



Non-HDL-C/TC ratio: a useful screenings test for dysbetalipoproteinemia

Charlotte Koopal, Frank L. J. Visseren

Department of Vascular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Charlotte Koopal. Department of Vascular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Email: c.koopal@umcutrecht.nl.

Comment on: Boot CS, Middling E, Allen J, *et al.* Evaluation of the Non-HDL Cholesterol to Apolipoprotein B Ratio as a Screening Test for Dysbetalipoproteinemia. *Clin Chem* 2019;65:313-20.

Received: 08 April 2019; Accepted: 28 April 2019; published: 29 April 2019.

doi: 10.21037/jlpm.2019.04.04

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jlpm.2019.04.04>

Familial dysbetalipoproteinemia (FD) is a genetic lipid disorder, caused by a mutation in the apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) gene, and characterized by an increased number of cholesterol-enriched remnant lipoproteins in the plasma and premature cardiovascular disease. In the Frederickson classification of lipid disorders, FD was initially described as type III hyperlipoproteinemia (1). Usually FD patients respond well to dietary restrictions (2) and treatment with statins and fibrates in combination (3). Early diagnosis of FD is important for timely treatment, including risk factor management, dietary advice and drug treatment, as well as screening of family members. Unfortunately FD is often overlooked in the differential diagnosis of combined hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia (4), mainly due to the fact that clinical clues are often lacking and the formal diagnosis needs specialized laboratory testing.

The diagnosis FD was originally defined as a VLDL-cholesterol (VLDL-C)/plasma triglyceride (TG) ratio of >0.30 (or >0.69 in mmol) as determined by ultracentrifugation; and/or as the presence of a broad-beta band in the VLDL range on agarose gel electrophoresis (β -VLDL) (5). In 90% of the cases FD is associated with the homozygous $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$ genotype of the *APOE* gene, which can cause impaired remnant clearance in the presence of insulin resistance (6,7). However, 10% of FD is caused by other (rare, often dominant) mutations in the *APOE* gene (7). Because ultracentrifugation and electrophoresis are laborious and costly and therefore nowadays not used in routine clinical care, there is a clinical need for an easy to use screenings test to select patients for further diagnostic work up including *APOE* genotyping.

Boot and colleagues from the United Kingdom provide evidence for the use of the non-HDL cholesterol to apolipoprotein B (non-HDL-C/apoB) ratio to clinically screen for FD (8). The authors retrospectively included all patients ($N=1,637$) referred to their clinic for diagnosis of FD. In 63 patients FD was established, defined as a VLDL-C/plasma TG ratio >0.69 and the presence of β -VLDL using a method that combines ultracentrifugation and polyanionic precipitation. In FD patients, the mean non-HDL-C/apoB ratio was 7.3 ± 1.5 mmol/g compared to 4.0 ± 0.5 mmol/g in non-FD patients. When using an optimal cutoff of non-HDL-C/apoB, >4.91 mmol/g, the sensitivity for FD was 95% (95% CI, 94–96) and specificity 95% (95% CI, 93–96). Furthermore, the positive predictive value (PPV) was 43.6% (95% CI, 35.2–52.2) and negative predictive value (NPV) 99.9% (95% CI, 99.5–100).

The authors compare their new method with several previously described alternatives, i.e., the TC/apoB ratio and two apoB based algorithms. In the original article by Blom *et al.* an apoB/TC ratio <0.15 had a sensitivity of 89% (95% CI, 78–96%) and a specificity of 97% (95% CI, 94–98%), when using a VLDL-C/VLDL-TG ratio ≥ 0.96 or a VLDL-C/plasma TG ratio ≥ 0.69 and an $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$ genotype as the gold standard (9). It is not completely clear why the Boot *et al.* used TC/apoB instead of apoB/TC as published by Blom *et al.* (9), possibly for comparative purposes. Boot *et al.* find the optimal cutoff for TC/apoB to be >6.55 mmol/g with a sensitivity and specificity of 92% (95% CI, 82–97%) and 95% (95% CI, 93–96%), and PPV and NPV of 40.0% (95% CI, 32.0–48.5) and 99.7% (95% CI, 99.2–99.9)

respectively. The non-HDL-C/apoB ratio was not influenced by sex, while the TC/apoB ratio was.

