

Radiotherapy for brain metastases: quo vadis?

Eric Ojerholm, Charles B. Simone II

Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

Correspondence to: Eric Ojerholm, MD. Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Civic Center Blvd, PCAM-2 West, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. Email: eric.ojerholm@uphs.upenn.edu.

Submitted Aug 25, 2016. Accepted for publication Aug 26, 2016.

doi: 10.21037/apm.2016.08.07

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm.2016.08.07>

The brain is precious real estate. Metastases can wreak havoc by lodging in eloquent areas or by raising intracranial pressure. Fortunately, radiotherapy effectively treats these lesions. Yet the optimal radiotherapy technique has been fiercely debated. In the July 2016 issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)*, Brown and colleagues reported a randomized trial that adds clarity to the controversy (1).

At the center of this issue are two different radiotherapy techniques: whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS). WBRT irradiates the entire brain, simultaneously treating both visible metastases and potential unseen microscopic disease. In contrast, SRS targets only the known lesions. Neither approach is thought to be superior for overall survival; instead, the controversy involves balancing intracranial control and side effects (2-4). WBRT decreases the risk of new brain metastases and thus achieves better intracranial control (5-7). However, by exposing a large amount of brain to irradiation, WBRT can cause declines in cognition and quality of life (7-9). SRS minimizes the volume of treated brain, potentially limiting the risk of radiation-induced cognitive toxicity. Yet SRS leaves patients more vulnerable to additional metastases—and these new lesions can impair cognition and quality of life (10-12). So, which is more important: minimizing the amount of irradiated brain or maximizing intracranial control (13)?

Brown and colleagues designed the N0574 trial to help answer this question (1). They randomized 213 patients across 34 North American centers to SRS alone or SRS + WBRT between 2002 and 2013. Eligible patients had 1-3 lesions—each less than 3 cm in diameter—and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status ≤ 2 . Investigators tested cognition and quality of life

before radiotherapy and at 10 time points afterward using a battery of validated instruments. The primary endpoint was cognitive deterioration at 3 months, defined as a decline in any cognitive test ≥ 1 standard deviation from baseline.

With a median follow-up of 7.2 months, there was no difference in overall survival between the two groups ($P=0.92$). Intracranial control was better in patients treated with SRS + WBRT compared to SRS alone (3 months: 94% vs. 75%, 6 months: 88% vs. 65%, 12 months: 85% vs. 51%; $P<0.001$). However, patients receiving WBRT had significantly higher rates of cognitive decline at 3 months (92% vs. 64%, $P<0.001$). Similarly, patients receiving WBRT had significantly worse overall quality of life at 3 months ($P=0.001$). Functional independence in activities of daily living was not significantly different between the groups ($P=0.26$).

In summary, the results suggest that SRS alone better preserves cognition and quality of life despite new lesions being more common. This study builds upon and extends previous work. In particular, two smaller randomized trials reported either worsened cognition (7) or quality of life (9) with WBRT. Brown and colleagues now confirm these results, linking declines in cognition to declines in overall quality of life in a single, large trial using established instruments.

Yet the study is not without limitations. For example, the primary endpoint was tested at 3 months. This early time point is relevant given the often limited survival of patients with brain metastases. However, cognitive effects of WBRT are at their worst around this period and may recover somewhat over time (14,15). The study only reported cognitive results at other time points in a small subset of 30 long-term survivors. Additional limitations include lack of blinding to treatment arm and a dropout rate

approaching 25% before the 3-month primary endpoint. Finally, the trial does not guide practice for patients with poor performance status (ECOG >2) (16).

There are also practical questions raised by the study. Many oncologists may not be familiar with intricacies of the Grooved Pegboard Test, the Trail Making Test, and the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised. What does a 1-standard deviation decline on these instruments look like in the real world? How should physicians explain this concretely to patients? Furthermore, how will a cost-conscious healthcare system (17) view a 12-point quality of life benefit on a 200-point scale—particularly considering the need for more screening and salvage treatment (18)? Indeed, patients on the SRS alone arm required significantly higher rates of salvage radiotherapy or surgery compared to patients treated with WBRT initially (32% *vs.* 8%, $P < 0.001$) (1).

Where do we go from here? The pendulum has been swinging toward SRS alone (19,20), and we expect the N0574 trial will further entrench this approach as a preferred option for many patients with limited metastases. We also anticipate more enthusiasm for SRS in cases with ≥ 4 metastases; emerging data suggest similar survival and intracranial control for 2–10 lesions (21), and clinicians will likely extrapolate the conclusions of N0574 to these cases.

Yet WBRT will remain a useful tool in the radiation oncologist's arsenal. Patients with many metastases or leptomeningeal disease are well served by WBRT. Following surgical resection of lesions, there is also higher-level evidence supporting adjuvant WBRT (6,22) compared to SRS (23,24)—for now (25). Finally, the cognitive effects of WBRT may be somewhat mitigated by memantine (26) and emerging hippocampal-sparing advanced radiotherapy techniques (27).

For the moment, Brown and colleagues have generated important randomized data that will help guide oncologists and patients. In some situations, the choice of SRS or WBRT is now clearer. For others, it will remain a complex conversation, relying on both the science—and art—of medicine (28).

