



Heart rate corrected QT interval in newborn electrocardiogram

Ruey-Kang Chang

Department of Pediatrics, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, California, USA

Correspondence to: Ruey-Kang Chang, MD, MPH. Professor of Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center; David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, 1000 West Carson Street, Box 491, Torrance, CA 90509, USA. Email: rkchang@ucla.edu.

Comment on: Stramba-Badiale M, Karnad DR, Goulene KM, *et al.* For neonatal ECG screening there is no reason to relinquish old Bazett's correction. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:2888-95.

Received: 18 September 2018; Accepted: 30 September 2018; Published: 08 October 2018.

doi: 10.21037/pm.2018.09.03

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/pm.2018.09.03>

There have been numerous debates on the best formula for heart rate (HR) correction of QT interval on electrocardiograms (ECG). Many previous studies have compared different commonly used correction formulae in adults (1-4), children and adolescents (5,6). Lately, we have also seen studies on the QT correction formulae in even younger populations, such as infants (7,8), but there has been no study on newborns (younger than 30 days of age). An interesting paper by Dr. Stramba-Badiale and colleagues was recently published in the *European Heart Journal*, titled “For neonatal ECG screening there is no reason to relinquish old Bazett’s correction” (9). The study was based on the ECGs collected in a previous prospective study on ECG screening of 44,596 healthy neonates conducted in Italy between 2001 and 2006. In the paper, the researchers evaluated 5,000 newborns, including 17 with long QT syndrome (LQTS)-causing mutation identified by genotyping, and compared four QT correction formulae (Bazett’s, Fridericia’s, Framingham, and Hodges). Two criteria were used to determine the best choice of QT correction formula for newborn ECG—(I) QT correction across different HR by linear regression analysis of corrected QT interval (QTc) *vs.* HR; (II) ability of the formula to identify 17 newborns with LQTS out of a total of 5,000 samples. The authors found that the Bazett’s correction provided an effective HR independent QT correction and was able to accurately identify the neonates affected by LQTS. Therefore, it was concluded that Bazett formula can be used with confidence in neonates.

The most commonly used QT correction formula, Bazett formula ($QTc = QT/RR^{0.5}$), has been widely criticized for

over-correction of QT intervals at high HRs (10), thus may not be suitable for use in younger populations. Phan *et al.* reported that in a group of 702 young children (majority under 2 years old), Bazett formula resulted in the most consistent QT correction across a wide range of HRs (70–189 bpm). The study by Stramba-Badiale *et al.* came to the same conclusion in an even younger population—newborns at 15 to 25 days of age. Remarkably, these two studies have very similar results—the “perfect” QT correction formula for newborns by Stramba-Badiale *et al.* was $QTc = QT/RR^{0.467}$ and for infants/young children by Phan *et al.* was $QTc = QT/RR^{0.48}$, both of which are very close to Bazett $QTc = QT/RR^{0.5}$. Furthermore, both studies identified 460 ms as the cutoff value for prolonged QTc.

The authors correctly pointed out that the study has many important strengths, including a large sample size, prospectively collected samples of high-resolution newborn ECGs, measurements by expert cardiologists, and validation by QTc and HR regression as well as by distinction between healthy newborns and newborns with LQTS. One limitation not listed by the authors is that the samples were collected from a relatively homogeneous population of predominantly white newborns in Northern Italy.

As the authors indicated, the results of this study have important implications. First, for clinical interpretation of newborn ECGs, clinicians should consider that Bazett formula is the choice for HR correction of QT intervals. Identifying the most suitable QT correction formula also helps to determine the effects of some medications frequently used in newborns on QT prolongation, such as methadone. It will also be important for the development

of new pharmaceutical products, especially ones targeted for pediatric use. Another implication is for the increasingly heated debate on the topic of universal ECG screening of newborns for LQTS.

It is now widely recognized that up to 10–15% of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) or sudden unexplained infant death (SUID) victims carry LQTS or other channelopathy mutations (11,12). Given that medical therapy for LQTS is generally effective, it is logical to hypothesize that ECG screening of newborns can identify babies with LQTS thus may prevent sudden death or SIDS. The efficacy of newborn ECG screening has been demonstrated by Schwartz *et al.* in Italy (13–15). The debate regarding universal LQTS screening has since been ongoing (16,17). In a 2007 survey of 363 pediatric cardiologists in North America, only 11% supported mandatory screening (18). As more data have become available, such as the link between SIDS and LQTS, and a higher prevalence of LQTS than previously estimated, universal newborn screening is gaining more support (19–24).

From those who oppose universal ECG screening of newborns (16,21,25), the concerns include: (I) gaps in knowledge in epidemiology and natural history of LQTS in different populations; (II) sensitivity, reliability and reproducibility of newborn ECGs (21,24); (III) cost-effectiveness of mass screening is not clear (21,22,26–28). As more studies from different countries on newborn screening, such as Japan (29), are published, studies on newborn ECGs for LQTS screening (such as the paper by Stramba-Badiale *et al.*) are available, and new technologies that make ECG screening on newborns more accessible, reliable and cost-effective, we are getting closer to a serious policy discussion on ECG screening of all newborns.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by Editor-in-Chief Prof. Guoying Huang (Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China).

