### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

#### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		n/a
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
<b>Cell lines:</b> Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		n/a
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		n/a
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		n/a
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		n/a
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		n/a
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
<b>Plants:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		n/a
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		n/a
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Deidentified patient HBA1C and dates analyzed. Ethics approval not required	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.		n/a
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		n/a

## Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		n/a
number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	n/a
by-step protocols are available.		.,.
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done <b>, or</b> if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Proof of concept	
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Percentiles of population used for inclusion/exclusion	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	····· (·······························	n/a
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological	Intra-patient measurements when ordered by clinician	
replicates	in determining state of glucose control	
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of		n/a
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		n/a
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		n/a
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		n/a
state the authority granting approval and reference		
number for the regulatory approval		1

# <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Data were excluded for the early repeats	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Proof of concept, the results were so different, that	
tests.	visual differences were very large and satisfactory	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	No	11/ a
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession	Not available	
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide	Not available	
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential	Not available	11/4
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Nota available	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	Not available	

# **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		n/
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		а
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jlpm-2019-qc-02.