Tiny lung adenocarcinomas: better prognosis with a word of caution

Ramón Rami-Porta^{1,2}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Hospital Universitari Mutua Terrassa, University of Barcelona, Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain; ²CIBERES Lung Cancer Group, Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence to: Ramón Rami-Porta, MD. Department of Thoracic Surgery, Hospital Universitari Mútua Terrassa, Plaza Dr Robert 5, 08221 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain. Email: rramip@yahoo.es.

Submitted Jul 17, 2016. Accepted for publication Jul 21, 2016. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.07.98 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2016.07.98

The recent article published in the European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery by Zhu et al. (1) deals with the characteristics, surgical management and prognosis of resected lung adenocarcinomas ≤1 cm in size (microsized lung adenocarcinomas) and compares them with those adenocarcinomas $>1-\leq 2$ cm (small-size lung adenocarcinomas). From January 2007 to December 2013, 842 patients underwent surgical treatment for primary peripheral lung cancers at the Zhoushan Hospital, Zhejiang Province, China. After proper exclusions, 366 patients with lung adenocarcinomas (175 \leq 1 cm and 191 >1- \leq 2 cm in size) remained for analyses. The tumours were staged with computed tomography (CT) and mediastinoscopy, brain magnetic resonance imaging or CT, bone scan and abdominal CT or ultrasound. Functional assessment of the patients included cardiopulmonary tests. Peripheral tumours underwent limited resection (segmentectomy or wedge) and intraoperative lymph node evaluation was performed according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines. All patients were followed up for a mean of 36 months: 19 died from lung adenocarcinoma and 2 from other causes.

When the study variables were compared, in the microsized adenocarcinoma group, there were important statistically significant differences: patients were younger; there were more females and more patients with normal carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) values; limited resection was more common; pleural invasion and nodal disease were very rare or absent, respectively; and all tumours were in stage 0 or IA, while those in the small-size group spanned all stages from 0 to IIIA (1).

The comparison of survival showed that micro-sized tumours had significantly better survival compared with small-size tumours: overall 5-year survival rates were 100% and 88.4%, respectively; and adenocarcinomaspecific 5-year survival rates were 100% and 89%, respectively. Female patients, those with normal CEA values, with adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) and minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA), without nodal disease, and those with stage 0 tumours had significantly better prognosis, considering both overall and adenocarcinomaspecific survival. Survival of younger patients (≤60 years) had a marginally better prognosis when overall survival was considered, but not when cancer-specific survival was calculated. Although there were no statistically significant differences between lobectomy and limited resection in relation with overall and cancer-specific survival, limited resections had worse 5-year survival rates. At multivariate analysis, histopathological subtype, nodal disease and pathological stage were significant prognostic factors for overall and cancer-specific survival.

There are several issues that are worth commenting. Firstly, tumours ≤ 1 cm have now their specific category in the tumour, node and metastasis (TNM) classification of lung cancer: T1a (2). This fact will increase awareness of these tiny tumours that can be taken as the base ground for future studies. However, size is not the only tumour characteristic to take into account when making therapeutic decisions. Radiographic and pathologic features are important, too. In the series reported by Zhu *et al.*, more than two thirds of the patients with tumours ≤ 1 cm (117 or 67%) had AIS, a much higher rate compared with that of patients

with tumours $>1-\le 2$ cm in size (45 or 24%). Micro-sized adenocarcinomas also had significantly higher rate of MIAs compared with small-size adenocarcinomas: 47 (27%) vs. 22 (11%) (1). AIS and MIAs also have their own coding in the 8th edition of the TNM classification: Tis (AIS) and T1mi, respectively. TisN0M0 (AIS) is stage 0 and T1miN0M0 is stage IA1, together with T1aN0M0 (3). In the article by Zhu et al., these tumours were pathologically staged as such, but therapeutic decisions are taken based on clinical staging. Tis (AIS) commonly presents on high resolution CT as a ground glass opacity of ≤ 3 cm in size; and T1mi is a part-solid lesion of ≤ 3 cm in size consisting of a ground glass opacity part and a dense part seen on CT. The latter usually corresponds to the invasive part of the tumour and should not exceed 5 mm (3). Tis (AIS) and T1mi have very good prognosis, because they do not spread through stroma, vessels or visceral pleura. However, at clinical staging, their radiographic diagnosis is not absolutely certain. In addition, intraoperative diagnosis at frozen section may be difficult due to the intrinsic limitations of the technique (4). That is why caution should be exercised when choosing limited resections for these tumours, because they may show features of invasiveness larger than 5 mm in the definitive pathological study and a subsequent wider resection with systematic nodal dissection may be necessary. Caution should be taken, too, in solid micro-adenocarcinomas. The recently described spread through air spaces (STAS) is another factor to be considered when making the decision to perform a limited resection. This new pattern of invasion, in which tumour cells in the form of micropapillary structures, solid nests or single cells spread within air spaces beyond the edge of the main tumour, is associated with significantly higher recurrence rate in patients undergoing limited resection, but not in those undergoing lobectomy (5).

