<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		. /
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		V

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		√
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		
origin, genetic modification status.		

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		1
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		V
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		V

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		√
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		V

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Hamilton Integrated Research Ethics Board (HiREB),	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number	REB# 2921.	
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent		
obtained from study participants.		\checkmark
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Yes	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		
by-step protocols are available.		

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		
Randomisation		
Blinding		
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was		
replicated in laboratory		$\sqrt{}$
Define whether data describe technical or biological		
replicates		$\sqrt{}$

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Hamilton Integrated Research Ethics Board (HiREB),	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	REB# 2921.	
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		$\sqrt{}$
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		$\sqrt{}$
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		

	Oual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a	
1	f study is subject to dual use research of concern,			
5	state the authority granting approval and reference			
r	number for the regulatory approval			

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Yes	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Yes	
tests.		

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		
including protocols for access or restriction on		√
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		
number in repository or DOI or URL.		√
If publicly available data are reused, provide		
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		√
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Yes	
If code is publicly available, provide accession	The stpm2 code used in this analysis is freely available	
number in repository, or DOI or URL.	(Stata Journal, 2009;9:265-90).	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,		
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist		
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-20-1387.