©2003, Acta Pharmacologica Sinica Chinese Pharmacological Society Shang hai Institute of Materia Medica Chinese Academ y of Sciences http://www.ChinaPhar.com

# (-)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate enhances anti-tumor effect of cytosine arabinoside on HL-60 cells<sup>1</sup>

XU Feng<sup>2,3</sup>, ZHEN Yong-Su<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacology, 2nd Affiliated Hospital, First Military Medical University, Guangzhou 510280; <sup>3</sup>Department of Oncology, Institute of Medicinal Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050, China

**KEY WORDS** (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate; cytosine arabinoside; cell division; cell cycle; calcium; phytogenic antineoplastic agents; combined antineoplastic agents; Western blotting; flow cytometry

## ABSTRACT

**AIM:** To study the potentiation of anti-tumor effect induced by cytosine arabinoside (AraC) with (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG). **METHODS:** Growth curve method and MTT assay were used to measure the cytotoxic effect of AraC alone or in combination with EGCG on HL-60 cells. Flow cytometry was used to study the cell cycle distribution of HL-60 cells. Nullification assay was used to examine whether EGCG would nullify the rescue effect of deoxycytidine (dCdR) to AraC. Western blot analysis was employed to investigate bcl-2 expression. Intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> assay was evaluated. **RESULTS:** Inhibition of HL-60 cell proliferation induced by AraC was enhanced by EGCG, with multiplication time prolonging from 48 h to 70 h and growth saturation density decreasing from 5.78 to 5.54. The MTT results indicated that IC<sub>50</sub> was decreased from  $(0.34\pm0.29) \mu mol/L$  (AraC alone) to  $(0.11\pm0.09) \mu mol/L$  (*P*<0.05) (in combination with EGCG). Cell cycle analysis indicated that AraC blocked HL-60 cells in G<sub>1</sub> phase, inhibited cells in S phase. EGCG had no effect on cell cycle at the current concentration, but enhanced the cell arrest by AraC. Nullification assay indicated that IC<sub>50</sub> was 0.03 µmol/L (AraC alone), increased to 0.02 mmol/ L when rescued with dCdR, and finally decreased to 4.8 µmol/L when addition with EGCG. The expression of bcl-2 protein was down-regulated after treatment with AraC in combination with EGCG. The intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> was increased after treatment by AraC in combination with EGCG. CONCLUSION: The combination with EGCG could enhance the anti-tumor effect of AraC on HL-60 cells.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Intense interest in biochemical modulators has increased in tumor chemotherapy in recent years. Bio-

<sup>2</sup> Correspondence to Assoc Prof XU Feng, PhD.

Phn 86-20-6164-3555. Fax 86-20-6164-3899.

E-mail and rew fxu@sina.com

Received 2002-09-16 Accepted 2002-10-22

chemical modulators could enhance the anti-tumor activity and reduce the side effects of anti-tumor agents by acting on specific link or molecular target in biochemical metabolism<sup>[1]</sup>. Based on our systematic research for more than 10 years, we advanced nucleoside transport as a new tactic of anticancer drug research, and have selected many biochemical modulators from the traditional Chinese medicines and other natural products. Green tea polyphenols, salvianolic acid A, antibiotic C3368-a, antibiotic C3368-b, and cinnamamide represent our research achievements<sup>[2-6]</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Project supported by the National Key Basic Research Development Program (973), No G1998051212.

In our previous studies we had found that green tea polyphenols inhibited nucleoside transport in tumor cells, enhanced cytotoxic activity of AraC and methotrexate on tumor cells, and potentiated the efficacy of AraC on leukemia L1210 and P388 in mouse<sup>[2-3]</sup>. Green tea polyphenol is a multi-components extract containing catechins, 80 % of catechins is (-)-epigallocatechin-3gallate (EGCG)<sup>[7]</sup>. To explore the potential application of green tea polyphenols in the tumor chemotherapy, we investigated the modulative effect of EGCG, a unitary component, on anti-tumor activity of AraC on HL-60 cells.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Drugs and chemicals EGCG was a kind gift from Prof CHENG Shu-Jun (Cancer Institute, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China). AraC injection, 50 mg/vial, was purchased from Shanghai Hua-Lian Pharmaceutical Cooperation (Shanghai, China). 3-(4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT), propidium iodide (PI), DNase were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Antibody of bcl-2 was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). RPMI-1640 was purchased from GIBCO BRL (Grand Island, NY). EGCG was dissolved in serum-free RPMI-1640 just before use. AraC was first dissolved in sterile isotonic saline and diluted in serum-free RPMI-1640 just before use. The other chemicals used in this study were of highest purity available.