Secondly, Zhu *et al.* report no nodal disease in adenocarcinomas ≤ 1 cm in size (1). This low rate of nodal disease is more likely to be due to the nature of the tumours—Tis (AIS) and T1mi—than to their size. Riquet *et al.*, in a series of 187 patients who had undergone lung resection for lung cancers of ≤ 1 cm in size (98 or 52.4% adenocarcinomas), found pathologic (p) N1 in 18 (9.7%) and pN2 in 20 (10.7%). When the rate of nodal disease was analyzed in those patients whose tumours were <5 mm in size, it was found in 12 (29.3%) of 41, while it was present in 26 (17.8%) of 146 patients with tumours $>5-\leq 10$ mm in size. Although the differences were not statistically significant, this report clearly shows that nodal disease can still be found

in very small tumours and that a proper systematic nodal dissection cannot be avoided (6).

Thirdly, limited resection (segmentectomy and wedge resection) for lung cancer is in constant debate. The only prospective randomized trial completed to date showed that limited resection was associated to a significant increase in recurrence. Mortality was also higher in the limited resection group, but did not reach statistical significance (7,8). The study is more than 20 years old, but a recent meta-analysis has confirmed that lobectomy is still better than limited resection for stage IA ≤ 2 cm tumours (9). This also has been observed in a recent population-based study comprising 15,760 patients with T1aN0M0 non-small cell lung cancers (10,493 or 66% adenocarcinomas) from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results database who underwent lobectomy, segmentectomy or wedge resection. Lobectomy was associated with better survival than limited resection both in patients with ≤ 1 cm tumours and in those with tumours >1 to 2 cm in size. Segmentectomy was better than wedge resection in the group of patients with larger tumours, but not in those with tumours ≤ 1 cm in size. In this subgroup of patients the choice of wedge resection should imply consideration of surgical experience, patient profile and tumour characteristics (10). On the other hand, when the temporal trends are analysed, it is obvious that the survival after lobectomy and limited resection seems to be getting very similar when performed for tumours <2 cm in size, although this may be due to different selection criteria along the decades (11). In the study of Zhu et al., overall and adenocarcinoma-specific survival was invariably worse for limited resections compared with lobectomies. The fact that the differences were not statistically significant seems little consolation for those patients who died in excess in the limited resection group. There are several facts that may explain this worse prognosis. Segmentectomies are associated with a significant better cancer-related survival than that of wedge resections in patients with stage IA tumours, even when the subgroup of tumours ≤ 2 cm in size is analysed independently; and recurrence is significantly lower for segmentectomies (12). Recurrence is significantly higher when the resection margin is <1 cm and this is found more frequently in wedge resections than in segmentectomies (13). This may be because other sites of field cancerization in the same lobe are not included in the resected specimen, to STAS, as described above, or to suboptimal intraoperative nodal assessment, which tends to be more limited in wedge resections (12). There is no doubt that segmentectomies and wedge resections are different

Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 8, No 9 September 2016

operations that we tend to put together in the same box. Perhaps it is time to abandon the terms 'limited' and 'sublobar' resection, call them by their specific names and analyse them separately.

