic value of IHGs

The Oncomine and HPA databases were used as external validation cohorts to validate the expression of the IHGs. Oncomine, which is an integrated data-mining platform based on the gene chip (https://www.oncomine.org/ resource/login.html) (19), was used with the following filter conditions: cancer type, kidney cancer; data type, mRNA; analysis type, cancer vs. normal analysis; threshold setting, $\mathrm{P}<1 \mathrm{e}-04, \mathrm{FC}>2$, gene rank $=$ top $10 \%$. Images of immunohistochemical staining were obtained from the HPA (https://www.proteinatlas.org/). Meanwhile, we also paired the cancer tissue Transcriptome data (TPM) of KIRC from TCGA with normal tissues to evaluate the expression levels of the IHGs. The Wilcoxon test was performed with $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ as the cutoff value.

In addition, we selected KIRC patients from TCGA cohort with complete clinical information and transcriptome data to be analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method, in order to evaluate the prognostic value of the putative marker genes. The medium expression level was set as the grouping criterion of the high-expression and lowexpression groups, and a log-rank P value $<0.05$ indicated that the difference between the two groups was significant. We used the GEPIA database (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/ index.html) (20) to verify our results.

## Clinical correlation and independent prognostic analysis

The clinical information of KIRC patients from TCGA was combined with gene expression data (TPM) according to their TCGA IDs. Patients with incomplete clinical information were excluded from the analysis. The Wilcoxon and Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed to determine whether the genes significantly correlated with age, gender, tumor grade, American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) stage, $T$ stage, N stage, and M stage. $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ was taken as the critical value. Univariate and multivariate

Cox regression analyses were also performed to determine whether the genes could be independent prognostic factors for KIRC, with $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ being taken as the critical value.

## Relationship between gene expression and Immune cell infiltration

Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) is a comprehensive database (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/ timer/) that includes 10,897 samples from TCGA for estimating the extent of immune cell infiltration (21). We analyzed the correlation between the expression of IHGs and the markers of tumor-infiltrating immune cells, including B cells, CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, monocytes, neutrophils, and dendritic cells. These markers have been cited in previous studies $(22,23)$. Besides, TIMER database was also used to evaluate the correlation of these IHGs after the tumor purity was corrected. $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ was taken as the critical value.

## Relationship between potential KIRC biomarkers and immune checkpoints

Immunotherapy is currently one of the most promising tumor treatments, and immune-checkpoint blocking, as an immunotherapy strategy, has shown significant efficacy in the treatment of a range of cancers (24). To indicate the potential contribution of our selected biomarkers in immunotherapy, we evaluated the association between them and some effective immune checkpoints summarized from a previous study (25). Correlation coefficient and P values were calculated by Spearman's correlation analysis. Furthermore, we selected the top two groups of genes with the highest positive correlation coefficient in KIRC and the one group of genes with a negative correlation coefficient in KIRC, and then calculated the correlation coefficient and P values again in all 33 TCGA cancers by Pearson's correlation analysis [in a fashion similar to that of Huang et al. (26)] to further analyze our conclusions.

## Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of IHGs

GSEA is a threshold-free method of evaluating all genes based on their differential expression rank, or other scores, without prior gene filtering (27). To further explore the biological function of the potential biomarkers we selected, we performed this analysis with GSEA4.0.3 software from the Broad Institute (https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/) and
used the annotated gene set of "c2.cp.kegg.v6.2.symbols. gmt", which was downloaded from MSigDB. After 1,000 permutation steps, a gene set with a P value $<0.05$ was considered to be significantly enriched.

## Statistical analysis

Mann-Whitney U tests (also called the Wilcoxon ranksum test) were used to conduct difference comparisons of two groups and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used for the comparisons of three or more groups. Correlations coefficients between the expression level of potential biomarkers and the expression level of several immune checkpoints were computed by Spearman and distance correlation analyses. The prognostic value of these potential biomarkers was showed by the survival curves which were generated through the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was performed to identify the statistical significance of differences. The univariate Cox regression model was used to calculate the hazard ratios (HRs) for these potential biomarkers and the multivariable Cox regression model was used to identify independent prognostic factors using the survminer package. All statistical P value were two-side and $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ means the result has statistically significance. All statistical analyses were conducted through R 3.6.2 software.

