

AB148. 43. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials analysing the role of drains following infraperitoneal colorectal or coloanal anastomosis

Hena Hidayat, Christina Fleming, John Calvin Coffey, David Waldron, Eoghan Condon, Colin Pierce

Department of Surgery, University Hospital Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

Background: Anastomotic dehiscence leading to pelvic sepsis after infra-peritoneal anastomosis is a significant challenge in colorectal surgery. The prophylactic use of pelvic drains to reduce the incidence of and improve the early diagnosis of anastomotic leakage remains a common surgical practice despite any strong evidence relating to its efficacy and safety. This systematic review assessed the efficacy and safety of prophylactic use of pelvic drains in infra-peritoneal anastomosis.

Methods: Electronic databases were searched for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) comparing

prophylactic pelvic drainage with non-drainage following infra-peritoneal anastomoses formation in colorectal surgery. RCTs published in English between 1990 and 2018 were considered.

Results: Four RCTs describing outcomes in 1,122 patients were suitable for inclusion (n=567 in the drainage group; n=555 non-drainage group). A significantly lower anastomotic leak rate was not observed with prophylactic pelvic drainage [risk ratio (RR) 1.06; 95% CI: 0.72–1.56; P=0.77]. Furthermore, a reduction in wound infection rate (RR 1.08; 95% CI: 0.45–2.60; P=0.86), need for surgical re-intervention (RR 1.07; 95% CI: 0.52–2.21; P=0.84) or overall mortality (RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.39–1.77; P=0.63) was not associated with prophylactic pelvic drainage. A significant reduction in hospital length of stay (LOS) was observed in those who did not receive a drain (WMD 0.98; 95% CI: 0.03–1.94; P≤0.04).

Conclusions: Prophylactic use of pelvic drains after infraperitoneal colorectal or coloanal anastomosis formation does not reduce anastomotic leak rate, surgical site infection, surgical re-intervention or overall mortality. Reduced length of stay was observed when drains were not used.

Keywords: Drains; anastomosis; colorectal; coloana; infraperitoneal

doi: 10.21037/map.2019.AB148

Cite this abstract as: Hidayat H, Fleming C, Coffey JC, Waldron D, Condon E, Pierce C. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials analysing the role of drains following infraperitoneal colorectal or coloanal anastomosis. *Mesentery Peritoneum* 2019;3:AB148.