The paper by Zhu *et al.* is of value because it clearly shows that tumour size separates lung adenocarcinomas of different prognosis, even in the early stage of the disease; that non-invasive or MIAs are more frequently encountered in tiny tumours; and that the size and the nature of the tumour may lead the type of resection of choice. Wedge resection seems to be adequate for these early subsolid tumours, but caution should be taken when the tumours are solid, even if they are small. Hopefully, the North American (14) and the Japanese (15,16) trials that are now in progress will clarify the resection of choice for each tumour size and type.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Provenance: This is an invited Commentary commissioned by the Section Editor Min Zhang (The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China). *Conflicts of Interest:* The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Comment on: Zhu WY, Tan LL, Wang ZY, *et al.* Clinical characteristics and advantages of primary peripheral micro-sized lung adenocarcinoma over small-sized lung adenocarcinoma. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;49:1095-102.

References

- Zhu WY, Tan LL, Wang ZY, et al. Clinical characteristics and advantages of primary peripheral micro-sized lung adenocarcinoma over small-sized lung adenocarcinoma. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;49:1095-102.
- Rami-Porta R, Bolejack V, Crowley J, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for the Revisions of the T Descriptors in the Forthcoming Eighth Edition of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2015;10:990-1003.
- Travis WD, Asamura H, Bankier AA, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for Coding T Categories for Subsolid Nodules and Assessment of Tumor Size in Part-Solid Tumors in the Forthcoming Eighth

Edition of the TNM Classification of Lung Cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2016;11:1204-23.

- Marchevsky AM, Changsri C, Gupta I, et al. Frozen section diagnoses of small pulmonary nodules: accuracy and clinical implications. Ann Thorac Surg 2004;78:1755-9.
- Kadota K, Nitadori J, Sima CS, et al. Tumor Spread through Air Spaces is an Important Pattern of Invasion and Impacts the Frequency and Location of Recurrences after Limited Resection for Small Stage I Lung Adenocarcinomas. J Thorac Oncol 2015;10:806-14.
- Riquet M, Pricopi C, Rivera C, et al. Lung cancer measuring 1cm or less: A miniature subset requiring surgery. Rev Pneumol Clin 2016;72:171-8.
- Ginsberg RJ, Rubinstein LV. Randomized trial of lobectomy versus limited resection for T1 N0 non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer Study Group. Ann Thorac Surg 1995;60:615-22.
- Lederle FA. Lobectomy versus limited resection in T1 N0 lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 1996;62:1249-50.
- Zhang Y, Sun Y, Wang R, et al. Meta-analysis of lobectomy, segmentectomy, and wedge resection for stage I non-small cell lung cancer. J Surg Oncol 2015;111:334-40.
- Dai C, Shen J, Ren Y, et al. Choice of Surgical Procedure for Patients With NSCLC ≤ 1 cm or > 1 to 2 cm Among Lobectomy, Segmentectomy, and Wedge Resection: A Population-Based Study. J Clin Oncol 2016. [Epub ahead of print].
- Yendamuri S, Sharma R, Demmy M, et al. Temporal trends in outcomes following sublobar and lobar resections for small (≤ 2 cm) non-small cell lung cancers--a Surveillance Epidemiology End Results database analysis. J Surg Res 2013;183:27-32.
- Sienel W, Dango S, Kirschbaum A, et al. Sublobar resections in stage IA non-small cell lung cancer: segmentectomies result in significantly better cancerrelated survival than wedge resections. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2008;33:728-34.
- El-Sherif A, Fernando HC, Santos R, et al. Margin and local recurrence after sublobar resection of non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2007;14:2400-5.
- Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) 140503-Intergroup. Phase III randomised trial between lobectomy and sublobar resection for small-size carcinomas. Available online: www.cancer.gov/aboutcancer/treatment/clinical-trials/search/view?cdrid=555324. Accessed on 16th July 2016.
- 15. Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG) 0804/West Japan Oncology Group (WJOG) 4507L. A non-randomised

E1078

Rami-Porta. Tiny lung adenocarcinomas

confirmatory study of limited surgical resection for peripheral early lung cancer defined with thoracic thinsection computed tomography. Available online: www.jcog. jp/document/0804.pdf. Accessed on 16th July 2016.

16. Nakamura K, Saji H, Nakajima R, et al. A phase

Cite this article as: Rami-Porta R. Tiny lung adenocarcinomas: better prognosis with a word of caution. J Thorac Dis 2016;8(9):E1075-E1078. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.07.98

III randomized trial of lobectomy versus limited resection for small-sized peripheral non-small cell lung cancer (JCOG0802/WJOG4607L). Jpn J Clin Oncol 2010;40:271-4.