Open Review

Engineering exosomes as refined biological nanoplatforms for drug delivery

Xin LUAN[#], Kanokwan SANSANAPHONGPRICHA[#], Ila MYERS, Hongwei CHEN, Hebao YUAN, Duxin SUN^{*}

College of Pharmacy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, USA

Abstract

Exosomes, a subgroup of extracellular vesicles (EVs), have been recognized as important mediators of long distance intercellular communication and are involved in a diverse range of biological processes. Because of their ideal native structure and characteristics, exosomes are promising nanocarriers for clinical use. Exosomes are engineered at the cellular level under natural conditions, but successful exosome modification requires further exploration. The focus of this paper is to summarize passive and active loading approaches, as well as specific exosome modifications and examples of the delivery of therapeutic and imaging molecules. Examples of exosomes derived from a variety of biological origins are also provided. The biocompatible characteristics of exosomes, with suitable modifications, can increase the stability and efficacy of imaging probes and therapeutics while enhancing cellular uptake. Challenges in clinical translation of exosome-based platforms from different cell sources and the advantages of each are also reviewed and discussed.

Keywords: exosome; nanoparticles; drug delivery systems; imaging probes; therapeutics

Acta Pharmacologica Sinica (2017) 38: 754-763; doi: 10.1038/aps.2017.12; published online Apr 3 2017

Introduction

In the past decade, nanoscale drug delivery systems (DDS) have attained considerable prominence^[1]. Various nanobased drug formulations have been used to improve the therapeutic efficacy of chemical and biomolecular drugs^[2-4]. However, clinical translation of these systems faces two distinct issues: cytotoxicity of materials, and rapid clearance by the reticuloendothelial system (RES) or the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS)^[5]. Consequently, only a small number of nanobased DDS have been approved by the FDA for use in humans^[1, 6]. Although PEGylation can extend the circulation time of these nanoparticles, it can also hinder the interaction between the nanobased DDS and target cells, thus decreasing the drug biodistribution in diseased tissues^[7-9]. Endogenous DDS, as compared with synthetic nanoformulations, have shown promising results in enhancing drug delivery and therapeutic efficacy because of their native biocompatibility in vivo^[10-13].

Exosomes are nanosized (30–120 nm) membrane vesicles secreted by various types of cells^[13]. Since the discovery that exosomes function as intercellular communication tools that

E-mail duxins@med.umich.edu

Received 2016-11-21 Accepted 2017-02-20

transfer their cargo to recipient cells, they have garnered considerable research attention^[14]. Exosomes originate in multivesicular bodies (MVBs) and are released into the extracellular environment after fusion with the plasma membrane^[15]. They relay information by transferring their contents from donor cells to recipient cells (Figure 1), thus resulting in functional changes and/or differentiation of target cells^[16]. Exosomes contain and protect a specific mRNAs, regulatory microRNAs, lipids and proteins^[11, 12]. Thus, exosomes are important mediators that facilitate intercellular communication without direct cell-to-cell contact^[17]. Several pioneering reports have shown the advantages of using exosomes as nanocarriers^[12]. These advantages include their small size for penetration into deep tissues^[12], slightly negative zeta potential for long circulation^[18, 19], and deformable cytoskeleton, as well as their similarity to cell membranes^[20]. In addition, some exosomes also exhibit an increased capacity to escape degradation or clearance by the immune system^[11]. Overall, exosomes are ideal natural nanocarriers for clinical application because of their naturally biocompatible characteristics.

Exosomes are engineered at the cellular level under natural conditions, but the successful modification of exosomes requires further exploration^[21]. As compared with the cellular level endogenous method, which relies on biological approaches, exogenous methods, after production in cell cul-

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.



Figure 1. Exosome generation, secretion and cargo transfer from the donor cells to the recipient cells. Exosome are small membrane vesicles secreted by most cell types. Internal vesicles form by the inward budding of the cellular compartments known as multivesicular body (MVB). When MVB fuse with the plasma membrane, these internal vesicles are released as exosomes, which can transfer the DNA, RNA and proteins to the distant recipient cells, and influent various aspects of cell behavior and physiology. The inset shows a typical ultracentrifugation protocol. In consecutive rounds of centrifugation and pouring off, the RCF (g) and the centrifugation time are increased to pellet smaller particles. After first 200×g and 2000×g centrifugations, pellets that contain dead cells and cell debris are discarded, and the supernatant is kept for the next step. In contrast, after the 100 000×g centrifugations, pellets (containing EVs) are kept, and supernatants are discarded. The pellets are resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for further analysis.

ture, make exosome application more reliable when exosome source cells are not amenable to customized modification^[12]. In addition, exogenous methods expand the possible sources for exosomes, thereby greatly facilitating mass production. Successful post-isolation modification of exosomes relies on a complete understanding of their structural characteristics and underlying cellular biology and is necessary to facilitate future diagnostic and therapeutic interventions. The yield of exosomes and the physicochemical properties that affect their pharmacokinetics may vary with the type of donor cell^[22]. Because these factors are expected to greatly influence the therapeutic efficacy of exosomes, it is necessary to select the appropriate type of donor cells for the development of exosome-based DDS^[11, 12]. Here, we will mainly focus on different exogenous modification strategies for exosome-based therapeutic and diagnostic applications.

Appropriate source cells for engineered exosomes

Exosomes from a vast variety of cells have been investigated for use in clinical therapeutic applications^[12, 22, 23]. Exploring

different cell sources for therapeutic exosomes is of interest, because the lipid and surface protein composition of exosomes may be crucial to their function, and preservation of these characteristics is very important^[24]. Additionally, surface markers, depending on the cell of origin, may have functions that jeopardize the intended effect of the exosomal therapy and even endanger the recipient. It is therefore crucial to carefully study and consider the biological characteristics of exosomes derived from different cell types and to weigh their benefits and drawbacks for therapeutic purposes.