## Results

## Identification of ODEGs

The study flowchart is shown in Figure 1: 1,575 upregulated and 468 downregulated genes were selected from GSE15641, and 10,455 upregulated, and 3,177 downregulated genes were screened from TCGA. From this, we obtained ODEGs, comprising 671 upregulated and 239 downregulated genes (Figure 2A,B).

## Construction of enrichment analysis, PPI network, and screening of bub genes

By analyzing the GO function, we found that the ODEGs were enriched in some cellular components (CCs) such as collagen-containing extracellular matrix (ECM), endoplasmic reticulum lumen, and major histocompatibility complex protein complex. In terms of biological processes (BPs), the ODEGs were enriched in functions of leukocyte migration, extracellular structure organization, and ECM organization.

In terms of molecular function (MF), peptide antigen binding, glycosaminoglycan binding, and the ECM structural constituent were found in the first enrichment classes (Figure 3A). All information is presented in Table 1. Through KEGG pathway analysis, we found the ODEGs mainly occurred in the HIF-1 signaling and PPAR signaling pathways, but were present in another six pathways (Figure 3B). The eight pathways, each with $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, are shown in Table 2.

The PPI network was constructed by STRING, and the data were imported into Cytoscape. One module of hub genes was selected by MCODE (Figure 3C), and 20 Hub genes were identified by cytoHubba (Figure 3D). We comprehensively analyzed the results of these two methods and screened four IHGs (C3, C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and $H L A-E$ ) from the overlapping genes from MCODE and cytoHubba for further analysis.

## Differential expression of IHGs and its influence on the prognosis of patients

Meta-analysis in Oncomine was performed to verify the differential expression of the four IHGs, and the result showed that all of them were significantly upregulated in KIRC tissues (8,28-31) (Figure 4A). The paired analysis between cancer and normal tissues also showed that these IHGs were significantly highly expressed in KIRC ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) (Figure $4 B$ ). Immunohistochemical staining showed that C3 presented as high intensity, HLA-DRA and HLA-E as medium intensity, and C3AR1 as low intensity in tumor tissue (Figure 4C).

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed for the 246 KIRC patients from TCGA with complete clinical and transcriptome data. The survival curves plotted based on the overall survival (OS) data indicated that all four IHGs could significantly affect the prognosis of patients (Figure $4 D, E, F, G$ ); that is, the high expression of $C 3$ could increase the risk of a poor prognosis (log-rank $\mathrm{P}=0.0083$ ), while the high expression of C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and $H L A-E$ could increase the possibility of better prognosis (C3AR1: log-rank $\mathrm{P}=0.047$; HLA-DRA: log-rank $\mathrm{P}=0.007$; $H L A-E: \log -$ rank $\mathrm{P}<0.0001)$. We used the GEPIA database to verify the role of these genes in KIRC patients and obtained a similar result (Figure 5).

## Association of potential biomarkers with clinical characteristics of KIRC patients

TPM data of KIRC patients with complete clinical and


Figure 1 Flowchart of the entire study. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; GO, Gene ontology; ODEGs, overlapping different expression genes; PPI, protein-protein interaction; IHGs, interesting hub genes.
transcriptome information were used to perform the clinical correlation analysis (Figure 6). The results showed that $C 3$ expression showed a higher trend in patients with higher degree of tumor malignancy (grade: $\mathrm{P}<0.001$; stage: $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and the difference in TNM-stage
expression level was also statistically significant (T-stage: $\mathrm{P}<0.001$; N-stage: $\mathrm{P}<0.05$; M -stage: $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Thus, the high expression level of $C 3$ may indicate the presence of tumor spread. Meanwhile, the expression levels of C3AR1, HLA-E, and HLA-DRA in different tumor grades


Figure 2 Intersection of DEGs in TCGA, KIRC database, and GSE15641. (A) Upregulated genes; (B) downregulated genes. Adjusted $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ and $\log \mathrm{FCI}>2$ were used as the cutoff criteria. DEG, differentially expressed gene; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma; $\log \mathrm{FC}, \log$ fold-change.
were also significantly different (C3AR1: $\mathrm{P}<0.05$; HLA-E: $\mathrm{P}<0.01 ;$ HLA-DRA: $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

Univariate Cox regression analysis also showed that all four genes could affect the prognosis of KIRC patients ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) and further multivariate Cox regression identified C3AR1 ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ), HLA-DRA ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), and HLA-E ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) as independent prognostic factors for KIRC patients (Table 3).

