



3D and 4D based applications in orthopaedics has not been pioneered

Orthopaedic 3D and 4D application

Orthopaedic 3D is driving major innovations in many areas, such as manufacturing, engineering, art, education and medicine (1). Especially, the orthopaedic field is greatly becoming interested in this technology with the ability to create solutions specific tailored to the patient. From the creation of 3D models that help surgeons plan operations to the fabrication of patient-specific titanium implants, 3D printing is already changing traditional musculoskeletal industry (2).

Musculoskeletal imaging and modeling procedures for solid organ 3D printing

Musculoskeletal images from hospitals consist of a 2D dataset and provide human body information as a slice, but the human body has 3D morphology. If we should simulate this 3D morphology, we might be able to obtain more information about the body as well as contribute in the clinical environment to both treatment and surgical outcomes. The objective for solid organ 3D printing is to generate 3D musculoskeletal data from 2D images. Although doctors expend a great deal of time and effort in this process, the resultant 3D data are different in each institute. This procedure, therefore, provides standard, easy, and accurate 3D data for solid organ 3D printing.

Personalized artificial joint implant 3D model design

The goal of musculoskeletal 3D printing in the orthopedic field is to replace the normal biomedical functions of missing bones. It is necessary to output and apply the artificial joint replacement as the presently feasible intermediate step. This standard is to apply the output to the operation by individually optimizing the shape of the implants of the lost joint based on the rotation data of the positional rotation of the mirrored motion in the normal joint. The use of CAD based on medical image is essential, and a designing technique that minimizes the modeling error is needed. Therefore, definition of optimal design elements for orthopaedic 3D printing and development of technical standards based on the analysis of musculoskeletal elements of artificial joint output are required for analysis of patient's 3D model data, artificial joint template and other technical factors (3). In order to maximize the patient and physician's satisfaction with implant surgery, the accuracy of artificial prosthesis placement is important, and surgical guide model design techniques are required to minimize errors.

In vivo evaluation of 3D printed polymeric scaffolds in bone defects

The *in vivo* experimentation required for the biological assessment of 3D bioprinted polymeric scaffolds intended for use in bone regeneration. 3D bioprinted scaffolds are gaining increased attention, and animal experiments are fundamental in assessing their performance prior to potential clinical use (3). This international standard can be applied to the preclinical assessment such as animal experiments to evaluate the *in vivo* performance of 3D bioprinted porous polymeric scaffolds.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Joint* for the series "Medical 3D Application in Orthopaedics". The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflict of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoj.2019.03.03>). The series “Medical 3D Application in Orthopaedics” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. YLM served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series and serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Annals of Joint* from Aug 2017 to Jul 2019. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Lee KH, Bin H, Kim K, et al. Hand Functions of Myoelectric and 3D-Printed Pressure-Sensored Prosthetics: A Comparative Study. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2017;41:875-80.
2. Available online: <https://www.ge.com/additive/additive-manufacturing/industries/medical>
3. Available online: https://standards.ieee.org/project/3333_2_3.html



Young Lae Moon, MD

Young Lae Moon

Department of Orthopaedic, Chosun University Hospital, Gwangju, Korea.

(Email: yilm2103@gmail.com)

Received: 11 March 2019; 21 March 2019; Published: 24 April 2019.

doi: 10.21037/aoj.2019.03.03

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoj.2019.03.03>

doi: 10.21037/aoj.2019.03.03

Cite this article as: Moon YL. 3D and 4D based applications in orthopaedics has not been pioneered. *Ann Joint* 2019;4:24.