A number of groups have investigated the use of tumor cell-derived exosomes for delivery of chemotherapeutic agents or other anticancer agents^[12, 25]. There are appealing aspects to the use of tumor-derived exosomes for delivery of therapeutic agents and vaccines for immunotherapy. For example, tumor cells and tumor exosomes can be found in high numbers in malignant effusions, and it has been shown that tumor exosomes carry tumor-associated antigens specific to the tumors from which they are derived, as well as MHC class I molecules. Tumor exosomes can deliver antigens to

dendritic cells and consequently induce a T-cell-mediated immune response against tumor cells^[26]. In fact, a phase I clinical trial has been completed on the release of tumor exosomes, which were presumed to bear tumor-specific antigens ready for presentation to immune cells and to stimulate the immune systems of glioma patients to clear remaining tumor cells after resection^[27]. In addition, tumor targeting and selective drug delivery using tumor-derived exosomes has been proposed as a possibility because of their specific expression of tetraspanins, which preferentially interact with ligands in different tissues^[28]. On the one hand, tumor-derived exosomes demonstrate specific targeting capabilities^[25]; on the other hand, they have been shown to signal an increase in metastatic behavior in less metastatic cancer cell types^[29] and to contain tetraspanins, which mediate tumor growth. Proteases, such as urokinase plasminogen activator, which promotes tumor cell invasion, and cathepsin D, and adhesion modulators, such as vimentin, galectin 3-binding protein, and annexin A1, have also been found in tumor-derived exosomes^[30]. miRNAs and other nucleic acids, which can lead to malignant changes in target cells, have been found in tumor cell exosomes^[31]. In addition, exosomes from melanoma patients have been shown to enhance the production of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), in an important mechanism by which tumors avoid immune recognition^[32, 33]. Most importantly, tumor exosomes can inhibit tumor-reactive effector T cells through the expression of apoptosis-inducing ligands, such as FasL and TRAIL or PDL-2^[34, 35]. Tumor exosomes exhibit potential drawbacks, including induction of apoptosis in activated cytotoxic T cells, impairment of monocyte differentiation, induction of myeloid-suppressive cells and T regulatory cells, suppression of lymphoid activation signaling molecules, and induction of a pro-inflammatory microenvironment^[33]. The potential risk of tumor exosomes aggravating a patient's malignancy, instead of improving it, makes choosing the right exosomes crucial for therapy.

For the reasons mentioned above, exosomes isolated from fruits and plants have been explored as alternative options for clinical use because they come from reliable sources and have better safety profiles^[36]. Exosomes derived from food have garnered attention, owing to the obvious conclusion that these exosomes are known to be commonly ingested and thus are generally considered safe^[37]. In addition, agricultural products such as fruits and milk are relatively economically practical and scalable sources from which to isolate exosomes. A phase I clinical trial has been undertaken for the use of exosomes derived from fruit to deliver curcumin to the colon for the treatment of colon cancer^[38]. Other groups are also isolating exosomes from plants for use in therapeutic applications. For example, Ju et al have isolated exosome-like nanoparticles from grapes and have found that oral administration of these vesicles induces growth and differentiation of intestinal stem cells and protects mice from intestinal damage initiated by dextran sulfate sodium^[36]. Another group, led by Wang et al, has modified exosomes from grapefruit to improve their tumor targeting capability and loaded them with the anticancer agents doxorubicin and curcumin. These modified exosomes have been found to target inflammatory tumors and to have efficacy against inflammation in a mouse model^[39]. Another agricultural source of reliable, scalable, and safe exosomes for therapeutic delivery is bovine milk. Munagala et al have isolated exosomes naturally present in milk and loaded them with different therapeutic cargos, including both hydrophilic and hydrophobic small molecules and chemotherapeutic agents. They then tested these drug-loaded exosomes on lung tumor cells in culture and in xenograft models and have found enhanced biological efficacy of exosome-encapsulated formulations over free drug, especially when the tumor targeting ligand folic acid is added to the exosomes^[37]. Regardless of the high yield and superior safety profiles of exosomes isolated from food, these exosomes are incapable of boosting host immune systems and lack immunotherapy benefits.

Immune cell-derived exosomes, as a consequence, are receiving a great deal of attention regarding drug delivery and therapeutic vaccine applications^[40-42]. Monocytes and macrophages in particular have been investigated as sources of exosomes for immunotherapy^[43]. These exosomes have been shown to be especially good at evading immune phagocytosis, a clearing mechanism that is a drawback associated with other exosome types, thus allowing these exosomes to circulate longer and therefore extend their efficacy^[44]. However, the future looks brightest for dendritic cell (DC)-derived exosomes for vaccine delivery, because they have been proven safe in multiple phase I trials in different types of cancers^[40]. Phase II clinical efficacy studies have recently been completed using these exosomes loaded with tumor antigen as a vaccine against non-small cell lung cancer in combination with cyclophosphamide^[45]. It has been shown that DC-derived exosomes can facilitate tumor rejection in vivo by transferring peptide-MHC complexes from DCs that have been exposed to an antigen to other DCs that have not been in contact with the same antigen^[41-43, 46].

Engineering methods for encapsulation of therapeutic agents and imaging probes

Exosomes are composed of a lipid membrane bilayer structure that expresses the surface ligands and receptors from source cells^[24]. The exosome lipid membrane surrounds and contains a hydrophilic core^[24]. A thorough understanding of exosome structure is useful for manipulating the function and cargo packaging of modified exosomes. Therapeutic agents are incorporated into exosomes or exosome mimetics using two major approaches: (I) active or (II) passive encapsulation. These approaches result in different loading efficiencies and stabilities of the drugs in the exosome vesicles.

Passive cargo-loading methods

These methods are relatively simple and do not require the addition of active substances into the system.

Incubation with exosomes

Exosomes are simply incubated with drugs, and the drugs dif-

fuse into the exosomes along the concentration gradient. The loading efficiency depends on the hydrophobicity of the drug molecules. Hydrophobic drugs can interact with the lipid layers of the vesicle membrane^[47]. For instance, in one study, mouse lymphoma-derived exosomes have been incubated with curcumin in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 22 °C for 5 min before purification by gradient centrifugation^[47]. In another study, Haney *et al* have successfully loaded the enzyme catalase, a tetramer protein of 250 kDa, into exosomes extracted from RAW264.7 cells in PBS buffer at room temperature for 18 h^[44]. The main drawback to this method may be its low loading capacity.

