

Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: [doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x](https://doi.org/10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x)). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Yes, KNUH 2020-04-054-002	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		Retrospective, medical

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		Retrospective, medical
Randomisation		Retrospective, medical
Blinding		Retrospective, medical
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		Retrospective, medical
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Yes, KNUH 2020-04-054-002	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		Retrospective, medical record analysis

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		Retrospective, medical record analysis
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		Retrospective, medical record analysis
State whether the code or software is available.		Retrospective, medical
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		Retrospective, medical record analysis

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.		n/a

Article information: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ls-20-22>.