

Mediastinum, 2018

AB015. OA02.06: A dosimetric comparison of VMAT and IMPT with and without aperture for thymic cancer

Kwanghyun Jo, Dongryul Oh, Hongryul Pyo, Yong Chan Ahn, Sang Gyu Ju, Kwangzoo Chung, Eunah Chung, Seyjhoon Park, Woojin Lee, Jinman Kim, Jae Myoung Noh

Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea Correspondence to: Kwanghyun Jo. Samsung Medical Center, Gaepodong, KS013, Seoul, Republic of Korea. Email: jokh38@gmail.com.

Background: Due to the location of thymic cancer, proton therapy will save more normal organ dose in distal direction than conventional photon radiotherapy. But the lateral penumbra of intensity modulated proton therapy (IMPT) is usually larger than photon. One option is to use aperture for IMPT to reduce lateral penumbra. We compared volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) and IMPT with aperture (IMPTa) and without aperture (IMPT) to evaluate the effect of normal organ saving for thymic cancer.

Methods: We evaluated treatment plans for 7 patients who received IMPT from May 2016 till October 2017. They are treated with curative aim with 52.8 Cobalt Gy equivalent (CGE) for 5 patients, 60 CGE and 66 CGE for each one patient. IMPT treatment plans were optimized using one or two fields, analogous VMAT plans were optimized by using 2 or 3 partial arcs. The treatment doses were prescribed to the volume of CTV with robust optimization (including both setup and range uncertainties) for IMPT and PTV for VMAT. The aperture margin was set to the prescription

isodose volume to guarantee the target robustness. Dosimetric indices were maximum dose, average dose for normal organs and their statistical analysis were done with SPSS 21 (IBM), Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Bonferroni correction. Treatment plans were done by Raystation v6.2 (Raysearch, Sweden).

Results: The average normal organ doses of 7 patients were significantly lower for IMPT than VMAT: 4.3 CGE vs. 6.7 CGE for right lung, 6.8 CGE vs. 11.1 CGE for left lung. And it was same for esophagus, 5.4 CGE vs. 9.3 CGE, spinal cord, 0.6 CGE vs. 3.7 CGE, left ventricle (LV) 0.1 CGE vs. 1.1 CGE, left anterior descending artery (LAD), 10.3 CGE vs. 17.9 CGE and superior vena cava (SVC) 24.8 CGE vs. 29.7 CGE. The maximum doses were reduced by using IMPT for esophagus 23.8 CGE vs. 35.8 CGE and spinal cord, 3.7 CGE vs. 13.3 CGE. There was small difference between IMPTa and IMPT for average dose for SVC 22.5 CGE vs. 24.7 CGE. And the maximum dose difference between IMPTa and IMPT were 46.4 CGE vs. 51.6 CGE for SVC, and 0.7 CGE vs. 8.0 CGE for LV.

Conclusions: The result showed normal organ doses for lung, spinal cord and heart were significantly reduced by using IMPT. And penumbra reduction by using aperture gave more organs saving for organs at risk of small volume. These would reduce the chance of secondary malignancy for organs at risk. And it is expected the occurrence of radiation-induced chronic heart disease would be reduced. Keywords: Thymic cancer; volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT); intensity modulated proton therapy (IMPT) with aperture

doi: 10.21037/med.2018.AB015

Cite this abstract as: Jo K, Oh D, Pyo H, Ahn YC, Ju SG, Chung K, Chung E, Park S, Lee W, Kim J, Noh JM. A dosimetric comparison of VMAT and IMPT with and without aperture for thymic cancer. Mediastinum 2018;2:AB015.