## Expression of each IHG and immune cell infiltration of KIRC

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are an independent marker of sentinel lymph node status and cancer survival. Therefore, we investigated the association between the expression of the IHGs and immune cell infiltration in KIRC. We found that the expression level of $C 3$ had a significant correlation with infiltrating B cells, macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and HLA-E had significant correlations with purity, B cells, CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, monocytes, neutrophils, and dendritic cells ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) (Figure 7). These results strongly suggest that these four genes play a specific role in immune cell infiltration of KIRC, which brings new hope for immunotherapy. After tumor purity was corrected by the TIMER database, we found these genes had close relationships, with C3AR1 and HLA-DRA being the closest genes (Partial cor $=0.769$, $\mathrm{P}=2.42 \mathrm{e}-91$ ) (Figure 8). These results will assist in further understanding the function and mechanisms of these genes in oncogenesis.

## Special role of potential biomarkers in the immunotherapy of KIRC

Spearman's correlation analysis showed the expression levels of C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and HLA-E were positively correlated with immune-checkpoint genes, and the correlation was statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ). Only $C 3$ had a negative correlation with CD274 (PD-L1) ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) (Figure 9A). The relationship between C3 and PD-L1 was significant in KIRC, which was not shown in other types of RCC (Figure 9B). HLA-DRA and PDCD1LG2 had the highest correlation coefficient (cor $=0.74$ ) followed by C3AR1 and PDCD1LG2 (cor $=0.73$ ). The pan-cancer analysis in RCC indicated that both C3AR1 and HLA-DRA had a strong positive correlation with PDCD1LG2 in three common types of RCC (Figure 9C,D).

## GSEA and the biological function of hub genes in KIRC

Through GSEA analysis, a powerful analytical method for identifying sets of genes regulated differently in one direction (32), we found all four genes were enriched in cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, chemokine signaling pathway, and some immune-related pathways. C3AR1 was enriched in the cancer pathway. C3, HLA$D R A$, and HLA-E were significantly enriched in the JAKSTAT signaling pathway and natural killer cell-mediated cytotoxicity (Figure 10).

## Discussion

Tumor markers have a wide range of applications in



| ID | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| hsa04066 | HIF-1 signaling pathway |
| hsa03320 | PPAR signaling pathway |
| hsa00010 | Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis |
| hsa04060 | Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction |
| hsa04061 | Viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine rece |
| hsa00051 | Fructose and mannose metabolism |
| hsa04015 | Rap1 signaling pathway |
| hsa01230 | Biosynthesis of amino acids |


D


Figure 3 GO and KEGG pathway analyses of ODEGs and the screening of hub genes. (A) Most significantly enriched terms of BP, MF, and CC; (B) eight most significantly enriched pathways in the KEGG pathway analysis. $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ was considered significantly enriched; (C) PPI network of 92 hub genes recognized via the MCODE tool of the Cytoscape software; (D) PPI network of 20 hub genes recognized via the cytoHubba tool of the Cytoscape software. We combined the results of the two methods and selected C3, C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and $H L A-E$ for the next analysis. GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; ODEG, overlapping differentially expressed gene; BP, biological process; MF, molecular function; CC, cellular component; PPI, protein-protein interaction; MCODE, Molecular Complex Detection.

