

IDH mutational status and the immune system in gliomas: a tale of two tumors?

Bryan D. Choi, William T. Curry

Department of Neurosurgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA *Correspondence to:* William T. Curry, MD. Department of Neurosurgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA 02114, USA. Email: wcurry@mgh.harvard.edu.

Comment on: Berghoff AS, Kiesel B, Widhalm G, *et al.* Correlation of immune phenotype with IDH mutation in diffuse glioma. Neuro Oncol 2017. [Epub ahead of print].

Submitted Sep 12, 2017. Accepted for publication Sep 18, 2017. doi: 10.21037/tcr. 2017.09.37 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.09.37

Gliomas are the most common type of primary brain tumor and include glioblastoma (GBM), which is the most lethal form of the disease, and remains a significant, unmet clinical need (1). Contrary to conventional notions of central nervous system immune-privilege, there is indeed extensive cross-talk between the immune system and tumors in the brain. Several successful immune therapies are either in development or have received recent approval from the Food and Drug Administration across a broad array of cancers (*Table 1*). However, the results for immune therapy against solid tumors in the brain have been less dramatic to date.

A recent manuscript by Berghoff and colleagues offers one reason why certain patients with glioma may not respond as well to immune-based treatment. By segregating gliomas into distinct molecular classes based primarily on the mutational status of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 genes (IDH1/2), it was demonstrated that gliomas characterized by wild-type IDH (IDH-wt) displayed a greater number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and elevated expression of the immunosuppressive molecule programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) when compared to their IDH mutant (IDH-mut) counterparts. This association was recapitulated at the gene expression level by data derived from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Although not demonstrated explicitly, one extrapolation the authors suggest is that IDH-wt gliomas may in fact be more "immunologically active" than IDH-mut gliomas and thus more easily targeted by PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint blockade.

That is to say, elevated levels of PD-L1 expression found in *IDH*-wt glioma, while perhaps associated with baseline immune suppression, may provide a prime opportunity for therapeutic intervention in these tumors.

These observations by Berghoff and colleagues are especially relevant in light of recent FDA approval of several antibody therapies targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway. The results should also be considered in the context of the 2017 randomized CheckMate-143 Phase 3 trial (NCT02017717) for GBM, which unfortunately failed to demonstrate improved survival in patients treated with the PD-1 inhibitor nivolumab versus bevacizumab (2). Additional studies will be needed to investigate why this therapeutic approach, which has had proven benefit in several other tumor types, is meeting with less favorable efficacy for patients with GBM. As previously suggested, patient stratification using genetic markers such as IDH and PD-1/PD-L1 expression may help identify optimal responders to certain immune-based treatments. Towards this end, one limitation of CheckMate-143 is that the patient population was almost assuredly predominantly IDH-wt (IDH mutations are only found in the great minority, approximately 12%, of GBM) (3), making it difficult to adequately power any retrospective effort to determine response to therapy as a function of IDH mutational status in this cohort. Furthermore, regardless of the apparent association between IDH mutations and PD-L1 expression, the true relationship-if any-between

Table T bequential TDT approval of Thist in Glass minimule intrapies for cancer				
Year	Mechanism	Platform	Examples	
1997	ADCC, apoptosis	Monoclonal antibody	Rituximab	
2010	Active immunity	Dendritic cell vaccine	Sipuleucel-T	
2011	Checkpoint blockade	Monoclonal antibody	Ipilimumab, pembrolizumab, nivolumab	
2014	T-cell redirection	Bispecific antibody	Blinatumomab	
2017	T-cell redirection	Chimeric antigen receptor	CTL019	

Table 1 Sequential FDA approval of "First-in-Class" immune therapies for cancer

ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity.

level of PD-L1 expression and response to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade in GBM is still ill-defined.

In any case, mounting evidence certainly suggests that mutations in IDH may play a broad role in gliomaassociated immune suppression. Metabolically speaking, neomorphic activity of the mutated enzyme results in the accumulation of a molecule, R-2-hydroxyglutarate (R-2HG), which in turn has been implicated in epigenetic dysregulation through DNA hypermethylation (4). This activity ultimately promotes the global repression of several genes, including those involved in eliciting cellular antitumor immune responses (5-7). Consistent with observations made by Berghoff and colleagues, one preclinical mouse model also demonstrated a link between IDH-mut glioma and decreased intratumoral T-cell infiltration. Interestingly, treatment with a specific inhibitor of mutant IDH1 in this model restored cellular immune deficits and enhanced efficacy of an antitumor vaccine (6). Other studies have proposed that mutations in IDH might also serve as effective immune epitopes for antitumor immune therapy (8). The utility of modulating mutant IDH1 metabolic activity in the setting of a vaccine directly targeting the mutation is unknown.

Considering the evidence, there does appear to be mild discordance surrounding the overall relationship between *IDH*-mut glioma and immune suppression; *IDH*-mut glioma has been associated with immune suppression in preclinical models, but also with decreased expression of the immune suppressive ligand PD-L1 in human studies. Thus, *IDH*-mut gliomas possess opposing characteristics that would seem to both abrogate or conversely tolerate antitumor immune responses. Notwithstanding, one might consider that the immune-suppressive metabolic activity of mutant *IDH* may in fact be agnostic to the independent and profound immune-suppressive properties observed in *IDH*-wt glioma (9). That is to say, mutations in *IDH*, while certainly having some mechanistic significance in epigenetic regulation, may even more importantly serve as a genetic marker that delineates biologically divergent tumors, each with distinct immunological milieus.