Incubation with donor cells

The donor cells are treated with a drug, and these cells then secrete exosomes loaded with the drug. Pascucci *et al* have treated SR4987 mesenchymal stroma cells with a low dose of paclitaxel for 24 h, then washed the cells and reseeded them in a new flask with fresh medium^[48]. After 48 h of culture, the cell conditioned medium was collected, and exosomes were isolated. The paclitaxel-loaded exosomes from the treated cells had significant, strong anti-proliferative activities against CFPAC-1 human pancreatic cells *in vitro*, as compared with the exosomes from untreated cells. Interestingly, the exosomes from the untreated SR4987 cells also showed some anti-proliferative effect, possibly as a result of the presence of certain proteins or nucleic acids within the exosomes that can change the tumor microenvironment^[48].

Active cargo-loading methods Sonication

Exosomes from donor cells are mixed with drugs or proteins and subsequently sonicated by using a homogenizer probe. The mechanical shear force from the sonicator probe compromises the membrane integrity of the exosomes and allows the drug to diffuse into the exosomes during this membrane deformation. Kim et al have demonstrated that exosome membrane microviscosity significantly decreases after sonication^[49]. Nevertheless, this membrane deformation process does not significantly affect the membrane-bound proteins or the lipid contents of the exosomes. The membrane integrity of the exosomes has been found to be restored within an hour when the exosomes are incubated at 37 °C^[49]. However, in some cases, drugs are not only encapsulated inside the exosomes but also attached to the outer layer of the membrane; as a result, two phases of drug release are observed. The first burst release phase results from the release of the drug attached to the outer layer of the exosomes, and this is followed by the slow release of the drug encapsulated inside the exosome vesicles^[49].

Extrusion

Exosomes from donor cells are mixed with a drug, and the mixture is loaded into a syringe-based lipid extruder with 100–400 nm porous membranes under a controlled temperature. During the extrusion, the exosome membrane is disrupted and vigorously mixed with the drug. Whether the harsh mechani-

cal force used in this method changes the membrane properties, such as zeta potential, and membrane protein structures is still unclear. Fuhrmann et al have reported that loading exosomes extracted from MDA-MB231 breast cancer cells with porphyrin using the extrusion method alters the zeta potential of the original exosomes and causes cytotoxicity, whereas porphyrin-loaded exosomes prepared using other methods do not show significant cytotoxicity^[50]. These observations might have resulted from the intensive extrusion process (the exosomes were extruded 31 times) transformed the vesicle constitution^[50]. However, catalase loaded into RAW264.7 macrophage-derived exosomes by using 10 extrusions has been found to have no cytotoxicity and to demonstrate greater neuroprotective activity than that of the exosomes prepared by freeze/thaw or simple incubation methods^[44]. Therefore, further detailed studies into this method are required.

Freeze and thaw cycles

In this procedure, drugs are incubated with exosomes at room temperature for a fixed amount of time, and then, the mixture is rapidly frozen at -80 °C or in liquid nitrogen and thawed at room temperature. This process is repeated for at least 3 cycles to ensure drug encapsulation^[51]. However, the method can induce aggregation of the exosomes, thus resulting in a broad size distribution of the drug-loaded exosomes. The drug loading capacity of the freeze/thaw method is generally lower than that of the sonication or extrusion methods. Interestingly, this method can be used for membrane fusion between exosomes and liposomes and has been used to create exosome-mimetic particles, as reported by Sato et al^[51], who have isolated exosomes from RAW264.7 macrophages and fused the exosomes with several types of phospholipid-based liposomes. The fused exosome-liposomes were characterized using a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay^[51], and the number of freeze/thaw cycles has been found to affect the lipid dilution ratios, thus resulting in changes in the fluorescence intensity.

Electroporation

This technique creates small pores in the exosome membrane through application of an electrical field to exosomes suspended in a conductive solution. The electrical current disturbs the phospholipid bilayer of the exosomes, thus resulting in the formation of temporary pores. Drugs or nucleotides can subsequently diffuse into the interior of the exosomes via the pores. The integrity of the exosome membrane is then recovered after the drug loading process. This method is widely used for loading siRNA or miRNA into exosomes, because these nucleotides are relatively large and cannot diffuse into the exosome spontaneously, as do small hydrophobic molecules. Electroporation leads to superior loading of siRNA over chemical transfection^[52]. However, electroporation may cause RNA aggregation and exosome instability, thereby resulting in a low loading capacity. Johnsen et al have reported that electroporation in an optimized buffer such as trehalose disaccharide can aid in maintaining the structural integrity and can inhibit the aggregation of exosomes extracted from adiposederived stem cells^[53]. Electroporation not only enhances RNA loading into exosomes but also increases hydrophilic small molecule loading into exosomes, for example, 5,10,15,20-tetrakis (1-methyl-4-pyridinio) porphyrin tetra (*p*-toluenesulfonate) or TMP, which is used for photodynamic effects^[50].

Incubation with membrane permeabilizers

Saponin is a surfactant molecule that can form complexes with cholesterol in cell membranes and generate pores, thus leading to an increase in membrane permeabilization^[54]. Saponin enhances the loading capacity of catalase into exosomes, as compared with the simple incubation method. Although saponin is a surface-active agent, it does not degrade the catalase, whose activity is preserved^[44]. Saponin can also assist in loading hydrophilic molecules into exosomes. A study has shown that incubation of a small hydrophilic molecule with saponin increases drug loading into exosomes 11-fold compared with passive loading without saponin^[50]. However, there are concerns regarding the in vivo hemolytic activity of saponin when this compound is used^[54]. Therefore, the concentration of saponin used for drug loading should be limited, and the exosomes should be purified after incubation with saponin.

Click chemistry method for direct conjugation

Chemistry methods can also be used to directly attach molecules to the surfaces of exosomes via covalent bonds. Coppercatalyzed azide alkyne cycloaddition, known as click chemistry, is ideal for bioconjugation of small molecules and macromolecules to the surfaces of exosomes^[11]. An alkyne chemical group and an azide chemical group react to form a triazole linkage. The reaction is rapid and efficient, as compared with traditional cross-linking reactions, such as maleimide-thiol coupling, and provides better control over the conjugation site. It is also suitable for modification of biological macromolecules, because it can occur in aqueous media^[55]. Smyth et al have reported that an exosome cross-linked with alkyne groups using carbodiimide chemistry can be conjugated to a model azide, azide-fluor 545^[56]. This conjugation has no effect on the size and internalization of the exosomes, thus suggesting that the reaction is mild and does not affect exosome structure or function.