Conflicts of Interest: Dr. Chang is Founder and CEO of QT Medical, Inc. (www.qtmedical.com), which manufactures electrocardiogram (ECG) devices and provides ECG

services.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Molnar J, Weiss J, Zhang F, et al. Evaluation of five QT correction formulas using a software-assisted method of continuous QT measurement from 24-hour Holter recordings. *Am J Cardiol* 1996;78:920–6.
2. Aytémir K, Maarouf N, Gallagher MM, et al. Comparison of formulae for heart rate correction of QT interval in exercise electrocardiograms. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1999;22:1397–401.
3. Luo S, Michler K, Johnston P, et al. A comparison of commonly used QT correction formulae: the effect of heart rate on the QTc of normal ECGs. *J Electrocardiol* 2004;37 Suppl:81–90.
4. Indik JH, Pearson EC, Fried K, et al. Bazett and Fridericia QT correction formulas interfere with measurement of drug-induced changes in QT interval. *Heart Rhythm* 2006;3:1003–7.
5. Wernicke J, Faries D, Breitung R, et al. QT correction methods in children and adolescents. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005;16:76–81.
6. Qiu H, Bird G, Qu L, et al. Evaluation of QT interval correction methods in normal pediatric resting ECGs. *Comput Cardiol*, 2007;34:431–4.
7. Phan DQ, Silka MJ, Lan YT, et al. Comparison of formulas for calculation of the corrected QT interval in infants and young children. *J Pediatr* 2015;166:960–4.e1–2.
8. Benatar A, Feenstra A. QT correction methods in infants and children: effects of age and gender. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2015;20:119–25.
9. Stramba-Badiale M, Karnad DR, Goulene KM, et al. For

- neonatal ECG screening there is no reason to relinquish old Bazett's correction. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:2888-95.
10. Bazett HC. An analysis of the time-relations of electrocardiograms. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 1997;2:177-94.
 11. Arnestad M, Crotti L, Rognum TO, et al. Prevalence of long-QT syndrome gene variants in sudden infant death syndrome. *Circulation* 2007;115:361-7.
 12. Wong LC, Behr ER. Sudden unexplained death in infants and children: the role of undiagnosed inherited cardiac conditions. *Europace* 2014;16:1706-13.
 13. Schwartz PJ, Stramba-Badiale M, Crotti L, et al. Prevalence of the congenital long-QT syndrome. *Circulation* 2009;120:1761-7.
 14. Quaglini S, Rognoni C, Spazzolini C, et al. Cost-effectiveness of neonatal ECG screening for the long QT syndrome. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:1824-32.
 15. Yoshinaga M, Ushinohama H, Sato S, et al. Electrocardiographic screening of 1-month-old infants for identifying prolonged QT intervals. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:932-8.
 16. van Langen IM, Wilde AA. Con: Newborn screening to prevent sudden cardiac death? *Heart Rhythm* 2006;3:1356-9.
 17. Schwartz PJ. Pro: Newborn ECG screening to prevent sudden cardiac death. *Heart Rhythm* 2006;3:1353-5.
 18. Chang RK, Rodriguez S, Gurvitz MZ. Electrocardiogram screening of infants for long QT syndrome: survey of pediatric cardiologists in North America. *J Electrocardiol* 2010;43:4-7.
 19. Saul JP, Schwartz PJ, Ackerman MJ, et al. Rationale and objectives for ECG screening in infancy. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:2316-21.
 20. Saul JP, Schwartz PJ, Ackerman MJ, et al. Neonatal ECG screening: opinions and facts. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:610-1.
 21. Skinner JR, Van Hare GF. Routine ECG screening in infancy and early childhood should not be performed. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:2322-7.
 22. Tristani-Firouzi M. Revisiting the challenges of universal screening for long QT syndrome. *J Electrocardiol* 2015;48:1053-7.
 23. Saul JP, Schwartz PJ, Ackerman MJ, et al. Reply to the Editor--Detection of long QT syndrome in the community. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:e67-8.
 24. Skinner JR, Van Hare GF. Letter to the Editor--Detection of long QT syndrome in the community. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:e67.
 25. van Langen IM, Wilde AA. ECG screening of newborns to avoid sudden infant death syndrome? *Neth Heart J* 2006;14:43-5.
 26. Zupancic JA, Triedman JK, Alexander M, et al. Cost-effectiveness and implications of newborn screening for prolongation of QT interval for the prevention of sudden infant death syndrome. *J Pediatr* 2000;136:481-9.
 27. Van Hare GF, Perry J, Berul CI, et al. Cost effectiveness of neonatal ECG screening for the long QT syndrome. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:137; author reply 137-9.
 28. Gonzalez FM, Veneziano MA, Puggina A, et al. A Systematic Review on the Cost-Effectiveness of Genetic and Electrocardiogram Testing for Long QT Syndrome in Infants and Young Adults. *Value Health* 2015;18:700-8.
 29. Hayashi K, Fujino N, Uchiyama K, et al. Long QT syndrome and associated gene mutation carriers in Japanese children: results from ECG screening examinations. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2009;117:415-24.

doi: doi: 10.21037/pm.2018.09.03

Cite this article as: Chang RK. Heart rate corrected QT interval in newborn electrocardiogram. *Pediatr Med* 2018;1:5.