The first apoB based algorithm by Sniderman *et al.* found an AUC-ROC of 98.8% (95% CI, not given) for diagnosis of FD when TC/apoB ratio ≥ 6.2 and TG/apoB ratio < 10 (10). Their gold standard was a TG > 75 th percentile for age and gender, VLDL-C/plasma TG > 0.69 and presence of an $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$ genotype. The second apoB algorithm, designed by the same group, uses apoB < 1.2 g/L, TG ≥ 1.5 mmol/L, TG/apoB < 10 and TC/apoB ≥ 6.2 as diagnostic criterium of FD (11). In the article by Boot and colleagues the diagnostic performance of both TC/apoB and non-HDL-C/apoB was better than that of either apoB algorithm.

Although the non-HDL-C/apoB ratio had a high sensitivity and specificity, the PPV was low (43.6%), meaning that a positive test does not prove the diagnosis of FD. However, the NPV was high, so in the case of a negative test FD is highly unlikely. This makes it a useful screenings test to determine in which patients further diagnostic workup for FD is warranted, including *APOE* genotyping. Based on the comparisons of diagnostic performance made by the authors, the non-HDL-C/apoB ratio seems a slightly better alternative compared to the TC/apoB ratio, possibly due to confounding effects of HDL-C.

In conclusion, a screenings test for FD that can easily be used in clinical practice could aid clinicians to select patients with mixed hyperlipemia (i.e., high total cholesterol and high triglycerides) for further diagnostic workup for the diagnosis of FD, including *APOE* genotyping. A non-HDL-C/apoB ratio < 4.91 mmol/g, as elegantly shown by Boot and colleagues in the February issue of *Clinical Chemistry* of this year, is a useful test to rule out the presence of FD and spare unnecessary further diagnostic testing.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by by the Section Editor Dr. Min Yang (Department of Laboratory Medicine, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China).

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jlpm.2019.04.04>).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Fredrickson DS, Morganroth J, Levy RI. Type III hyperlipoproteinemia: an analysis of two contemporary definitions. *Ann Intern Med* 1975;82:150-7.
2. Retterstol K, Hennig CB, Iversen PO. Improved plasma lipids and body weight in overweight/obese patients with type III hyperlipoproteinemia after 4 weeks on a low glycemic diet. *Clin Nutr* 2009;28:213-5.
3. Koopal C, Marais AD, Westerink J, et al. Effect of adding bezafibrate to standard lipid-lowering therapy on post-fat load lipid levels in patients with familial dysbetalipoproteinemia. A randomized placebo-controlled crossover trial. *J Lipid Res* 2017;58:2180-7.
4. Sniderman AD. Type III Hyperlipoproteinemia: The Forgotten, Disregarded, Neglected, Overlooked, Ignored but Highly Atherogenic, and Highly Treatable Dyslipoproteinemia. *Clin Chem* 2019;65:225-7.
5. Beaumont JL, Carlson LA, Cooper GR, et al. Classification of hyperlipidaemias and hyperlipoproteinaemias. *Bull World Health Organ* 1970;43:891-915.
6. Mahley RW, Huang Y, Rall SC Jr. Pathogenesis of type III hyperlipoproteinemia (dysbetalipoproteinemia). Questions, quandaries, and paradoxes. *J Lipid Res* 1999;40:1933-49.
7. Koopal C, Marais AD, Westerink J, et al. Autosomal dominant familial dysbetalipoproteinemia: A pathophysiological framework and practical approach to diagnosis and therapy. *J Clin Lipidol* 2017;11:12-23.e1.
8. Boot CS, Middling E, Allen J, et al. Evaluation of the

- Non-HDL Cholesterol to Apolipoprotein B Ratio as a Screening Test for Dysbetalipoproteinemia. *Clin Chem* 2019;65:313-20.
9. Blom DJ, O'Neill FH, Marais AD. Screening for dysbetalipoproteinemia by plasma cholesterol and apolipoprotein B concentrations. *Clin Chem* 2005;51:904-7.
 10. Sniderman A, Tremblay A, Bergeron J, et al. Diagnosis of type III hyperlipoproteinemia from plasma total cholesterol, triglyceride, and apolipoprotein B. *J Clin Lipidol* 2007;1:256-63.
 11. de Graaf J, Couture P, Sniderman A. A diagnostic algorithm for the atherogenic apolipoprotein B dyslipoproteinemias. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab* 2008;4:608-18.

doi: 10.21037/jlpm.2019.04.04

Cite this article as: Koopal C, Visseren FL. Non-HDL-C/TC ratio: a useful screenings test for dysbetalipoproteinemia. *J Lab Precis Med* 2019;4:18.