Antibody against exosomal proteins

Recent studies have indicated that exosomes carry the genetic and proteomic contents of their parent cells. Fluorophores and microbeads conjugated to highly specific antibodies can bind a particular antigen on the cell surface. Higginbotham *et al* have demonstrated the feasibility of using fluorescence-activated vesicle sorting to analyze and sort individual exosomes isolated from DiFi cells^[57]. EGFR and the exosomal marker CD9 has been used to conjugate Alexa-647 to the surfaces of exosomes for detection. Because exosomes carry the antigens of their parent cells, it is logical to assume that specific antigenconjugated microbeads can be used for exosome isolation and tracking in vivo.

The various approaches used to load cargos into exosomes each have different loading capacities, which depend upon the properties of the cargo such as hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity, and molecular weight. Haney et al have reported that sonication and extrusion provide the highest catalase loading into exosomes, as compared with freeze/thaw cycles and passive incubation, which yield the lowest drug loading efficiency^[44]. However, loading efficiency is not the only factor that must be considered. Exosome membrane integrity and stability are also important in drug delivery. As mentioned earlier, although catalase loading into exosomes using sonication and extrusion results in good enzymatic activity, other studies have found that membrane integrity is compromised, and the membrane-bound protein structure may change, thus affecting the therapeutic effect of the drug-loaded exosomes. Therefore, further investigation is necessary.

Engineering exosomes for delivery of therapeutic and diagnostic molecules

The previously described strategies for engineering exosomes further highlight the unique advantages of exosome-based nanoplatforms for cargo delivery. A series of good examples of these strategies that have been successfully applied for exosome-mediated delivery of functional molecules are presented in Table 1.

Delivery of small therapeutic molecules

Several small molecules, both hydrophobic and hydrophilic, have been incorporated into exosomes by using the different methods mentioned in the above section (Figure 2A, 2B). In most cases, exosomal delivery leads to higher drug accumulation in target cells and improved small molecule stability and blood circulation time, thus improving the potency of small molecule drugs and lowering the IC₅₀. Munagala et al have used exosomes isolated from bovine milk to encapsulate chemotherapeutic and chemopreventive agents, such as paclitaxel, doxorubicin, and withaferin, by passive loading^[58]. These drugs are slowly released from the exosomes in a timedependent manner. The researchers have demonstrated that withaferin-loaded exosomes and paclitaxel-loaded exosomes have a lower IC₅₀ than those of the free drugs for anti-proliferative activities against A549 lung cancer cells. They have also confirmed the anti-tumor efficacy in vivo by using a tumorbearing mouse model. Withaferin-loaded exosomes exhibit a significantly greater inhibitory effect on tumors compared with that of controls after intraperitoneal injection at a suboptimal dose^[58]. Sun et al have demonstrated that curcuminloaded exosomes isolated from the EL-4 mouse lymphoma cell line show better curcumin stability in vitro and higher blood concentration in vivo^[47]. As a result, the level of curcumin increases in the CD11b+Gr-1+ target cells, and significant suppression of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-a has been achieved in a model of lipopolysaccharide-induced septic shock in immunocompetent mice^[47]. Kim has reported that paclitaxel-loaded macrophage-derived exosomes, comTable 1. Examples of engineering exosomes for cargo delivery.

		Advantages	Disadvantages	Model drugs
I) Passive loading	a) Incubation of exosomes and free drugs	Simple Do not compromise membrane integrity	Low drug loading efficiency	Doxorubicin ⁽⁶⁰⁾ Paclitaxel ⁽⁴⁸⁾ Catalase ⁽⁴⁴⁾ Paclitaxel ⁽⁵⁸⁾
	b) Incubation of the donor	Simple	Low drug loading efficiency	
	cells with free drugs	Do not compromise membrane integrity	Drugs may cause cytotoxicity to the donor cells	
II) Active loading	a) Sonication	High drug loading efficiency	Compromise membrane integrity	Catalase ^[44]
	b) Extrusion	High drug loading efficiency	Compromise membrane integrity	Porphyrin ^[50]
	c) Freeze/thaw	Medium drug loading efficiency Liposome-exosome fusion	Aggregations	Porphyrin
	d) Electroporation	Loading with large molecules such as siRNA, miRNA	Aggregations	let-7a miRNA ^[66] MAPK1 siRNA ^[52]
	e) Incubation with saponin	Enhanced drug loading	Toxicity	Catalase ^[44] Porphyrin ^[50]
	f) Click chemistry	Quick and efficient Better control over the conjugation site		Azide-fluor 545 for in vitro tracking ^[56]
	g) Antibody binding	Specific and easy to operate		CD9 antibody with Alexa-647 ^[57]



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the different types of exosomes drug delivery systems. Exosomes consist of a lipid bilayer that can be composed of lipids, which encloses an aqueous core. Both the lipid bilayer and the aqueous space can incorporate hydrophobic (A) or hydrophilic compounds (B), respectively. (C) Exosomes can be used for DNA, RNA and protein delivery. (D) Theranostic or imaging probes, specific targeting ligands and covalent linkage can be attached to exosome surface.

pared with paclitaxel-loaded liposomes, significantly increases cellular uptake in the 3LL-M227 murine Lewis lung carcinoma cell line^[59]. Interestingly, paclitaxel-loaded exosomes have been found to overcome the drug resistance problem in MDCK MDR1 cells. The IC₅₀s of paclitaxel-loaded exosomes are significantly lower than those of free paclitaxel and Taxol^[59]. Thus, exosome delivery can bypass the P-glycoprotein (P-gp) drug efflux system. However, the exosomes themselves do not inhibit P-gp, and the mechanism by which they overcome

the drug resistance remains unclear.