**Cell culture** Human acute promyelocytic cell line HL-60 was cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mmol/L glutamine, penicillin (100 kU/L) and streptomycin (100 mg/L) at 37 °C in an incubator in a humidified atmosphere, with 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> in air. The cells were routinely passaged every 2 or 3 d.

**Cell proliferation** Briefly,  $1 \times 10^8$ /L cells in the logarithmic growth phase were harvested and seeded in 25-cm<sup>2</sup> NUNC flasks (Denmark) overnight. The drugs were added and the cells were further incubated for various periods of time. At the end of incubation, cell viability was determined by the Trypan blue dye exclusion method. Growth curve was drawn according to the logarithmic number of cells/L with the incubation time. The multiplication time and growth saturation density were calculated based on the curve.

MTT assay Briefly, cells in the logarithmic growth

phase were harvested and seeded in 96-well plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA) overnight. The cell number was diluted to 5000/well. The drugs were added and cells were further incubated for 72 h. The cell population was determined using MTT according to the method described by Carmichael *et al*<sup>[8]</sup>. Combination drug index (CDI) was used to evaluate whether the combination of drug A and drug B was synergistic or not, which was calculated according to the formula<sup>[9]</sup>:

$$CDI = \frac{Survival \% (drug A + drug B)}{Survival \% (drug A) \times Survival \% (drug B)}$$

The value of CDI  $\leq 1$  meant synergistic drug interaction.

Flow cytometry Cell harvest and seeding were the same as described above. After the appropriate treatment, cells were harvested by centrifugation and washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The cells were fixed with ice-cold 75 % ethanol at 4 °C for 18 h. The fixed cell suspensions were washed with PBS, and then treated with 800  $\mu$ L 50 mg/L PI dye and 50 mg/L RNase for 30 min in the dark. Samples were run through a FACS can (ELITE). Results were presented as the number of cells versus the amount of DNA as indicated by the intensity of fluorescence.

**Nullification assay** Briefly, cells in the logarithmic growth phase were harvested and seeded in 24well plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA) overnight. The cell number was diluted to  $1 \times 10^{5}$ /well. AraC alone, or in combination with dCdR, or further plus EGCG were added and cells were further incubated for 72 h. The cell viability was determined by the Trypan blue dye exclusion method. Cell viability IC<sub>50</sub> was calculated.

Western blot analysis The cells were lysed in lysis buffer at 4 °C with sonication. The lysates were centrifuged at  $15000 \times g$  for 15 min and the concentration of the protein in each lysate was determined with Coomassie brilliant blue G-250. Loading buffer was added to each lysate, which was subsequently boiled for 3 min and then electrophoresed on a SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose and incubated with anti-bcl-2 antibody and then with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody in the second reaction. Detection was performed with enhanced chemiluminescence agent. The results on Western blot analysis represented the average of the three individual experiments.

Intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> assay Briefly, the cells were

collected by centrifugation, washed with Hanks' solution and re-suspended with DMEM. The cell suspension was added with Fura-2 AM and loaded for 45 min in 37 °C water bath. The cells were washed with Hanks' solution twice and entered alternative time-scan assay to determine the intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration at the condition of excitation wavelength A340 nm, B380 nm and emission wavelength 500 nm. The intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration was calculated according to the following formula<sup>[10]</sup>:

$$[\mathbf{Ca}^{2+}]_{i} = K_{d} \times \frac{R - R_{\min}}{R_{\max} - R} \times \frac{S_{f2}}{S_{b2}}$$

Statistical analysis The data were mean values of experiments for at least 3 times and expressed as mean $\pm$ SD. The student's *t*-test was used to compare data. *P*<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

**Effect of EGCG on AraC-induced inhibition** of **cell proliferation** The effect of EGCG on AraC-induced inhibition of cell proliferation on HL-60 cells was shown in Fig 1.

