

Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) anatomic lung resection

Danny Chu, MD, FACS



Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) anatomic lung resections are rapidly gaining popularity around the world due to the minimally invasive nature of the procedure when compared to the traditional thoracotomy incision. Nevertheless, only 5-20% of anatomic lung resections performed in the U.S. are done via the VATS approach (1,2). Numerous publications, similar to the current study by Dr. Wang and colleagues (3), have demonstrated the feasibility of VATS lobectomy (4,5). There are many single institution retrospective studies demonstrating superiority of VATS lobectomy over the standard thoracotomy approach (6-8).

If VATS lobectomy is so much better than open thoracotomy, why are there still an overwhelming majority of lung resections being performed through the standard open thoracotomy approach? Why hasn't VATS lobectomy procedure reached the same status as laparoscopic cholecystectomy? The answer may be simple. Currently, there is no level I evidence that VATS lobectomy is better than open thoracotomy approach. However, at the same time, there has never been a prospective randomized trial comparing laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus open cholecystectomy either.

To be fair, the analogy between lung resection and gallbladder resection may not be completely accurate. As oppose to cholecystectomy, the risk of life-threatening intraoperative hemorrhage from lung resection is much higher due to its anatomic proximity to great vessels such as pulmonary arteries and veins. When these bleeding complications occur, patients decompensate quickly. Our group has recently showed that VATS lobectomy incurred a higher intraoperative complication rate than open thoracotomy approach (1). Unlike cholecystectomy, intraoperative complications during VATS lobectomy are often immediately life-threatening. This may be the real reason why VATS has not been as rapidly adopted as other minimally invasive procedures.

The size of the incision may not be the main cause of postoperative pain in open thoracotomy if one minimizes rib spreading and avoid compressing the intercostals nerve bundles. The major determinant of postoperative length of stay for lobectomy patients is usually the duration of air leak. In light of the aforementioned points and the potential deadly bleeding complications from VATS, most thoracic surgeons have not adopted this technique widely. There is no doubt that in centers of excellence, VATS lobectomy can be performed with more superior outcomes than the standard thoracotomy approach. However, as competent and ethical surgeons, we must inform our patients of potential benefits and risks of both VATS and thoracotomy approach prior to proceeding with the operation.

References

1. Gopaldas RR, Bakaen FG, Dao TK, Walsh GL, Swisher SG, Chu D. Video-assisted thoracoscopic versus open thoracotomy lobectomy in a cohort of 13,619 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;89:1563-70.
2. Paul S, Altorki NK, Sheng S, Lee PC, Harpole DH, Onaitis MW, et al. Thoracoscopic lobectomy is associated with

No potential conflict of interest.

Address for correspondence: Danny Chu, MD, FACS. Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Baylor College of Medicine. Operative Care Line 112, 2002 Holcombe Boulevard, Houston, TX 77030, USA. Tel: (713)794-7892; Fax: (713)794-7352. Email: dchu@bcm.tmc.edu.

Submitted May 8, 2010. Accepted for publication May 8, 2010.

Available at www.jthoracdis.com

ISSN: 2072-1439 © 2010 Journal of Thoracic Disease. All rights reserved.

- lower morbidity than open lobectomy: a propensity-matched analysis from the STS database. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:366-78.
3. Wang BY, Liu CC, Shih CS. Short-term results of thoracoscopic lobectomy and segmentectomy for lung cancer in Koo Foundation Sun Yat-Sen Cancer Center. *J Thorac Dis* 2010; 2: 64-7.
 4. McKenna RJ Jr, Wolf RK, Brenner M, Fischel RJ, Wurning P. Is lobectomy by video-assisted surgery an adequate cancer operation? *Ann Thorac Surg* 1998;66:1903-8.
 5. Swanson SJ, Herndon JE II, D'Amico TA, Demmy TL, McKenna RJ Jr, Green MR, et al. Video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy: report of CALGB 39802--a prospective, multi-institution feasibility study. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:4993-7.
 6. Luketich JD, Meehan MA, Landreneau RJ, Christie NA, Close JM, Ferson PF, et al. Total videothoroscopic lobectomy versus open thoracotomy for early-stage non small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2000;2:56-60; discussion 61.
 7. McKenna RJ Jr, Houck W, Fuller CB. Video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy: experience with 1,100 cases. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;81:421-5.
 8. Villamizar NR, Darrabie MD, Burfeind WR, Petersen RP, Onaitis MW, Toloza E, et al. Thoracoscopic lobectomy is associated with lower morbidity compared with thoracotomy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009;138:419-25.