Delivery of therapeutic RNA

RNA is a large molecule and is difficult to deliver *in vivo*. Several approaches have been explored, such as use of cationic liposomes^[60, 61], dendrimers^[62], and cationic polymer-based particles^[63]. However, these carriers are not suitable for use in clinical practice, owing to safety, stability and off-target issues^[64]. The most commonly used technique to incorporate

siRNA or miRNA into exosomes is electroporation, because this method destabilizes the vesicle membrane and allows siRNA or miRNA to penetrate the vesicles. However, aggregation of exosomes and RNA during electroporation has previously been reported, as discussed above. Alvarez-Erviti et al have utilized murine self-derived dendritic exosomes targeted with Lamp2b protein to deliver GADPH siRNA and BACE1 siRNA across the blood-brain barrier in mice. The data show that the housekeeping gene, GADPH, and BACE1-loaded exosomes strongly suppress mRNA expression and β-amyloid in the brains of wild-type mice after intravenous injection, as compared with Lipofectamine and siRNA-RVG-9R^[65]. Wahlgren et al have demonstrated that siRNA loaded in exosomes isolated from human plasma successfully target monocytes and lymphocytes and subsequently silence the MAPK1 gene. The data also have shown that siRNA-loaded exosomes colocalize in the cytoplasm of recipient cells. However, only in vitro studies have been undertaken, in which the exosomes were co-cultured with the recipient cells; as a consequence, these recipient cells take up the exosomes more easily than they would in an *in vivo* situation^[52]. Ohno *et al* have reported the delivery of GE11-targeted exosomes containing let-7a miRNA to epidermal growth factor (EGFR)-overexpressing breast cancer cells in a mouse xenograft model. GE11-targeted exosomes show higher tumor accumulation than do controls. Moreover, the exosomes isolated from donor cells previously transfected with let-7a miRNA inhibit tumor growth in mice^[66]. These examples suggest that exosomes are a promising method for gene delivery with better safety profiles than those of viral vectors, cationic lipids, or polymer based particles.

Delivery of therapeutic proteins

Utilizing exosomes is one of the most promising methods for delivering macromolecular proteins (Figure 2C). Proteins can be loaded into exosomes through genetic engineering of the donor cells or through direct loaded into the exosomes. In the first method, donor cells are transfected with a plasmid carrying the gene of interest. Consequently, the cells synthesize the protein encoded by the inserted gene, and these proteins are subsequently secreted into the extracellular vesicles. At this stage, the extracellular vesicles can be isolated by collecting the cell culture supernatant and then purified. In the second method, proteins are directly loaded into exosomes as mentioned in the previous section. Catalase, a redox enzyme, loaded in exosomes extracted from macrophages has neuroprotective effects against oxidative stress in mice with acute brain inflammation. Catalase-loaded exosomes significantly decreases microgliosis and astrocytosis in the mouse brain and decreases rotation after apomorphine induction. These data suggest that exosomes are able to preserve catalase function and deliver catalase to the mouse brain after intranasal administration^[44].

Delivery of imaging molecules

Currently, a number of reports demonstrating post-isolation

strategies to modify exosome surface structures have described methods to more effectively track exosomes in vitro or in vivo (Figure 2D). Lai et al have engineered human embryonic kidney 293T exosomes expressing a membrane-bound Gaussian luciferase fused to a biotin receptor domain and then complexed the biotin expressed on the exosomes with fluorescent Alexa Fluor 680-streptavidin^[67], thus allowing the exosomes to be tracked in vivo either by bioluminescence or fluorescence. In addition, Wilna et al have used fluorophore-conjugated antibodies against the exosomal proteins CD24 and aquaporin 2 (AQP2) to identify a subpopulation of CD24- and AQP2positive exosomes by using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) in vitro^[68]. Wang et al have used anti-CD63 antibodyconjugated microbeads and secondary antibody-conjugated Q-dots to track endothelial cell exosomes by using NTA^[69]. Exosomes are initially formed during the inward budding of late endosomes and are subsequently stored inside of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) before being released into the extracellular space. Thus, it is not surprising that some endosomal forming and sorting proteins (Rab5, Rab27 and Rab35), heatshock proteins, and tetraspanins (CD9, CD63 and CD81) are enriched in exosomes. Because of this enrichment, and the presence of other donor cell-derived factors within exosomes, the selection of source cells has a great impact on the function and distribution of exosomes and must be considered carefully.

Engineering targeted molecules on exosomes

Because exosomes are extracellular vesicles secreted from cells, they intrinsically express some lipids and cell adhesion molecules and ligands that naturally target certain types of recipient cells. Several studies have shown that exosomes have natural targeting ability based on donor cells. For instance, exosomes isolated from neuroblastoma intrinsically express glycosphingolipid glycan groups that can bind to the aggregates of amyloid- β in the brain, and therefore may provide an effective treatment for Alzheimer's disease^[11]. Targeting ligands on the surfaces of exosomes can also be engineered. The most commonly used technique is to insert the gene encoding the targeting proteins into the donor cells. The donor cells then secrete this protein in the exosomes. For example, plasmids encoding Lamp2b have been constructed and transfected into dendritic cells. The exosomes harvested after the donor cells have been transfected and found to fuse strongly to the neuron-specific rabies viral glycoprotein (RVG) peptide through Lamp2b on the exosomal membrane. The expression of Lamp2b on the exosomes has been confirmed by western blotting. These targeted exosomes can effectively deliver siRNA to the brain in a mouse model^[65]. Another study has used exosomes to deliver let-7a miRNA in a targeted manner to EGFR-overexpressing breast cancer cells in mice. GE11 or EGF was cloned into a pDisplay vector and transfected into HEK299 cells. The data suggest that intravenous injection of the let-7a-loaded GE11targeting exosomes can deliver the gene to the EGFR-expressing tumor in a mouse xenograft model^[66].

Click chemistry is rapidly becoming a popular tool for mod-

ification of biomacromolecules. Smyth et al have reported a novel technique that can be used to functionalize the surfaces of exosomes with small molecules, large biomacromolecules and polymers and to monitor the exosome biodistribution in *vivo*^[56]. It is expected that use of click chemistry may affect exosome biodistribution through conjugation of targeting moieties, such as RGD derivatives, with azide-terminated groups, such as those that have been used in other nanocarriers^[56, 70]. In addition, some noncovalent strategies have been used to provide stable modification of the exosome surface. Amstrong et al and Nakase et al have used electrostatic interactions to bind cationic lipids on the surfaces of exosomes, which enhance the exosome uptake^[71, 72]. In another study, Oi *et al* have successfully bound the surfaces of blood exosomes with transferrin-conjugated superparamagnetic nanoparticles targeting the native transferrin receptors present on the exosome membrane^[73]. Despite these successes, achieving efficient exosome targeting via surface modification is nontrivial. The reaction conditions must be strictly limited to avoid exosome disruption and aggregation due to inappropriate temperature, pressure and osmotic stress^[71].

