

# Significance of testing for *TP53* gene mutations in lung adenocarcinoma using targeted gene sequencing

## Yuki Matsumura, Yuki Owada-Ozaki, Hiroyuki Suzuki

Department of Chest Surgery, Fukushima Medical University, School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan

*Correspondence to:* Yuki Matsumura, MD. Department of Chest Surgery, Fukushima Medical University, 1 Hikarigaoka, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan. Email: yukimatsumura1980@gmail.com.

*Comment on:* Aisner DL, Sholl LM, Berry LD, *et al.* The Impact of Smoking and TP53 Mutations in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients with Targetable Mutations-The Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium (LCMC2). Clin Cancer Res 2018;24:1038-47.

Submitted Oct 03, 2018. Accepted for publication Oct 08, 2018. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.10.66 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.10.66

Randomized clinical trials have demonstrated the positive effects of epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) for patients with advanced or recurrent lung adenocarcinomas harboring EGFR gene mutations (1-3). Detecting cancer-specific gene mutations and exploring their targeted therapies for advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) have therefore become a major topic of discussion over the past decade. Studies have shown that chromosomal rearrangements of ALK, ROS1, and *RET* genes are good targets for the corresponding TKIs (4-6) and are currently the first choice for targeted therapy for patients with the respective targetable gene rearrangements in their tumors (7). Most of these gene mutations and rearrangements are known to be mutually exclusive (8), thereby requiring more time and more samples to test them individually, which can delay treatment. The increasingly widespread use of next-generation sequencing (NGS) has helped address this issue, enabling clinicians to determine several gene alterations simultaneously from one sample (9). With the popularity of this technology, a new concept has emerged of not only detecting predesigned targetable gene alterations, but also expanding the targets to candidate genes for which targeted therapy has not yet been established, as well as searching for novel therapeutic gene targets combined with the patients' clinical and pathological features. The Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium (LCMC) has been at the forefront of these trends in multiplex genetic profiling.

LCMC1, a predecessor of the current analysis was established in 2008 as a multi-institutional, prospective,

observational study to investigate the frequency of various oncogenic drivers in lung adenocarcinoma (9,10) and showed that patients with oncogenic drivers in their tumors, who were treated with the corresponding targeted therapies, achieved longer survival than those who did not undergo such therapies (9). The current analysis, a second cohort of LCMC participants (LCMC2), expanded the genes studied since 2013 because additional oncogenic drivers such as *ROS1* and *RET* that could be targeted with agents for specific gene alterations were identified. *PTEN*, *MET*, *PIK3CA*, and *TP53* gene alterations were also analyzed as candidates for future targeted therapy.

Aisner et al. compared the demographics, survival, and tumor's genetic status of patients and obtained similar results to LCMC1 in that patients with targetable oncogenic drivers in their tumors had significantly longer survival after undergoing the corresponding targeted therapy than those who did not undergo such therapies. However, the authors did not observe any significant differences in survival due to non-targetable gene alterations such as PTEN, PIK3CA, and MET (11). One of the authors' main results was that patients (even those who smoked) with EGFR, ALK, or ROS1 alterations in their tumors had significantly longer survival times than those without these three gene alterations (11). The authors stated that their study was the first to directly compare the survival of cohorts with or without targetable gene alterations after differentiating patients who smoke from those who had never smoked. This outcome implies that patients who smoke can benefit from multiplex sequencing. The authors also showed that patients who

smoke, especially those who used to smoke, harbored gene alterations, such as EGFR, ALK, ROS1, ERBB2, and RET, in their tumors, though most of their frequencies were less 5%. In contrast, there were more patients who smoked harboring KRAS or BRAF mutations in their tumors than patients who had never smoked. Considering that targeted therapies for these mutations are now undergoing clinical trials (12,13), it is worth emphasizing the importance of testing a tumor's gene alterations regardless of the patient's smoking history. Previous reports have supported the statements of Aisner et al., analyzing the frequency of EGFR mutations in patients who smoke and those who do not (14,15). The current analysis would be more interesting, however, if it included the survival rates for just the patients who currently smoke or included the trends based on the amount of cigarettes smoked as a smoking index, because patients who smoke include both of those who smoke lightly and those who smoke heavily, given that it has been reported in some studies that patients who currently smoke and/or smoke heavily gain less of benefit from genetargeted therapy (16).

