Harnessing plasma genotyping for precision therapy against lung cancer

Xiang Yan¹, Bingliang Fang²

¹Department of Medical Oncology, PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China; ²Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Correspondence to: Bingliang Fang. Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030, USA. Email: bfang@mdanderson.org.

Submitted Sep 12, 2016. Accepted for publication Sep 19, 2016. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.10.95 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2016.10.95

The development of tyrosine kinase inhibitors gefitinib and erlotinib for anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) therapy is one of milestones in the history for treatment of lung cancer, a disease that annually causes more than 158,000 deaths in the United States, 610,000 deaths in China, and 1.6 million deaths worldwide (1,2). Activating mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain of the EGFR gene are known to be an oncogenic driver in lung tumorigenesis. It is now clear that non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with EGFR activating mutations represent a distinct biological subtype that is highly sensitive to the treatment with EGFR inhibitors. In fact, the finding that EGFRmutant lung cancer cells are highly susceptible to the EGFR inhibitors gefitinib (3) and erlotinib (4) has made these two agents the first choice for therapy in patients whose tumors harbor EGFR mutations. Both gefitinib and erlotinib have been reported to significantly improve disease control, objective response rate (ORR), progression-free survival (PFS), and quality of life in patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancer, when compared with conventional chemotherapy (5,6). Approximately 10-17% of lung adenocarcinomas patients in the United States and Europe (7,8) and about 30-65% of lung cancer patients in Asia have EGFR activating mutations (9,10). Deletions in exon 19 and the point mutation L858R in exon 21 are the most common EGFR activating mutations, accounting for about 85% of the EGFR mutations detected in lung cancers (10,11). In the absence of EGFR mutation or gene amplification, there is no significant difference in the responses to the treatment with EGFR inhibitors and conventional chemotherapies (12). Thus, EGFR gene mutation test

is mandatory for using EGFR antagonists as the firstline treatment for advanced NSCLC (13). Consequently, genetic profiling of surgical and biopsy samples is routinely performed clinically for lung cancer patients to provide guidance for selection of treatment regimens. A number of DNA sequencing and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based methods are used clinically to detect EGFR gene mutations in tumor specimens. However, the presence of intratumoral heterogeneity in most cancer patients (14) has imposed a challenge in using the information obtained from analysis of single tiny biopsy samples in clinical practice. Moreover, multiple and serial biopsies are often impractical clinically because of the potential complications of the procedures, including tumor seeding or spreading following percutaneous needle biopsy (15,16).

In a recent article of *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Oxnard reported results from a retrospective analysis on treatment outcomes with osimertinib (AZD9291) in advanced NSCLC patients based on genotype analysis of patients' plasma and tumor specimens (17). Osimertinib is one of third generation EGFR antagonists that specifically inhibit cancers containing T790M mutations in the EGFR gene (18), which is resistant to the first generation EGFR antagonists' gefitinib and erlotinib. A number of mechanisms of acquired resistance to gefitinib and erlotinib have been reported, nevertheless, the most common cause of the acquired resistance observed clinically is acquisition of the EGFR T790M mutation, which is found in approximately 50% of patients (19). Clinical study revealed that treatment with osimertinib resulted in an ORR of 61% in patients with confirmed EGFR T790M mutation. In

contrast, the patients without EGFR T790M mutation had the response rate of 21% (20). Tumor biopsy was performed to determine the presence EGFR T790M mutation in patients with disease progression after the treatment with erlotinib or gefitinib. This promising result has led to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)'s approval of using osimertinib for the treatment of advanced NSCLC with EGFR T790M mutation. The FDA also approved a companion diagnostic test, the cobas EGFR mutation Test v2 which includes T790 mutation analysis in the EGFR gene. Nevertheless, obtaining biopsy specimen for the test is a challenge in clinical practices because of possible risks of biopsy procedure. Thus, results from Oxnard's group may change clinical practice in mutation analysis for precision therapy against lung cancers.