Future directions and concluding remarks

The advent of nanotechnology for use in medicine has heralded a new chapter in drug delivery. Exosomes are promising for use as vectors for clinical application, owing to their strong biocompatibility. However, there are risks associated with use of exosomes as well, such as immunosuppression and reversion to tumorigenesis^[74-76]. Therefore, the relentless search for safe and effective exosome-based nanoformulations has led to exogenous modification of exosomes as a viable path. Because exosomes can be derived from various types of cells, the issues associated with the use of tumor exosomes can be circumvented. It is highly probable that modified exosomes will be engineered for clinical use, the exosome source will be immune cells^[43], and the exosomes will be artificially optimized by incorporation of specific payloads.

Despite the advances outlined in this article, there are still many challenges ahead. One challenge is achieving large-scale production of exosomes for clinical use^[77]. It has previously been reported that large-scale manufacturing of therapeutic exosomes can be achieved via rapid purification^[78]. However, this technique still requires further testing with different types of cells. Furthermore, the question of which cell type to use for exosome derivation still remains to be answered. Nevertheless, the concept of utilizing exosomes as delivery vehicles is attractive and promising. Hence, exosomes may be the answer to the ongoing search for a clinically suitable nanoplatform. More systematic *in vivo* studies regarding the potency and toxicology of exosomes are imperative for bringing this exciting development a step closer to clinical reality.

References

- 1 Liu D, Yang F, Xiong F, Gu N. The smart drug delivery system and its clinical potential. Theranostics 2016; 6: 1306–23.
- 2 Hinde E, Thammasiraphop K, Duong HT, Yeow J, Karagoz B, Boyer

C, et al. Pair correlation microscopy reveals the role of nanoparticle shape in intracellular transport and site of drug release. Nat Nanotechnol 2017; 12: 81–9.

- 3 Sharma AK, Gothwal A, Kesharwani P, Alsaab H, Iyer AK, Gupta U. Dendrimer nanoarchitectures for cancer diagnosis and anticancer drug delivery. Drug Discov Today 2016; doi: 10.1016/ j.drudis.2016.09.013.
- 4 Luan X, Guan YY, Lovell JF, Zhao M, Lu Q, Liu YR, *et al.* Tumor priming using metronomic chemotherapy with neovasculature-targeted, nano-particulate paclitaxel. Biomaterials 2016; 95: 60–73.
- 5 Haque S, Whittaker MR, McIntosh MP, Pouton CW, Kaminskas LM. Disposition and safety of inhaled biodegradable nanomedicines: Opportunities and challenges. Nanomedicine 2016; 12: 1703–24.
- 6 Matsumura Y. The drug discovery by nanomedicine and its clinical experience. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2014; 44: 515–25.
- 7 Suk JS, Xu QG, Kim N, Hanes J, Ensign LM. PEGylation as a strategy for improving nanoparticle-based drug and gene delivery. Adv Drug Deliver Rev 2016; 99: 28–51.
- 8 Sun L, Wu QJ, Peng F, Liu L, Gong CY. Strategies of polymeric nanoparticles for enhanced internalization in cancer therapy. Colloid Surface B 2015; 135: 56–72.
- 9 Chow TH, Lin YY, Hwang JJ, Wang HE, Tseng YL, Wang SJ, et al. Improvement of biodistribution and therapeutic index via increase of polyethylene glycol on drug-carrying liposomes in an HT-29/luc xenografted mouse model. Anticancer Res 2009; 29: 2111–20.
- 10 Peng Q, Mu H. The potential of protein-nanomaterial interaction for advanced drug delivery. J Control Release 2016; 225: 121–32.
- 11 Hood JL. Post isolation modification of exosomes for nanomedicine applications. Nanomedicine (Lond) 2016; 11: 1745–56.
- 12 Vader P, Mol EA, Pasterkamp G, Schiffelers RM. Extracellular vesicles for drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016; 106: 148–56.
- 13 Batrakova EV, Kim MS. Using exosomes, naturally-equipped nanocarriers, for drug delivery. J Control Release 2015; 219: 396–405.
- 14 Milane L, Singh A, Mattheolabakis G, Suresh M, Amiji MM. Exosome mediated communication within the tumor microenvironment. J Control Release 2015; 219: 278–94.
- 15 Turturici G, Tinnirello R, Sconzo G, Geraci F. Extracellular membrane vesicles as a mechanism of cell-to-cell communication: advantages and disadvantages. Am J Physiol-Cell Ph 2014; 306: C621–C33.
- 16 Desrochers LM, Antonyak MA, Cerione RA. Extracellular vesicles: satellites of information transfer in cancer and stem cell biology. Dev Cell 2016; 37: 301–9.
- 17 Bang C, Thum T. Exosomes: new players in cell-cell communication. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2012; 44: 2060–4.
- 18 Malhotra H, Sheokand N, Kumar S, Chauhan AS, Kumar M, Jakhar P, et al. Exosomes: tunable nano vehicles for macromolecular delivery of transferrin and lactoferrin to specific intracellular compartment. J Biomed Nanotechnol 2016; 12: 1101–14.
- 19 Rupert DL, Claudio V, Lasser C, Bally M. Methods for the physical characterization and quantification of extracellular vesicles in biological samples. Biochim Biophys Acta 2017; 1861: 3164–79.
- 20 Hood JL, Wickline SA. A systematic approach to exosome-based translational nanomedicine. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobio-technol 2012; 4: 458–67.
- 21 Morishita M, Takahashi Y, Matsumoto A, Nishikawa M, Takakura Y. Exosome-based tumor antigens-adjuvant co-delivery utilizing genetically engineered tumor cell-derived exosomes with immuno-stimulatory CpG DNA. Biomaterials 2016; 111: 55–65.
- 22 Whiteside TL. Tumor-derived exosomes and their role in cancer progression. Adv Clin Chem 2016; 74: 103–41.
- 23 Romagoli GG, Zelante BB, Toniolo PA, Migliori IK, Barbuto JA. Dendritic

cell-derived exosomes may be a tool for cancer immunotherapy by converting tumor cells into immunogenic targets. Front Immunol 2015; 5: 692.