Table 1 GO analysis of DEGs in KIRC

| Category | Term | Count | $\%$ | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GOTERM_BP | GO:0050900 leukocyte migration | 90 | 10.6 | $7.3 \mathrm{e}-30$ |
| GOTERM_BP | GO:0043062 extracellular structure organization | 76 | 8.9 | $2.9 \mathrm{e}-25$ |
| GOTERM_BP | GO:0030198 ECM organization | 68 | 8.0 | $2.3 \mathrm{e}-23$ |
| GOTERM_BP | GO:0042119 neutrophil activation | 77 | 9.0 | $2.5 \mathrm{e}-21$ |
| GOTERM_BP | GO:0043312 neutrophil degranulation | 75 | 8.8 | $8.5 \mathrm{e}-21$ |
| GOTERM_CC | GO:0062023 collagen-containing ECM | 72 | 8.2 | $2.5 \mathrm{e}-24$ |
| GOTERM_CC | GO:0005788 endoplasmic reticulum lumen | 54 | 6.2 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-18$ |
| GOTERM_CC | GO:0042611 MHC protein complex | 17 | 1.9 | $6.4 \mathrm{e}-18$ |
| GOTERM_CC | GO:0030667 secretory granule membrane | 52 | 6.0 | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-17$ |
| GOTERM_CC | GO:0009897 external side of plasma membrane | 59 | 6.8 | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-16$ |
| GOTERM_MF | GO:0042605 peptide antigen binding | 39 | 1.8 | $2.1 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| GOTERM_MF | GO:0005539 glycosaminoglycan binding | 32 | 4.6 | $4.4 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| GOTERM_MF | GO:0005201 ECM structural constituent | 33 | 3.8 | $7.8 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| GOTERM_MF | GO:0031406 carboxylic acid binding | 40 | 3.9 | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| GOTERM_MF | GO:0030246 carbohydrate binding | 4.7 | $2.2 \mathrm{e}-10$ |  |

The most significantly enriched GO terms are displayed. GO, Gene Ontology; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma; $B P$, biological process; $C C$, cellular component; MF, molecular function; $E C M$, extracellular matrix.

Table 2 KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs in KIRC

| Term | Count | $\%$ | P value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hsa04066: HIF-1 signaling pathway | 19 | 7.4 | $6.3 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| hsa03320: PPAR signaling pathway | 17 | 6.6 | $7.3 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| hsa00010: Glycolysis/ Gluconeogenesis | 14 | 5.4 | $1.3 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| hsa04060: Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction | 36 | 14.0 | $2.3 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| hsa04061: Viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor | 17 | 6.6 | $2.9 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| hsa00051: Fructose and mannose metabolism | 8 | 3.1 | $1.2 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| hsa04015: Rap1 signaling pathway | 25 | 9.7 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| hsa01230: Biosynthesis of amino acids | 12 | 4.7 | $3.8 \mathrm{e}-03$ |

The most significantly enriched KEGG pathways are displayed. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma.
predicting the occurrence and development of tumors, along with the prognosis of patients. Our study identified four genes as potential tumor markers of KIRC. Previous studies have used bioinformatics methods to search for potential RCC markers (5), but we used more validation methods to prove the reliability of our conclusions on multiple dimensions. Moreover, we deeply analyzed the relationship
between potential biomarkers and immune checkpoints, and then selected several key groups for further validation at the pan-cancer level to explore their unique role in KIRC immunotherapy. Studies pay attention to the role of these four biomarkers in KIRC patients were rare, especially for C3AR1 and HLA-DRA. Our study systematically and comprehensively analyzed their potential role in the clinical


Figure 4 Expression levels and prognostic value of IHGs (C3, C3AR1, HLA-DRA, HLA-E). (A) Expression levels of IHGs in the Oncomine database; (B) expression levels of IHGs by pair analysis; (C) immunohistochemical staining of IHGs in normal kidney tissue and KIRC; (D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of $C 3$; (E) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of C3AR1; (F) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of HLA-DRA; (G) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of $H L A-E . \mathrm{P}<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. IHG, interesting hub gene; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma.


Figure 5 Survival curves of $C 3, C 3 A R 1, H L A-D R A$, and $H L A-E$ from the GEPIA database. Red represents the high expression group, and blue represents the low expression group. Log-rank $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ for the survival rate between two groups shows a statistically significant difference. GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis.
characters, prognosis and immunotherapy of KIRC patients, providing strong evidence and research direction for further research.

