



Preface to Precision Dosing of Targeted Anti-Cancer Drugs

Small molecule protein kinase inhibitors (KIs) and anti-neoplastic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are rapidly expanding classes of non-cytotoxic or ‘targeted’ antineoplastic drugs that are effective at treating numerous malignancies, including previously difficult to treat forms of cancers. However, variability in disposition causes inter-individual variability in drug exposure that is inadequately addressed by the standard fixed-dose schedule of administration (1). Accordingly, precision dosing has great potential to maximise therapeutic response, minimise adverse drug reactions (ADRs), and improve cost-effectiveness of these expensive drugs.

Multiple studies have demonstrated the benefit of using therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) to individualise KI dosing on the basis of plasma-KI concentration and therapeutic concentration ranges have been established for erlotinib, gefitinib, imatinib, nilotinib, pazopanib and sunitinib (2-4). However, a major barrier to the routine clinical translation of TDM is the preclusive cost and logistical complexity required to develop high quality prospective studies to confirm clinical utility (5,6).

Given these limitations, novel and practical complementary strategies are required to facilitate the clinical application of precision dosing for targeted anti-cancer drugs. Such strategies, which may include the application of population pharmacokinetic (PopPK) and physiological-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modelling and simulation (7,8), development of clinical prediction models based on routinely available clinical data (9), or the tracking of early novel markers of response or toxicity in diagnostically amenable tissues (10), may facilitate earlier dose optimisation and identify patients for whom TDM is most critical. However, such strategies rely on a sound understanding of the physiological and molecular characteristics driving variability in exposure. As such, fundamental clarification of the importance of factors known to contribute to between subject variability (BSV) such as genotype (11) and ethnicity (12) remains critical.

Notably, given the major role of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 in KI elimination (13), and limited capacity of existing strategies, such as genetic testing, to account for variability in CYP3A4 activity, novel diagnostic approaches are required to provide clinically actionable insights regarding the activity of this enzyme. Such insights may facilitate the more rational use of these drugs, and re-open the potential for applications such as combination with certain cytotoxic chemotherapies (14) that were previously considered unfeasible due to unacceptable toxicities.

The manuscripts included in this Precision Dosing of Targeted Anti-Cancer Drugs focussed issue of *Translational Cancer Research* evaluate the evidence for precision dosing in this field, describe some of the key challenges to clinical translation, and describe novel strategies to facilitate the clinical translation of precision dosing for targeted anti-cancer drugs.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Cancer Research* for the series “Precision dosing of targeted anticancer drugs”. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.11.23>). The series “Precision dosing of targeted anticancer drugs” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. AR and MJS served as the unpaid Guest Editors of the series and serves as the unpaid editorial board members of *Translational Cancer Research*. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Rowland A, Van Dyk M, Mangoni A, et al. Kinase inhibitor pharmacokinetics: Comprehensive summary and roadmap for addressing inter-individual variability in exposure. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 2017;13:31-49.
2. de Wit D, Guchelaar HJ, den Hartigh J, et al. Individualized dosing of tyrosine kinase inhibitors: are we there yet? *Drug Discov Today* 2015;20:18-36.
3. Verheijen RB, Yu H, Schellens JHM, et al. Practical Recommendations for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Kinase Inhibitors in Oncology. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2017;102:765-76.
4. van Dyk M, Miners J, Kichenadasse G, et al. A novel approach for the simultaneous quantification of 18 small molecule kinase inhibitors in human plasma: a platform for optimised KI dosing. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 2016;1033:17-26.
5. Goulooze SC, Galettis P, Boddy AV, et al. Monte Carlo simulations of the clinical benefits from therapeutic drug monitoring of sunitinib in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2016;78:209-16.
6. Kim HY, Martin JH, McLachlan AJ, et al. Precision dosing of targeted anticancer drugs—challenges in the real world. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1500-11.
7. Darwich AS, Ogungbenro K, Hatley OJ, et al. Role of pharmacokinetic modeling and simulation in precision dosing of anticancer drugs. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1512-29.
8. van Dyk M, Rowland A. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modeling as an approach to evaluate the effect of covariates and drug-drug interactions on variability in epidermal growth factor receptor kinase inhibitor exposure. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1600-12.
9. Hopkins AM, Rowland A, Kichenadasse G, et al. Predicting response and toxicity to immune checkpoint inhibitors using routinely available blood and clinical markers. *Br J Cancer* 2017;117:913-20.
10. Rathod A, Hopkins A, Rowland A, et al. Circulating cell free DNA for tracking early treatment response and disease progression in advanced cancers. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1530-40.
11. Barratt DT, Somogyi AA. Role of pharmacogenetics in personalised imatinib dosing. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1541-57.
12. Touma JA, McLachlan AJ, Gross AS. The role of ethnicity in personalized dosing of small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors used in oncology. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1558-91.
13. Mikus G, Foerster KI. Role of CYP3A4 in kinase inhibitor metabolism and assessment of CYP3A4 activity. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1592-9.
14. Kichenadasse G, Mangoni A, Miners J. Combination of small-molecule kinase inhibitors and irinotecan in cancer clinical trials: efficacy and safety considerations. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6:S1613-23.



Andrew Rowland



Michael J. Sorich

Andrew Rowland^{1,2}

(Email: andrew.rowland@flinders.edu.au)

Michael J. Sorich^{1,2}

(Email: michael.sorich@flinders.edu.au)

¹Discipline of Clinical Pharmacology, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia;

²Flinders Centre for Innovation in Cancer, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia.

Submitted: 16 November 2017; Accepted for publication: 20 November 2017

doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.11.23

Cite this article as: Rowland A, Sorich MJ. Preface to Precision Dosing of Targeted Anti-Cancer Drugs. *Transl Cancer Res* 2017;6(Suppl 10):S1498-S1499. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.11.23

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.11.23>