The HL-60 cell growth curve appeared linear from d 1 to d 5. The mortalities of four groups were 0 % (control), 9.9 % (EGCG 20  $\mu$ mol/L alone), 32.8 % (AraC 0.20  $\mu$ mol/L alone), and 43.6 % (AraC 0.20  $\mu$ mol/L in combination with EGCG 20  $\mu$ mol/L), respectively. The



Fig 1. HL-60 cell growth curves by different treatments. Control: RPMI-1640; EGCG: 20 mmol/L; AraC: 0.20 mmol/ L; EGCG+AraC: EGCG 20 mmol/L+AraC 0.20 mmol/L. *n*=3. Mean±SD.

multiplication time of 4 groups was 30 h (control), 37 h (EGCG alone), 48 h (AraC alone), and 70 h (AraC+EGCG) respectively, based on the cell number of d 5. The growth saturation density of 4 groups was 6.35 (control), 6.17 (EGCG alone), 5.78 (AraC alone), and 5.54 (AraC+EGCG) respectively. The results indicated that AraC 0.2  $\mu$ mol/L had stronger cytotoxic activity in combination with EGCG, prolonged the multiplication time, and weakened the cell proliferation ability.

**Effect of EGCG on AraC-induced cytotoxic activity** The effect of EGCG on AraC-induced cytotoxic activity on HL-60 cells was shown in Tab 1.

Tab 1. Inhibitory percentage (%) of HL-60 cell proliferation induced by AraC alone or in combination with EGCG (20 mmol/L). n=3. Mean±SD.

AraC concentration/ $\mu$ mol· L <sup>-1</sup>	AraC alone	AraC+EGCG	Combination Drug Index	
		~ -		
0.80	74±17	80±7	1.15	
0.40	39±45	73±11	0.67	
0.20	22±42	55±27	0.87	
0.10	$11 \pm 20$	40±20	1.01	
0	0	33±5		

The cytotoxic activity of AraC at different concentrations was enhanced in combination with EGCG, compared with AraC alone. Synergism was shown between AraC 0.40  $\mu$ mol/L and 0.20  $\mu$ mol/L in combination with EGCG 20  $\mu$ mol/L respectively, because CDI was less than 1. IC<sub>50</sub> of AraC in combination with EGCG was (0.11±0.09)  $\mu$ mol/L , decreasing from (0.34± 0.29)  $\mu$ mol/L (AraC alone) (*P*<0.05).

**Cell cycle distribution** HL-60 cells treated with EGCG 20  $\mu$ mol/L demonstrated a normal distribution pattern. The cells treated with AraC 0.20  $\mu$ mol/L produced G<sub>1</sub> arrest and blocked the cells in S phase. Furthermore 0.20  $\mu$ mol/L AraC-induced G<sub>1</sub> arrest, S inhibition, and apoptosis were enhanced in the presence of EGCG 20  $\mu$ mol/L. (Tab 2)

Nullification assay Nullification assay results were shown in Fig 2. The results showed that AraC more than 0.20  $\mu$ mol/L almost inhibited cell proliferation of HL-60 cells. dCdR 10 mg/L could reverse the inhibition by AraC markedly. However, EGCG 20  $\mu$ mol/ L nullified the reversal of dCdR to a certain degree, which indicated that EGCG enhanced the cytotoxic

Group	Time (h)	<b>G</b> <sub>1</sub> (%)	G <sub>2</sub> /M (%)	S (%)	Sub-G <sub>1</sub> (%)
Control		30.4	10.7	59.0	
AraC	3	46.8	6.9	46.5	3.4
	6	50.6	6.5	43.0	5.1
	12	52.0	4.7	43.4	7.9
	24	47.9	12.8	39.4	30.5
EGCG	3	30.5	16.7	52.8	
	6	32.2	15.2	52.6	
	12	37.7	20.7	41.7	8.1
	24	26.1	15.8	58.1	6.8
AraC+EGCG	3	42.0	12.5	45.5	13.5
	6	45.8	11.9	42.3	16.1
	12	46.6	8.7	44.8	22.4
	24	53.5	18.9	27.7	40.5



Tab 2. Comparison of cell cycle distribution of HL-60 cells treated with AraC 0.20 mmol/L alone or in combination with EGCG 20 mmol/L at different time points.