Aisner et al. also showed that a coexisting TP53 mutation with EGFR, ALK, or ROS1 gene alterations was related to a shorter survival time than without the TP53 mutation. The authors therefore recommended the routine use of massively parallel sequencing for advanced or recurrent lung adenocarcinoma, given that detecting targetable drivers and tumor suppressor gene alterations is potentially significant for selecting the therapy and for determining the predictive markers of treatment efficacy (11). It would be interesting to test TP53 gene mutation for all patients with advanced or recurrent lung adenocarcinoma in spite of the preliminary outcome for the TP53 gene mutation due to the limited number of analyzed patients. It has been reported in a number of studies that TP53 gene mutation is a poor prognostic factor for patients with NSCLC (17), while targeted therapy for TP53 gene mutation is still under study, and no promising therapies have yet been developed (18). Therefore, the most important discussion point is whether to test these types of gene mutations by targeted gene sequencing. Unlike whole genome sequencing, targeted sequencing detects only tens or hundreds of gene mutations or alterations on predesigned panels, which consist of less than 5% of all genes. Accordingly, targeted gene sequencing is intended for use when its results enable physicians to determine whether patients can undergo a gene-mutationspecific therapy such as EGFR-TKI or whether the patients are candidates for enrolment in clinical trials due to the corresponding gene mutation. Given that LCMC1 and LCMC2 adopted targeted sequencing and that detecting TP53 gene mutation is still far from being applied as a practical therapy, adding this mutation to the sequencing panel is inconsistent with the original purpose of targeted sequencing. However, some factors that make targeted sequencing the preferred tool for searching for prognostic factors have emerged.

The first factor is the significance and appeal of TP53 gene mutation as a therapeutic target because mutant p53 proteins are highly expressed in many cancers and reportedly play a role in promoting cancer metastasis (19,20). Although there are still numerous challenges to overcome before this mutation can be treated, destabilizing or deactivating mutant p53 proteins (or reactivating the wild-type function in mutant p53 proteins) would have a significant effect on cancer therapy (18). The second factor is the relationship between TP53 mutation and immune checkpoint inhibitors. Biton et al. determined that the combination of TP53 mutation, STK11, and EGFR wild type had significantly higher programmed cell death 1 ligand 1, or PD-L1 expression by tumor cells and predicted the best response to anti-programmed cell death 1 (anti-PD-1) agents (21). Our data also suggested that TP53 mutation was associated with the mutation burden in NSCLC, which was recently shown to be a favorable predictor of the response to anti-PD-1 agents (22,23). The last factor is the decrease in the running costs for NGS. The reported cost for performing high-quality whole human genome sequencing in 2006 was approximately \$14 million. By late 2015, this cost dramatically plunged to \$1,500, and the cost to generate a whole-exome sequence fell below \$1,000 (24). Therefore, clinical studies employing NGS are expected in the search for novel genetic markers that are currently nontargetable.

In conclusion, Aisner *et al.* reported longer survival for patients with lung adenocarcinoma and an oncogenic driver mutation, who underwent effective targeted therapy, regardless of their prior smoking history. The authors suggested that all patients with lung adenocarcinoma should undergo molecular testing, regardless of their clinical characteristics. The authors also proposed the routine use of massively parallel sequencing to detect targetable driver alterations, tumor suppressor genes, and other alterations that have potential significance for therapy selection and as predictive markers for treatment efficacy. Aisner *et al.* have shown us that the practical use of multiplex genetic profiling for treating lung cancer is almost at hand. Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 10, Suppl 33 November 2018

#### Acknowledgements

None.