- 24 Frydrychowicz M, Kolecka-Bednarczyk A, Madejczyk M, Yasar S, Dworacki G. Exosomes – structure, biogenesis and biological role in non-small-cell lung cancer. Scand J Immunol 2015; 81: 2–10.
- 25 Mahaweni NM, Kaijen-Lambers ME, Dekkers J, Aerts JG, Hegmans JP. Tumour-derived exosomes as antigen delivery carriers in dendritic cell-based immunotherapy for malignant mesothelioma. J Extracell Vesicles 2013; 2:22492.
- 26 Wolfers J, Lozier A, Raposo G, Regnault A, Thery C, Masurier C, et al. Tumor-derived exosomes are a source of shared tumor rejection antigens for CTL cross-priming. Nat Med 2001; 7: 297–303.
- 27 Thomas Jefferson University. Pilot Immunotherapy trial for Recurrent Malignant Gliomas. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2016 Oct 20]. Available from: http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/ NCT01550523 NLM Identifier: NCT01550523.
- 28 Rana S, Yue SJ, Stadel D, Zoller M. Toward tailored exosomes: The exosomal tetraspanin web contributes to target cell selection. Int J Biochem Cell B 2012; 44: 1574–84.
- 29 Zomer A, Maynard C, Verweij FJ, Kamermans A, Schafer R, Beerling E, et al. In vivo imaging reveals extracellular vesicle-mediated phenocopying of metastatic behavior. Cell 2015; 161: 1046–57.
- 30 Harris DA, Patel SH, Gucek M, Hendrix A, Westbroek W, Taraska JW. Exosomes released from breast cancer carcinomas stimulate cell movement. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0117495.
- 31 Melo SA, Sugimoto H, O'Connell JT, Kato N, Villanueva A, Vidal A, et al. Cancer exosomes perform cell-independent microRNA biogenesis and promote tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell 2014; 26: 707–21.
- 32 Frey AB. Myeloid suppressor cells regulate the adaptive immune response to cancer. J Clin Invest 2006; 16: 2587–90.
- 33 Talor DD, Gercel-Taylor C. Exosomes/microvesicles: mediators of cancer-associated immunosuppressive microenvironmen -ts. Semin Immunopathol 2011; 33: 441–54.
- 34 Tomihari M, Chung JS, Akiyoshi H, Cruz PD Jr, Ariizumi K. DC-HIL/ glycoprotein Nmb promotes growth of melanoma in mice by inhibiting the activation of tumor-reactive T cells. Cancer Res 2010; 70: 5778– 87.
- 35 Kim JW, Wieckowski E, Taylor DD, Reichert TE, Watkins S, Whiteside TL. Fas ligand-positive membranous vesicles isolated from sera of patients with oral cancer induce apoptosis of activated T lymphocytes. Clin Cancer Res 2005; 11: 1010–20.
- 36 Ju SW, Mu JY, Dokland T, Zhuang XY, Wang QL, Jiang H, et al. Grape Exosome-like nanoparticles induce intestinal stem cells and protect mice from dss-induced colitis. Mol Ther 2013; 21: 1345–57.
- 37 Munagala R, Aqil F, Jeyabalan J, Gupta RC. Bovine milk-derived exosomes for drug delivery. Cancer Lett 2016; 371: 48–61.
- 38 James Graham Brown Cancer Center; University of Louisville. Study Investigating the Ability of Plant Exosomes to Deliver Curcumin to Normal and Colon Cancer Tissue. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2016 Oct 20]. Available from: http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01294072 NLM Identifier: NCT01294072.
- 39 Wang QL, Ren Y, Mu JY, Egilmez NK, Zhuang XY, Deng ZB, *et al.* Grapefruit-derived nanovectors use an activated leukocyte trafficking pathway to deliver therapeutic agents to inflammatory tumor sites. Cancer Res 2015; 75: 2520–9.
- 40 Escudier B, Dorval T, Chaput N, Andre F, Caby MP, Novault S, et *al.* Vaccination of metastatic melanoma patients with autologous dendritic cell (DC) derived-exosomes: results of thefirst phase I clinical

trial. J Transl Med 2005; 3: 10.

- 41 Viaud S, Terme M, Flament C, Taieb J, Andre F, Novault S, et al. Dendritic cell-derived exosomes promote natural killer cell activation and proliferation: a role for NKG2D ligands and IL-15R alpha. PLoS One 2009; 4: e4942.
- 42 Simhadri VR, Reiners KS, Hansen HP, Topolar D, Simhadri VL, Nohroudi K, et al. Dendritic cells release hla-b-associated transcript-3 positive exosomes to regulate natural killer function. PLoS One 2008; 3: e3377.
- 43 Shenoda BB, Ajit SK. Modulation of immune responses by exosomes derived from antigen-presenting cells. Clin Med Insights Pathol 2016; 9: 1–8.
- 44 Haney MJ, Klyachko NL, Zhaoa YL, Gupta R, Plotnikova EG, He ZJ, et al. Exosomes as drug delivery vehicles for Parkinson's disease therapy. J Control Release 2015; 207: 18–30.
- 45 Gustave Roussy, Cancer Campus, Grand Paris. Trial of a Vaccination With Tumor Antigen-loaded Dendritic Cell-derived Exosomes (CSET 1437). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2016 Oct 20]. Available from: http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/ NCT01159288 NLM Identifier: NCT01159288.
- 46 Thery C, Duban L, Segura E, Veron P, Lantz O, Amigorena S. Indirect activation of naive CD4(+) T cells by dendritic cell-derived exosomes. Nat Immunol 2002; 3: 1156–62.
- 47 Sun D, Zhuang XY, Xiang X, Liu Y, Zhang S, Liu C, *et al.* A novel nanoparticle drug delivery system: the anti-inflammatory activity of curcumin is enhanced when encapsulated in exosomes. Mol Ther 2010; 18: 1606–14.
- 48 Pascucci L, Cocce V, Bonomi A, Ami D, Ceccarelli P, Ciusani E, et al. Paclitaxel is incorporated by mesenchymal stromal cells and released in exosomes that inhibit *in vitro* tumor growth: A new approach for drug delivery. J Control Release 2014; 192: 262–70.
- 49 Kim MS, Haney MJ, Zhao Y, Mahajan V, Deygen I, Klyachko NL, *et al.* Development of exosome-encapsulated paclitaxel to overcome MDR in cancer cells. Nanomed-Nanotechnol 2016; 12: 655–64.
- 50 Fuhrmann G, Serio A, Mazo M, Nair R, Stevens MM. Active loading into extracellular vesicles significantly improves the cellular uptake and photodynamic effect of porphyrins. J Control Release 2015; 205: 35–44.
- 51 Sato YT, Umezaki K, Sawada S, Mukai S, Sasaki Y, Harada N, et al. Engineering hybrid exosomes by membrane fusion with liposomes. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 21933.
- 52 Wahlgren J, Karlson TD, Brisslert M, Sani FV, Telemo E, Sunnerhagen P, et al. Plasma exosomes can deliver exogenous short interfering RNA to monocytes and lymphocytes. Nucleic Acids Res 2012; 40: e130.
- 53 Johnsen KB, Gudbergsson JM, Skov MN, Christiansen G, Gurevich L, Moos T, et al. Evaluation of electroporation-induced adverse effects on adipose-derived stem cell exosomes. Cytotechnology 2016; 68: 2125–38.
- 54 Podolak I, Galanty A, Sobolewska D. Saponins as cytotoxic agents: a review. Phytochem Rev 2010; 9: 425–74.
- 55 Wang M, Altinoglu S, Takeda YS, Xu QB. Integrating protein engineering and bioorthogonal click conjugation for extracellular vesicle modulation and intracellular delivery. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0141860.
- 56 Smyth T, Petrova K, Payton NM, Persaud I, Redzic JS, Gruner MW, et al. Surface functionalization of exosomes using click chemistry. Bioconjugate Chem 2014; 25: 1777–84.
- 57 Higginbotham JN, Zhang Q, Jeppesen DK, Scott AM, Manning HC, Ochieng J, et al. Identification and characterization of EGF receptor in individual exosomes by fluorescence-activated vesicle sorting. J Extracell Vesicles 2016; 5: 29254.

