



# Small hepatocellular carcinoma: which criteria for liver transplantation?

Giovanni Battista Levi Sandri<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of General Surgery, Santa Scolastica Hospital, Cassino, Lazio, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgical Sciences, PhD in “Advanced Surgical Technology”, Sapienza, Rome, Italy

Correspondence to: Giovanni Battista Levi Sandri, MD. Division of General Surgery, Santa Scolastica Hospital, Via S. Pasquale, Cassino, Lazio, Italy. Email: gblevisandri@gmail.com.

Comment on: Kamo N, Kaido T, Yagi S, *et al.* Liver transplantation for small hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 2016;5:391-8.

Submitted Sep 28, 2017. Accepted for publication Oct 11, 2017.

doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.10.29

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.10.29>

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common hepatic tumor and the best options for treatments are liver resection and liver transplantation. Many new locoregional treatments are available for HCC. Of them, radioembolization is the most discussed innovative one (1-3). Liver transplantation has the advantage to cure both tumor as well as the underlying cirrhosis and is the ideal treatment for HCC in cirrhotic liver.

The article of Kamo *et al.* entitled “*Liver transplantation for small hepatocellular carcinoma*” (4), is an interesting study based on a cohort of 223 patients who underwent LT for HCC at Kyoto University Hospital. Authors suggest using Kyoto criteria (KC) for LT for HCC. KC consists of three independent factors: tumor number <10, maximal diameter of each tumor <5 cm and des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin (DCP) serum <400 mAU/mL. Finally, 159 patients were enrolled in the study with small HCC. In 69% of cases a preoperative treatment was performed as hepatic resection or locoregional treatment, 78% of cases met the Milan criteria (MC) and 83% met the KC.

Twenty years after the MC introduction, they are still the most criteria selection used worldwide (5). However, the time is arrived for a new revolution based on a “blended” management and selection approach. New criteria integrating HCC morphology and biology are strongly needed with the intent to “capture” all of them (6).

Kamo *et al.* described no difference of overall survival in case of patients within or beyond MC, less recurrence rate within MC. Surprisingly when authors used the KC,

the survival was higher in patients within KC and recurrent rate was minor. These important results demonstrate that MC need to be modulated according to the biology of the HCC. Moreover in case of pretreatment a trend of more recurrence rate was observed in those patients. An intention-to-treat survival benefit of liver transplantation in patients with HCC suggests stratifying patients and in case of no benefit patients should be de-listed (7). To better expand criteria and to obtain best overall and free recurrence rates, the proposed KC seems to be very helpful. However, the importance of DCP in the refinement of the eligibility criteria of HCC patients for LT was not confirmed in a recent meta-analysis (8). Nevertheless, the analysis was based on Japanese studies performed in the setting of living-donor LT only, needs further validation in the Western world both in the setting of post-mortem and living-donor LT.

Moreover, in the presented cohort 61% of patients had HCV cirrhosis which will disappear in few years. In this evolving etiology of hepatitis and HCC surgical resection may be the first therapeutic option in these patients. And the evolution of minimally invasive approach even in patients with cirrhosis is the good option (9).

In Western world due to the current organ shortage, a repeat resection for recurrent HCC might be considered as the best alternative option to liver transplantation (10).

Summary, LT for HCC is growing and needs to rewrite criteria based not only on morphological criteria but based on the tumor biology.

## Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Xingshun Qi (Department of Gastroenterology, General Hospital of Shenyang Military Area, Shenyang, China).

*Conflicts of Interest:* The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.10.29>). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Salem R, Gordon AC, Mouli S, et al. Y90 Radioembolization Significantly Prolongs Time to Progression Compared With Chemoembolization in Patients With Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2016;151:1155-63.
2. Levi Sandri GB, Ettore GM. An ace in the hole for hepatocellular carcinoma: yttrium-90 radioembolization. *Transl Cancer Res* 2016;5:S1055-6.
3. Ettore GM, Levi Sandri GB, Laurenzi A, et al. Yttrium-90 Radioembolization for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Prior to Liver Transplantation. *World J Surg* 2017;41:241-9.
4. Kamo N, Kaido T, Yagi S, et al. Liver transplantation for small hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 2016;5:391-8.
5. Levi Sandri GB, Guerra F, Lai Q. Twenty years of Milan criteria: the wicked flee though no one pursues. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 2016;5:377-8.
6. Levi Sandri GB, Lai Q. Twenty years after: from Milan criteria to a "blended" approach. *Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;2:62.
7. Lai Q, Vitale A, Iesari S, et al. Intention-to-treat survival benefit of liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular cancer. *Hepatology* 2017;66:1910-9.
8. Lai Q, Iesari S, Levi Sandri GB, et al. Des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin in hepatocellular cancer patients waiting for liver transplant: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Biol Markers* 2017;32:e370-4.
9. Levi Sandri GB, de Werra E, Mascianà G, et al. Laparoscopic and robotic approach for hepatocellular carcinoma-state of the art. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 2016;5:478-84.
10. Lim C, Shinkawa H, Hasegawa K, et al. Salvage Liver Transplantation or Repeat Hepatectomy for Recurrent Hepatocellular Carcinoma: An Intent-to-treat Analysis. *Liver Transpl* 2017;23:1553-63.

**Cite this article as:** Levi Sandri GB. Small hepatocellular carcinoma: which criteria for liver transplantation? *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6(Suppl 9):S1412-S1413. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.10.29