Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		X

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID		X
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.		X

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID		X
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		X
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) **OR** RRID		X

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		X
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		X

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The study was approved by the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects, Study #00027973. Page 3, ethical statement.	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Informed consent was not required for this retrospective review of de-identified radiographs.	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Yes, page 7, final paragraph.	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number **OR** cite DOI in manuscript.		X

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	Page 8, paragraphs 2 and 3	

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done**, or** if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Not carried out for this retrospective study.	
Randomisation	Not carried out for this retrospective study.	
Blinding	The investigator measuring grayscale from radiographs was blinded to tumor necrosis.	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Yes, page 7, third paragraph	

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		X
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		X

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The study was approved by the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects, Study #00027973. Page 3, ethical statement.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		X
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		X

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		X

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Yes, page 9, paragraph 2	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.		X
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		X
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		X

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Publicly available code were used for this study (fitgeotrans.m and imshowpair.m, available at mathworks.com)	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	https://www.mathworks.com/help/images/ref/imshowpair.html https://www.mathworks.com/help/images/ref/fitgeotrans.html	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE authorship guidelines were followed for this study. Checklist is attached.	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoj-20-70.