Fig 2. EGCG nullified the reversal of dCdR on AraC antitumor effect. The concentrations of dCyd and EGCG were 20 mmol/L.

activity of AraC by nullifying the rescue effect of dCdR. IC<sub>50</sub> of AraC (alone) in nullification assay was (0.03 $\pm$  0.01) µmol/L, increasing to (0.02 $\pm$ 0.01) mmol/L when dCdR was added, and finally reducing to (4.8 $\pm$ 4.0) µmol/L when EGCG was added.

Effect of EGCG and AraC on the expression of bcl-2 protein The bands were scanned with light density. Bcl-2 expression after treatment with EGCG 20  $\mu$ mol/L was almost the same as that of the control. After treatment with AraC 0.20  $\mu$ mol/L bcl-2 expression was 71.3 % of the control. Furthermore the bcl-2

expression after treatment with AraC+EGCG was 14 % of the control. (Fig 3)



Fig 3. Bcl-2 expression of HL-60 cells treated by different drugs. A: control; B: AraC 0.20 mmol/L alone; C: EGCG 20 mmol/L alone; D: AraC 0.20 mmol/L+EGCG 20 mmol/L. The result presented is typical of 3 separate experiments.

Effect on intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  Intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  levels were not influenced after treatment with EGCG 20 µmol/L for 6 and 12 h. AraC 0.20 µmol/L treatment increased the intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  a little. However, treatment with AraC in combination with EGCG for 6 and 12 h increased the intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  to 1.8 and 2.1 fold of the control respectively (Tab 3).

Tab 3. Effect of EGCG 20 mmol/L and AraC 0.20 mmol/L on in tracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration (nmol/L) of HL-60 cells. n=3. Mean±SD. <sup>b</sup>P<0.05 vs AraC+EGCG group.

Group 6 h 12 h	
Control $99\pm3$ $97\pm3$ AraC110.41.4 <sup>b</sup> $145\pm29^{b}$ EGCG $71\pm6^{b}$ $81\pm6^{b}$ AraC+EGCG $177\pm33$ $206\pm60$	

### DISCUSSION

While chemotherapeutic agents are extremely useful in the tumor treatment, their doses are limited for their toxicity. Recently biochemical modulators that enhance the effects of known anti-tumor agents and reduce their toxicity bring extensive attention in tumor chemotherapy<sup>[1-6]</sup>. Thus the search for novel anti-tumor biochemical modulators from natural origin becomes an important research problem and has a wide future application<sup>[11,12]</sup>.

It has been reported that EGCG showed some activities of biochemical modulator. The combination of EGCG and curcumin synergistically inhibited cell lines derived from dysplastic leukoplakia and squamous cell carcinoma, for EGCG blocked cells in G<sub>1</sub> whereas curcumin blocked cells in S and  $G_2/M^{[13]}$ . Sulindac at concentrations up to 100 µmol/L did not induce apoptosis of human lung cancer cell line PC-9, whereas sulindac 10 µmol/L in combination with EGCG 75 µmol/L induced apoptosis, providing a new application method which by drinking green tea enhanced the preventive effects of sulindac while reducing its toxicity<sup>[14]</sup>. No report on the potentiation of EGCG to AraC anti-tumor effect has yet been published. Our study indicated that EGCG 20 µmol/L alone had nearly no anti-tumor activity on HL-60 cells, however, it could enhance inhibitory effects on cell proliferation, G<sub>1</sub> phase arrest, S phase inhibition, and apoptosis induced by AraC on HL-60 cells. Hastak pointed out recently that EGCG exerted a little or no effect on normal cells, its anti-tumor effect was independent of the status of p53 protein<sup>[15]</sup>.