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Brown PD, Jaeckle K, Ballman KV, et al. Effect of Radiosurgery Alone vs Radiosurgery With Whole Brain Radiation Therapy on Cognitive Function in Patients With 1 to 3 Brain Metastases: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2016;316:401-9.
2. Shen CJ, Lim M, Kleinberg LR. Controversies in the Therapy of Brain Metastases: Shifting Paradigms in an Era of Effective Systemic Therapy and Longer-Term Survivorship. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2016;17:46.
3. Tsao MN. Brain metastases: advances over the decades. *Ann Palliat Med* 2015;4:225-32.
4. Jones JA, Simone CB 2nd. Palliative radiotherapy for advanced malignancies in a changing oncologic landscape: guiding principles and practice implementation. *Ann Palliat Med* 2014;3:192-202.
5. Aoyama H, Shirato H, Tago M, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery plus whole-brain radiation therapy vs stereotactic radiosurgery alone for treatment of brain metastases: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006;295:2483-91.
6. Kocher M, Soffiatti R, Abacioglu U, et al. Adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation after radiosurgery or surgical resection of one to three cerebral metastases: results of the EORTC 22952-26001 study. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:134-41.
7. Chang EL, Wefel JS, Hess KR, et al. Neurocognition in patients with brain metastases treated with radiosurgery or radiosurgery plus whole-brain irradiation: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10:1037-44.
8. Sun A, Bae K, Gore EM, et al. Phase III trial of prophylactic cranial irradiation compared with observation in patients with locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: neurocognitive and quality-of-life analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:279-86.
9. Soffiatti R, Kocher M, Abacioglu UM, et al. A European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer phase III trial of adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation in patients with one to three brain metastases from solid tumors after surgical resection or radiosurgery: quality-of-life results. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:65-72.
10. Regine WF, Scott C, Murray K, et al. Neurocognitive outcome in brain metastases patients treated with accelerated-fractionation vs. accelerated-hyperfractionated radiotherapy: an analysis from Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Study 91-04. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001;51:711-7.

11. Li J, Bentzen SM, Renschler M, et al. Regression after whole-brain radiation therapy for brain metastases correlates with survival and improved neurocognitive function. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:1260-6.
12. Aoyama H, Tago M, Kato N, et al. Neurocognitive function of patients with brain metastasis who received either whole brain radiotherapy plus stereotactic radiosurgery or radiosurgery alone. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007;68:1388-95.
13. Ojerholm E, Alonso-Basanta M, Simone CB 2nd. Stereotactic radiosurgery alone for small cell lung cancer: a neurocognitive benefit? *Radiat Oncol* 2014;9:218.
14. Mahmood U, Kwok Y, Regine WF, et al. Whole-brain irradiation for patients with brain metastases: still the standard of care. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:221-2; author reply 223.
15. Armstrong CL, Corn BW, Ruffer JE, et al. Radiotherapeutic effects on brain function: double dissociation of memory systems. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol Behav Neurol* 2000;13:101-11.
16. Jones JA, Simone CB 2nd. Whole brain radiotherapy for patients with poor prognosis: possibilities for the impact of the QUARTZ trial. *Ann Palliat Med* 2015;4:58-60.
17. Schnipper LE, Davidson NE, Wollins DS, et al. Updating the American Society of Clinical Oncology Value Framework: Revisions and Reflections in Response to Comments Received. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:2925-34.
18. Mehta MP. The controversy surrounding the use of whole-brain radiotherapy in brain metastases patients. *Neuro Oncol* 2015;17:919-23.
19. American Society for Radiation Oncology. Five More Things Physicians and Patients Should Question. #10. Don't routinely add adjuvant whole brain radiation therapy to stereotactic radiosurgery for limited brain metastases. Available online: <http://www.choosingwisely.org/wp-content/uploads/c/02/ASTRO-Choosing-Wisely-List.pdf>
20. Kaidar-Person O, Anders CK, Zagar TM, et al. Whole Brain Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases: Is the Debate Over? *JAMA* 2016;316:393-5.
21. Yamamoto M, Serizawa T, Shuto T, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLGK0901): a multi-institutional prospective observational study. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:387-95.
22. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 1998;280:1485-9.
23. Ojerholm E, Lee JY, Thawani JP, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery to the resection bed for intracranial metastases and risk of leptomeningeal carcinomatosis. *J Neurosurg* 2014;121 Suppl:75-83.
24. Brennan C, Yang TJ, Hilden P, et al. A phase 2 trial of stereotactic radiosurgery boost after surgical resection for brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2014;88:130-6.
25. Stereotactic Radiosurgery or Whole-Brain Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Brain Metastases That Have Been Removed By Surgery. Available online: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01372774>
26. Brown PD, Pugh S, Laack NN, et al. Memantine for the prevention of cognitive dysfunction in patients receiving whole-brain radiotherapy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neuro Oncol* 2013;15:1429-37.
27. Gondi V, Pugh SL, Tome WA, et al. Preservation of memory with conformal avoidance of the hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment during whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases (RTOG 0933): a phase II multi-institutional trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:3810-6.
28. Bauman G. Choosing Wisely? "It's Complicated!". *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2016;6:71-3.

Cite this article as: Ojerholm E, Simone CB 2nd. Radiotherapy for brain metastases: quo vadis? *Ann Palliat Med* 2016;5(4):322-324. doi: 10.21037/apm.2016.08.07