Indeed, since its discovery in GBM (10), the mutational status of the IDH gene has dramatically altered the way malignant gliomas are classified and treated. This is reflected in the 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System (CNS WHO), wherein molecular parameters such as IDH were, for the first time, used to establish brain tumor diagnoses (11). IDH-mut and IDHwt glioma currently represent the first and most important distinction in stratifying glial tumors overall. While IDH-wt gliomas are characterized by heterogeneity and a rapid growth rate, IDH-mut gliomas define tumors that have a substantial survival benefit following aggressive surgery or chemotherapy (Table 2) (12,13). There is currently no conclusive evidence that the enzymatic activity of the mutant protein accounts for these changes. In fact, growing literature supports that the stark differences between these tumors (i.e., IDH-mut and *IDH*-wt) may simply reflect two distinct pathologies, which until recently had been classified together based on histological grading alone.

Nonetheless, the question remains whether immunological differences between *IDH*-mut and *IDH*-wt gliomas exist primarily due to downstream effects of neomorphic function, or instead reflect inherent variability between disparate tumors; most likely the observed changes reflect a combination of both factors. Additional studies will be needed to determine whether mutations in *IDH* definitively stratify patients for therapy such as antibody-based immune checkpoint blockade, and if these effects can be predicted by levels of cognate ligand expression or through a better understanding of other emerging mechanisms of *IDH*-mediated immune suppression. Several outstanding questions remain about the two types of tumor discussed herein, and

Translational Cancer Research, Vol 6, Suppl 7 October 2017

Table 2 Key characteristics of IDH-wt and IDH-mut glioblastomas

	IDH-wt	IDH-mut
Synonym	Primary GBM	Secondary GBM
Precursor lesion	Develops de novo	Diffuse astrocytoma, anaplastic astrocytoma
Proportion of glioblastomas (%)	~90	~10
Median age at diagnosis (years)	~62	~44
Male-to-female ratio	1.42:1	1.05:1
Mean length of clinical history (months)	4	15
Median survival		
Surgery, radiotherapy (months)	9.9	24
Surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy (months)	15	31
Location	Supratentorial	Preferentially frontal
Necrosis	Extensive	Limited
TERT promoter mutations (%)	72	26
TP53 mutations (%)	27	81
ATRX mutations	Exceptional	71%
EGFR amplification	35%	Exceptional
PTEN mutations	24%	Exceptional

Table adapted from reference (11). IDH-wt, wild-type IDH; IDH-mut, IDH mutant; GBM, glioblastoma.

the impact that *IDH* may have on basic subsets of wellcharacterized immune infiltrates. For example, what is the association between *IDH* mutations and myeloid-derived suppressor cells, macrophages, or microglia? Will there be any influence on regulatory T cells or other aspects of tumor microenvironment driving glioma progression? Given the relative dearth of *IDH* mutations in GBM, perhaps these questions may be best approached in models of low-grade glioma. Finally, future considerations may also address whether genetic markers such as *IDH* correlate with access of drugs such as large antibodies to the brain, and in parallel whether such therapies act systemically or require penetration through the blood-brain barrier to treat tumors in the CNS.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Xianxin Qiu (Shanghai Proton and Heavy Ion Center (SPHIC), a.k.a. the Proton and Heavy Ion Center of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center (FUSCC), Shanghai, China).

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr.2017.09.37). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license).

Choi and Curry. IDH and immunotherapy for glioma

See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- 1. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 2005;352:987-96.
- Reardon DA, Omuro A, Brandes AA, et al. Randomized Phase 3 Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Nivolumab vs Bevacizumab in Patients with Recurrent Glioblastoma: CheckMate 143. Neuro-Oncology 2017;19:iii21.
- 3. Yan H, Parsons DW, Jin G, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. N Engl J Med 2009;360:765-73.
- 4. Turcan S, Rohle D, Goenka A, et al. IDH1 mutation is sufficient to establish the glioma hypermethylator phenotype. Nature 2012;483:479-83.
- Kohanbash G, Shrivastav S, Ahn B, et al. IDH mutationinduced suppression of type-1 anti-glioma immune response. J Immunother Cancer 2015;3:P271.
- 6. Kohanbash G, Carrera DA, Shrivastav S, et al. Isocitrate dehydrogenase mutations suppress STAT1 and CD8+ T cell accumulation in gliomas. J Clin Invest 2017;127:1425-37.

Cite this article as: Choi BD, Curry WT. IDH mutational status and the immune system in gliomas: a tale of two tumors? Transl Cancer Res 2017;6(Suppl 7):S1253-S1256. doi: 10.21037/tcr. 2017.09.37

- Zhang X, Rao A, Sette P, et al. IDH mutant gliomas escape natural killer cell immune surveillance by downregulation of NKG2D ligand expression. Neuro Oncol 2016;18:1402-12.
- Schumacher T, Bunse L, Pusch S, et al. A vaccine targeting mutant IDH1 induces antitumour immunity. Nature 2014;512:324-7.
- 9. Doucette T, Rao G, Rao A, et al. Immune heterogeneity of glioblastoma subtypes: extrapolation from the cancer genome atlas. Cancer Immunol Res 2013;1:112-22.
- Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, et al. An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme. Science 2008;321:1807-12.
- Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Acta Neuropathol 2016;131:803-20.
- 12. Beiko J, Suki D, Hess KR, et al. IDH1 mutant malignant astrocytomas are more amenable to surgical resection and have a survival benefit associated with maximal surgical resection. Neuro Oncol 2014;16:81-91.
- Hodges TR, Choi BD, Bigner DD, et al. Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1: what it means to the neurosurgeon: a review. J Neurosurg 2013;118:1176-80.

S1256