It is well known that bcl-2 protein inhibits the cell apoptosis. ATRA (all-*trans* retinoic acid), a natural compound, sensitized the response of acute myeloblastic leukemia to chemotherapy by down-regulation of bcl-2 expression<sup>[16]</sup>. Similarly, our research showed that EGCG enhanced the sensitivity of HL-60 cells to chemotherapy through down-regulation of bcl-2 and increase of the intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> level of cells treated with AraC, as well as nullification of the rescue effect of dCdR in HL-60 cells treated with AraC.

Further study on the mechanism of biochemical modulation of EGCG will focus on effect of EGCG on apoptosis signal transduction.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Zhen YS, Lui M, Weber G. Effect of acivicin and dipyridamole on hepatoma 3924A cells. Cancer Res 1983; 43: 1616-9.
- 2 Zhen YS, Cao SS, Xue YC, Wu SY. Green tea extract inhibits nucleoside transport and potentiates the anti-tumor effect of antimetabolites. Chin Med Sci J 1991; 6: 1-5.
- 3 Zhen YS, Su J, Xue YC, Qi CQ, Hu JL. Novel nucleoside

transport inhibitors of natural origin. Adv Exp Med Biol 1994; 370:779-82.

- 4 Zhen YS, Su J, Xue YC. Salvianolic acid A inhibits nucleoside transport and potentiates the activity of anti-tumor drugs. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 1996; 37: 1948.
- 5 Su J, Zhen YS, Qi CQ, Hu JL. Antibiotic C3368-A, a fungus derived nucleoside transport inhibitor, potentiates the activity of anti-tumor drugs. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1995; 36: 149-54.
- 6 Jiang XF, Jing LF, Hu JL, Zhen YS. Cinnamamide derived from actinomy cete acts as biochemical modulator. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 1998; 39: 2915.
- 7 Zhao Y, Tang FQ, Zeng L, Hu Z, Tang M, Cao Y. Apoptosis regulated by (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells using apoptosis cDNA expression array. Chin Pharmacol Bull 2002; 18: 255-9.
- 8 Carmichael J, Degraff WG, Gazdar AF, Minna JD, Mitchell JB. Evaluation of a tetrazolium-based semiautomated colorimetric assay: assessment of chemosensitivity testing. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 936-42.
- 9 Xu F, Song DQ, Zhen YS. Synergistic anti-tumor effects of sodium caffeate and mitomycin. Acta Pharm Sin 2002; 37: 405-8.
- 10 Tao L, Guan YY, He H, Qiu QY. Comparison of the  $Ca^{2+}$ movement by activation of  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor subtypes in HEK-293 cells. Life Sci 1997; 61: 2123-36.
- 11 Zhen YS. Anti-tumor biochemical modulators of natural origin. Bull Chin Cancer 1999; 8: 459-60.
- 12 Xu F, Zhen YS. Biochemical modulation of green tea in tumor chemotherapy. Foreign Med Sci Cancer Sec 2000: 27: 100-2.
- 13 Khafif A, Schantz SP, Chou TC, Edelstein D, Sacks PG. Quantitation of chemopreventive synergism between (-)epigallocatechin-3-gallate and curcumin in normal, premalignant and malignant human oral epithelial cells. Carcinogenesis 1998; 19: 419-24.
- 14 Suganuma M, Okabe S, Kai Y, Sueoka N, Sueoka E, Fujiki H. Synergistic effects of (-)-epigallocatechin gallate with(-)epicatechin, sulindac, or tamoxifen on cancer-preventive activity in the human lung cancer. Cancer Res 1999; 59: 44-7.
- 15 Hastak K, Ahmad N, Gupta S, Lewin JS, Mukhar H. Role of p53 in anti-proliferative effect of epigallocatechin-3-gallat. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 2001; 42: 224.
- 16 Hu ZB, Minden MD, McCulloch EA. Post-transcriptional regulation of bcl-2 in acute myleoblastic leukemia: significance for response to chemotherapy. Leukemia 1996; 10: 410-6.

Xu F et al / Acta Pharmacol Sin 2003 Feb; 24 (2): 163-168