Complement component C3 plays a central role in the activation of the complement system. Both classical and alternative complement activation pathways are needed to activate it. If lacking, the immune system may be damaged (33). The relationship between C3 and tumorigenesis is a research hotspot. Zha et al. suggested tumor cell-derived C3 may be an effective target for tumor immunotherapy (34). Abnormal expression of $C 3$ is likely to harm cancer patients, and although the role of $C 3$ in colorectal cancer (35) and liver cancer (36) has been explored, research on $C 3$ in KIRC is still lacking. Our study deeply and comprehensively analyzed the relationship between C3 and the prognosis of KIRC patients. We found that $C 3$ is a significant indicator for these patients.

Upregulation of its expression level may reveal the occurrence and development of tumors. We also conducted a pan-cancer analysis of the relationship between $C 3$ and CD274 within the scope of RCC and found they both have a unique negative correlation in KIRC, which suggests that $C 3$ may be involved in the regulatory pathway of $P D$ L1 (coding gene: CD274). This unique correlation also revealed C3's potential as an immune target for KIRC. The GO and KEGG analyses showed that $C 3$ is mainly enriched in biological functions or pathways related to immunity, inflammation, and infection.

C3AR1 is an orphan G protein-coupled receptor for C3a, which is decomposed by C3. C3 and C3AR1 are closely related and play important roles in many BPs, such as the C3-C3a-C3AR1 pathway (37) and the formation of intestinal organoids (38). However, there has not been a study based on the relationship between C3AR1 and KIRC,


Figure 6 Correlation between gene expression level and clinical indicators of patients. (A) C3 and tumor grade ( $\mathrm{P}=0.00045$ ); (B) C3 and AJCC-stage ( $\mathrm{P}=0.0022$ ); (C) C3 and T-stage ( $\mathrm{P}=0.00071$ ); ( D$) C 3$ and N -stage ( $\mathrm{P}=0.015$ ); ( E ) C3 and M-stage ( $\mathrm{P}=0.045$ ); ( F ) C3AR1 and tumor grade ( $\mathrm{P}=0.042$ ); ( G ) HLA-E and tumor grade $(\mathrm{P}=0.0046) ;(\mathrm{H}) H L A-E$ and T-stage ( $\mathrm{P}=0.027$ ); ( I$) H L A-E$ and T-stage $(\mathrm{P}=0.018)$. AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer.

Table 3 Univariate Cox and multivariate Cox independent prognostic analyses

| Gene | Univariate analysis |  |  |  | Multivariate analysis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HR | HR-95L | HR-95H | $P$ value | HR | HR-95L | HR-95H | $P$ value |
| C3 | 1.20 | 1.04 | 1.39 | 0.01 | 1.09 | 0.93 | 1.27 | 0.29 |
| C3AR1 | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.98 | 0.03 | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.94 | <0.01 |
| HLA-DRA | 0.73 | 0.63 | 0.85 | <0.01 | 0.73 | 0.63 | 0.86 | <0.01 |
| HLA-E | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.72 | <0.01 | 0.54 | 0.41 | 0.72 | <0.01 |

The univariate analysis shows the prognosis value of these four potential biomarkers and the multivariate analysis is used to identify the independent prognostic factors. HR, hazard ratio; HR-95L, hazard ratio $95 \%$ confidence intervals lower; HR-95H, hazard ratio $95 \%$ confidence intervals upper.


Figure 7 Correlation of the expression level of IHGs with immune cell infiltration level in KIRC. (A) Correlation of $C 3$ expression level with immune infiltration level in KIRC; (B) correlation of C3AR1 expression level with immune cell infiltration level in KIRC; (C) correlation of $H L A-D R A$ expression level with immune cell infiltration level in KIRC; (D) correlation of $H L A-E$ expression level with immune cell infiltration level in KIRC. $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. IHG, interesting hub gene; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma.
and ours is the first to propose that C3AR1 is a potential biomarker for KIRC. After a comprehensive analysis, we found that C3AR1 is a significant protective factor and an independent risk factor for KIRC patients. Meanwhile, C3AR1 showed a significant correlation with various immune-checkpoints, and the strongest correlation was with PDCD1LG2, a correlation that exists in other types of RCC in particular and in most other types of cancers. In addition, immune cell infiltration analysis found that C3AR1 was most correlated with macrophages in KIRC patients, which provides ideas and directions for precise immunotherapy in KIRC patients.