## Footnote

*Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

### References

- Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2129-39.
- Maemondo M, Inoue A, Kobayashi K, et al. Gefitinib or chemotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer with mutated EGFR. N Engl J Med 2010;362:2380-8.
- 3. Mitsudomi T, Morita S, Yatabe Y, et al. Gefitinib versus cisplatin plus docetaxel in patients with nonsmall-cell lung cancer harbouring mutations of the epidermal growth factor receptor (WJTOG3405): an open label, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2010;11:121-8.
- Yoh K, Seto T, Satouchi M, et al. Vandetanib in patients with previously treated RET-rearranged advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer (LURET): an open-label, multicentre phase 2 trial. Lancet Respir Med 2017;5:42-50.
- Shaw AT, Ou SH, Bang YJ, et al. Crizotinib in ROS1rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1963-71.
- Shaw AT, Kim DW, Nakagawa K, et al. Crizotinib versus chemotherapy in advanced ALK-positive lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2013;368:2385-94.
- Socinski MA, Evans T, Gettinger S, et al. Treatment of stage IV non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e341S-68S.
- Li S, Choi YL, Gong Z, et al. Comprehensive Characterization of Oncogenic Drivers in Asian Lung Adenocarcinoma. J Thorac Oncol 2016;11:2129-40.
- Kris MG, Johnson BE, Berry LD, et al. Using multiplexed assays of oncogenic drivers in lung cancers to select targeted drugs. JAMA 2014;311:1998-2006.
- Sholl LM, Aisner DL, Varella-Garcia M, et al. Multiinstitutional Oncogenic Driver Mutation Analysis in Lung Adenocarcinoma: The Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium

Experience. J Thorac Oncol 2015;10:768-77.

- Aisner DL, Sholl LM, Berry LD, et al. The Impact of Smoking and TP53 Mutations in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients with Targetable Mutations-The Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium (LCMC2). Clin Cancer Res 2018;24:1038-47.
- Planchard D, Kim TM, Mazieres J, et al. Dabrafenib in patients with BRAF(V600E)-positive advanced non-smallcell lung cancer: a single-arm, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2016;17:642-50.
- 13. Manchado E, Weissmueller S, Morris JP 4th, et al. A combinatorial strategy for treating KRAS-mutant lung cancer. Nature 2016;534:647-51.
- Matsumura Y, Owada Y, Inoue T, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutation status is strongly associated with smoking status in patients undergoing surgical resection for lung adenocarcinoma. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2017;25:690-5.
- 15. D'Angelo SP, Pietanza MC, Johnson ML, et al. Incidence of EGFR exon 19 deletions and L858R in tumor specimens from men and cigarette smokers with lung adenocarcinomas. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2066-70.
- 16. Nishinarita N, Igawa S, Kasajima M, et al. Smoking History as a Predictor of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Harboring EGFR Mutations. Oncology 2018;95:109-15.
- Labbé C, Cabanero M, Korpanty GJ, et al. Prognostic and predictive effects of TP53 co-mutation in patients with EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Lung Cancer 2017;111:23-9.
- Muller PA, Vousden KH. p53 mutations in cancer. Nat Cell Biol 2013;15:2-8.
- 19. Goh AM, Coffill CR, Lane DP. The role of mutant p53 in human cancer. J Pathol 2011;223:116-26.
- Brosh R, Rotter V. When mutants gain new powers: news from the mutant p53 field. Nat Rev Cancer 2009;9:701-13.
- 21. Biton J, Mansuet-Lupo A, Pécuchet N, et al. TP53, STK11, and EGFR Mutations Predict Tumor Immune Profile and the Response to Anti-PD-1 in Lung Adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2018. [Epub ahead of print].
- 22. Owada Y, Inoue T, Watanabe Y, et al. Exploring the Possibility of New Biomarkers of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). Gan To Kagaku Ryoho 2017;44:763-6.
- 23. Hellmann MD, Ciuleanu TE, Pluzanski A, et al.

#### S4150

#### Matsumura et al. TP53 mutation in lung adenocarcinoma

Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Lung Cancer with a High Tumor Mutational Burden. N Engl J Med 2018;378:2093-104.

**Cite this article as:** Matsumura Y, Owada-Ozaki Y, Suzuki H. Significance of testing for *TP53* gene mutations in lung adenocarcinoma using targeted gene sequencing. J Thorac Dis 2018;10(Suppl 33):S4147-S4150. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.10.66

24. Bibault JE, Tinhofer I. The role of Next-Generation Sequencing in tumoral radiosensitivity prediction. Clin Transl Radiat Oncol 2017;3:16-20.