Using BEAMing digital PCR analysis, Oxnard et al. found that the sensitivity of plasma genotyping for detection T790M was 70% (17), comparable that reported previously (21). T790M mutation was detected in 18 of 58 (31%) patients with T790M negative tumor. Analysis on clinical outcomes revealed that ORR and median PFS were similar in patients with T790M-positive plasma (ORR, 63%; PFS, 9.7 months) or T790M-positive tumor (ORR, 62%; PFS, 9.7 months). For the patients with T790M-negative plasma, ORR was 46% and median PFS 8.2 months. Among the patients whose plasma genotyping is T790M-negative, favorable outcomes (ORR, 69%; PFS, 16.5 months) were observed when tumor genotyping was positive for T790M, whereas poor outcomes (ORR, 25%; PFS, 2.8 months) were observed when tumor genotyping was negative for T790M. Nevertheless, patients with T790M-positive plasma also responded to the osimertinib treatment differently based on their tumor genotyping results. The ORR and median PFS were higher in those with T790M-positive tumors (ORR, 64%; PFS, 9.3 months) than in those with T790M-negative tumors (ORR, 28%; PFS, 4.2 months). Those result suggested that plasma and tumor genotyping can have complementary roles for T790M mutation test. For approximately 50% NSCLC patients with acquired resistance to the first generation EGFR antagonists, biopsy may be avoided if their plasma genotyping is positive for T790M mutation. However, patients with T790M-negative plasma results may still need a tumor biopsy to determine T790M mutations, which may increase their test cost.

Accumulating evidences have demonstrated that tumorspecific alterations (mutations, translocations, aberrant methylations, and copy-number alterations) can be detected in the plasma cell-free DNA (cfDNA) of cancer patients using digital polymerase chain reaction (dPCR) and nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) (22). Because blood flows through both primary and metastatic tumors, the genomic profile of cfDNA is expected to constitute a representative readout of collective genomic alterations in all tumors in a patient, including primary and metastatic tumor nodules, thereby circumventing potential problems encountered by conventional biopsy owing to spatial heterogeneity that has been observed in a variety of cancers. For the 18 cases (30%) with T790M genotyping positive in plasma but negative in tumor reported in the study, the presence of T790M mutation in plasma cfDNA was confirmed in 14 cases (78%) by using an alternative assay, suggesting that the discordance might arise from spatial heterogeneity. However, this group of patients had lower ORR than the patients who were T790M positive in both plasma and tumor genotyping. The authors hypothesized that the patients with T790M positive plasma but negative tumor may have T790M positive cancer cells being present as minor clones. Because the half-life of circulating cfDNA is short (~16 minutes) (23), analysis of longitudinal samples can be used to monitor treatment response, disease recurrence, and emergence of treatment-resistant mutations, such reduction of T790M mutant cfDNA in plasma or emergence of osimertinib resistant C797S mutation, as reported by Oxnard's group recently (24). Unfortunately, dynamic changes of T790M mutation in plasma or emergence of C797S mutation were not included this retrospective study, therefore, the conclusion is not available for the putative spatial heterogeneity in this group of patients.

Like other laboratory tests used in clinics, plasma genotyping for precision medicine may have its limitations. Currently, mutational analyses of cfDNA are performed using ddPCR or NGS with small panels of cancer-related genes. One of major challenges in using cfDNA for detecting tumor-specific mutations is that only very limited amount of cfDNA can be isolated from plasma, which is not adequate for ddPCR assays on multiple hot spots of cancer mutations, or for genomic profiling of larger panels of cancer-related genes. Improvement in technologies and standardization of procedures for sample acquisition, processing and analyses will be needed for realization of the potential applications of plasma genotyping in era of precision therapy for cancer.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This work was supported in part by the National

Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 8, No 10 October 2016

Institutes of Health grants R01CA190628 and Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) grant CA070907.

Footnote

Provenance: This is an invited Commentary commissioned by the Section Editor Long Jiang (Second Affiliated Hospital, Institute of Respiratory Diseases, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China). *Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Comment on: Oxnard GR, Thress KS, Alden RS, *et al.* Association Between Plasma Genotyping and Outcomes of Treatment With Osimertinib (AZD9291) in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:3375-82.