- 58 Munagala R, Aqil F, Jeyabalan J, Gupta RC. Bovine milk-derived exosomes for drug delivery. Cancer Lett 2016; 371: 48–61.
- 59 Kim MS, Haney MJ, Zhao Y, Mahajan V, Deygen I, Klyachko NL, et al. Development of exosome-encapsulated paclitaxel to overcome mdr in cancer cells. Nanomedicine 2016; 12: 655–64.
- 60 Yano J, Hirabayashi K, Nakagawa S, Yamaguchi T, Nogawa M, Kashimori I, et al. Antitumor activity of small interfering RNA/cationic liposome complex in mouse models of cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 7721–6.
- 61 Sioud M, Sorensen DR. Cationic liposome-mediated delivery of siRNAs in adult mice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003; 312: 1220-5.
- 62 Patil ML, Zhang M, Taratula O, Garbuzenko OB, He H, Minko T. Internally cationic polyamidoamine PAMAM-OH dendrimers for siRNA delivery: effect of the degree of quaternization and cancer targeting. Biomacromolecules 2009; 10: 258–66.
- 63 Thomas M, Lu JJ, Ge Q, Zhang C, Chen J, Klibanov AM. Full deacylation of polyethylenimine dramatically boosts its gene delivery efficiency and specificity to mouse lung. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102: 5679–84.
- 64 Kesharwani P, Gajbhiye V, Jain NK. A review of nanocarriers for the delivery of small interfering RNA. Biomaterials 2012; 33: 713–50.
- 65 Alvarez-Erviti L, Seow Y, Yin H, Betts C, Lakhal S, Wood MJ. Delivery of siRNA to the mouse brain by systemic injection of targeted exosomes. Nat Biotechnol 2011; 29: 341–5.
- 66 Ohno S, Takanashi M, Sudo K, Ueda S, Ishikawa A, Matsuyama N, et al. Systemically injected exosomes targeted to EGFR deliver antitumor microRNA to breast cancer cells. Mol Ther 2013; 21: 185–91.
- 67 Lai CP, Mardini O, Ericsson M, Prabhakar S, Maguire CA, Chen JW, et *al.* Dynamic biodistribution of extracellular vesicles *in vivo* using a multimodal imaging reporter. ACS Nano 2014; 8: 483–94.
- 68 Oosthuyzen W, Sime NE, Ivy JR, Turtle EJ, Street JM, Pound J, et al. Quantification of human urinary exosomes by nanoparticle tracking analysis. J Physiol 2013; 591: 5833–42.
- 69 Wang J, Guo R, Yang Y, Jacobs B, Chen S, Iwuchukwu I, et al. The novel methods for analysis of exosomes released from endothelial cells and endothelial progenitor cells. Stem Cells Int 2016; 2016: 2639728.
- 70 Wang CF, Makila EM, Kaasalainen MH, Liu D, Sarparanta MP,

Airaksinen AJ, et al. Copper-free azide-alkyne cycloaddition of targeting peptides to porous silicon nanoparticles for intracellular drug uptake. Biomaterials 2014; 35: 1257–66.

- 71 Amstrong JP, Holme MN, Stevens MM. Re-engineering extracellular vesicles as smart nanoscale therapeutics. ACS Nano 2017; doi: 10.1021/acsnano.6b07607.
- 72 Nakase I, Futaki S. Combined treatment with a pH-sensitive fusogenic peptide and cationic lipids achieves enhanced cytosolic delivery of exosomes. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 10112.
- 73 Qi H, Liu C, Long L, Ren Y, Zhang S, Chang X, *et al.* Blood exosomes endowed with magnetic and targeting properties for cancer therapy. ACS Nano 2016; 10: 3323–33.
- 74 Shao Y, Shen Y, Chen T, Xu F, Chen X, Zheng S. The functions and clinical application of tumor-derived exosomes. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 60736–51.
- 75 Whiteside TL. Tumor-derived exosomes and their role in cancer progression. Adv Clin Chem 2016; 74: 103–41.
- 76 Whiteside TL. Exosomes and tumor-mediated immune suppression. J Clin Invest 2016; 126: 1216–23.
- van der Meel R, Fens MH, Vader P, van Solinge WW, Eniola-Adefeso O, Schiffelers RM. Extracellular vesicles as drug delivery systems: lessons from the liposome field. J Control Release 2014; 195: 72–85.
- 78 Lamparski HG, Metha-Damani A, Yao JY, Patel S, Hsu DH, Ruegg C, et al. Production and characterization of clinical grade exosomes derived from dendritic cells. J Immunol Methods 2002; 270: 211–26.

Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/3.0/

© The Author(s) 2017