Compared with other HLA genes, the reported polymorphism of the $H L A-D R A$ gene is limited (39), and the important role of this gene in the occurrence and development of cervical cancer (40), rectal cancer (41), and ductal cancer (42) has been studied. However, the
relationship between this gene and KIRC has not been reported, and we found it acted as a protective, independent prognostic factor in KIRC patients. Iwahashi et al. found valproic acid combined with gemcitabine could regulate the expression level of $H L A-D R A$, and the differentiation or apoptosis of tumor cells (43). Our study found that HLA$D R A$ was closely correlated with PDCD1LG2, and our pan-cancer analysis found that this relationship existed in most tumor types, which may partly explain the regulatory mechanism of $H L A-D R A$ in the immunotherapy process, and further reveals its great potential as an immunotherapy target.
$H L A-E$ is a non-classical class $H L A-I$ molecule associated with tumor immune evasion. Studies have shown that $H L A-E$ overexpression often occurs in RCC and is related to immunogenicity reduction (44). There is a positive correlation between $H L A-E$ expression and a better


Figure 8 Relationships among four IHGs after tumor purity was corrected. (A) C3 and C3AR1; (B) C3 and HLA-DRA; (C) C3 and HLA-E; (D) C3AR1 and HLA-DRA; (E) C3AR1 and HLA-E; (F) HLA-DRA and HLA-E. IHG, interesting hub gene.

Fuhrmann score (45), which is consistent with our finding that the high expression of $H L A-E$ improved OS in KIRC patients. Our study further demonstrated that HLA-E is a protective, independent prognostic factor for KIRC patients, and the higher the degree of tumor malignancy, the lower the expression level. Similar to $H L A-D R A$ and C3AR1, HLA-E is also closely related to CD274, PDCD1LG2, and TIGIT, and its unique contribution in the process of KIRC immunotherapy deserves more attention.

There are still some limitations and deficiencies of this study. Firstly, it was a purely bioinformatics study, and its scientific hypothesis has not been verified by biological experiments. Secondly, although database data were used, the sample size was still limited. In the future, we will conduct corresponding in vivo and in vitro experiments to validate the specific functions of the selected tumor markers and continue to explore the potential carcinogenic
mechanism of hub genes in combination with multi-omics analysis.

## Conclusions

We analyzed KIRC transcriptome data through a comprehensive bioinformatics approach with the help of TCGA and GEO. Our study identified C3, C3AR1, HLA$D R A$, and HLA-E as potential tumor markers of KIRC, and their expression had a close correlation with tumor immune cell infiltrates. Moreover, C3AR1, HLA-DRA, and HLA-E could be independent prognostic factors of KIRC. C3 and CD274 showed special correlations in KIRC compared with other types of RCC, which may provide new directions for the immunotherapy of KIRC. The results of this research will have a positive effect on the early detection, early treatment, and early cure of KIRC, thus improving the prognosis of KIRC patients.


Figure 9 Association between potential biomarkers and immune-checkpoints. (A) Correlation of four genes and seven immune-checkpoints. Red represents a positive correlation, and blue represents a negative correlation; the darker the color in the heatmap, the higher the correlation between genes. *, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$; **, $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; (B) correlation between $C 3$ and CD274 in 33 types of cancers through the pan-cancer analysis; (C) correlation between C3AR1 and PDCD1LG2 in 33 types of cancers though the pan-cancer analysis; (D) correlation between $H L A-D R A$ and PDCD1LG2 in 33 types of cancers though the pan-cancer analysis.


Figure 10 The results of GSEA: (A) C3, (B) C3AR1, (C) HLA-DRA, (D) $H L A-E . \mathrm{P}<0.05$ were considered to show significant enrichment. GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis.
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