References

- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2015;65:87-108.
- 2. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2016;66:115-32.
- Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2129-39.
- Shepherd FA, Rodrigues Pereira J, et al. Erlotinib in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2005;353:123-32.
- Cappuzzo F, Ciuleanu T, Stelmakh L, et al. Erlotinib as maintenance treatment in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol 2010;11:521-9.
- Fukuoka M, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, et al. Biomarker analyses and final overall survival results from a phase III, randomized, open-label, first-line study of gefitinib versus carboplatin/paclitaxel in clinically selected patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer in Asia (IPASS). J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2866-74.
- Marchetti A, Martella C, Felicioni L, et al. EGFR mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer: analysis of a large series of cases and development of a rapid and sensitive method for diagnostic screening with potential implications on pharmacologic treatment. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:857-65.
- 8. Gahr S, Stoehr R, Geissinger E, et al. EGFR mutational status in a large series of Caucasian European NSCLC

patients: data from daily practice. Br J Cancer 2013;109:1821-8.

- Choi YL, Sun JM, Cho J, et al. EGFR mutation testing in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a comprehensive evaluation of real-world practice in an East Asian tertiary hospital. PLoS One 2013;8:e56011.
- Li C, Fang R, Sun Y, et al. Spectrum of oncogenic driver mutations in lung adenocarcinomas from East Asian never smokers. PLoS One 2011;6:e28204.
- Sequist LV, Bell DW, Lynch TJ, et al. Molecular predictors of response to epidermal growth factor receptor antagonists in non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:587-95.
- 12. Douillard JY, Shepherd FA, Hirsh V, et al. Molecular predictors of outcome with gefitinib and docetaxel in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer: data from the randomized phase III INTEREST trial. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:744-52.
- Khozin S, Blumenthal GM, Jiang X, et al. U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval summary: Erlotinib for the first-line treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with epidermal growth factor receptor exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations. Oncologist 2014;19:774-9.
- Zhang J, Fujimoto J, Zhang J, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity in localized lung adenocarcinomas delineated by multiregion sequencing. Science 2014;346:256-9.
- 15. Robertson EG, Baxter G. Tumour seeding following percutaneous needle biopsy: the real story! Clin Radiol 2011;66:1007-14.
- 16. Chellapandian D, Lehrnbecher T, Phillips B, et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage and lung biopsy in patients with cancer and hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:501-9.
- Oxnard GR, Thress KS, Alden RS, et al. Association Between Plasma Genotyping and Outcomes of Treatment With Osimertinib (AZD9291) in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:3375-82.
- Finlay MR, Anderton M, Ashton S, et al. Discovery of a potent and selective EGFR inhibitor (AZD9291) of both sensitizing and T790M resistance mutations that spares the wild type form of the receptor. J Med Chem 2014;57:8249-67.
- 19. Fang B, Mehran RJ, Heymach JV, et al. Predictive biomarkers in precision medicine and drug development

Yan and Fang. Plasma genotyping for precision therapy

E1390

against lung cancer. Chin J Cancer 2015;34:295-309.

- 20. Jänne PA, Yang JC, Kim DW, et al. AZD9291 in EGFR inhibitor-resistant non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1689-99.
- Thress KS, Brant R, Carr TH, et al. EGFR mutation detection in ctDNA from NSCLC patient plasma: A crossplatform comparison of leading technologies to support the clinical development of AZD9291. Lung Cancer 2015;90:509-15.
- 22. Luke JJ, Oxnard GR, Paweletz CP, et al. Realizing the

Cite this article as: Yan X, Fang B. Harnessing plasma genotyping for precision therapy against lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 2016;8(10):E1387-E1390. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.10.95

potential of plasma genotyping in an age of genotypedirected therapies. J Natl Cancer Inst 2014;106. pii: dju214.

- 23. Lo YM, Zhang J, Leung TN, et al. Rapid clearance of fetal DNA from maternal plasma. Am J Hum Genet 1999;64:218-24.
- 24. Thress KS, Paweletz CP, Felip E, et al. Acquired EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to AZD9291 in nonsmall cell lung cancer harboring EGFR T790M. Nat Med 2015;21:560-2.