Ototoxicity: a worrying problem for survivors of high-risk neuroblastoma

Victoria Castel¹, Pablo Berlanga²

¹Emerito de investigacion, Unidad de Oncologia Pediatrica, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria La Fe. I.P.grupo de Investigación Clinica y Traslacional en Cancer, Valencia, Spain; ²Fundación para la Investigación La Fe, Valencia, Spain *Correspondence to:* Victoria Castel, MD, PhD. Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Torre G, 2º piso, Bulevar Sur s/n, Valencia 46026, Spain. Email: castel_vic@gva.es.

> Abstract: Landier et al. report the results of a COG study about ototoxicity in children with neuroblastoma. The authors present the results of audiologic testing graded by four different scales [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association; Brock; Chang; and Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3 (CTCAEv3)], in 333/489 evaluable neuroblastoma patients, treated with cisplatin alone (400 mg/m²) or after cisplatin (400 mg/m²) plus carboplatin (1,700 mg/m²) according to COG A3973 protocol. (Their conclusions are that severe hearing loss is prevalent among children with high-risk neuroblastoma. Exposure to cisplatin combined with myeloablative carboplatin significantly increases risk. The Brock scale underestimates severe hearing loss and should be used with caution in this setting). Hearing loss from cisplatin or carboplatin is permanent and may have a delayed onset, with progressive loss occurring many years after completion of therapy. Young children are particularly sensitive to this adverse effect. Children treated for high-risk neuroblastoma, for example, are likely to sustain moderate to severe therapyrelated hearing loss, with high potential for difficulties in speech discrimination and language acquisition, diminished academic achievement compared with peers with normal hearing, the potential for lifelong impairment of language and academic skills, and diminished quality of life. Although often underappreciated, even hearing loss restricted to high frequency ranges (4,000-8,000 Hz) have a significant impact on language development, verbal abilities, and reasoning skills in young children. This is of particular concern because the ototoxic effects are concurrent with the developmental period in which the process of acquiring speech and language skills is so critical. The reported incidence of cisplatin ototoxicity in children ranges from 26% to over 90% with the variation influenced by treatment and patient-related factors, but it also depends to some extent on the grading system used. The absence of a practical and uniform system for grading ototoxicity has impeded assessment of results in prior studies, and another problem is that full compliance with complete audiological testing in these young patients remains a challenge.

Keywords: Ototoxicity; cisplatin; carboplatin; neuroblastoma

Submitted Apr 23, 2014. Accepted for publication Apr 29, 2014. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2014.05.02 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2014.05.02

In the issue of February 20, 2014, of the *Journal of Clinical* Oncology, Landier et al. report the results of a COG study about ototoxicity in children with neuroblastoma.

The authors present the results of audiologic testing graded by four different scales [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association; Brock; Chang; and Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3 (CTCAEv3)], in 333/489 evaluable neuroblastoma patients, treated with cisplatin alone (400 mg/m²) or after cisplatin (400 mg/m²) plus carboplatin (1,700 mg/m²) according to COG A3973 protocol (1).

Their conclusions are that severe hearing loss is prevalent among children with high-risk neuroblastoma. Exposure to cisplatin combined with myeloablative carboplatin significantly increases risk. The Brock scale underestimates severe hearing loss and should be used with caution in this setting (2). Children with neuroblastoma, hepatoblastoma, retinoblastoma, germ cell tumors, osteosarcoma, medulloblastoma, and other brain tumors are routinely treated with cisplatin, and/or carboplatin.

522

Induction regimes for high-risk neuroblastoma include frequently both drugs. These agents are known to cause high-frequency hearing loss that is no reversible. The doselimiting factors in the administration of platinum-based drugs are generally nephrotoxicity (cisplatin) or myelosuppression (carboplatin), but cisplatin in therapeutic doses is highly ototoxic (3). Carboplatin, although with substantially less ototoxic potential than cisplatin, is associated with a high risk for hearing loss when used in combination with cisplatin and when used in myeloablative doses as conditioning for hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation in children (3,4).

Cisplatin hearing loss is permanent, bilateral, dose dependent with significant increased severity in young children. It manifests initially as bilateral high frequency sensorineural hearing loss, progressing in severity to the speech ranges with increasing cumulative doses (5). The hearing loss is caused by sensory hair cell destruction that begins at the base of the cochlea, where high frequency sounds are processed, and continues toward the cochlear apex, where lower frequency sounds are affected (5).

Hearing loss from cisplatin or carboplatin is permanent and may have a delayed onset, with progressive loss occurring many years after completion of therapy (5). Young children are particularly sensitive to this adverse effect. Children treated for high-risk neuroblastoma, for example, are likely to sustain moderate to severe therapy-related hearing loss (6), with high potential for difficulties in speech discrimination and language acquisition, diminished academic achievement compared with peers with normal hearing, the potential for lifelong impairment of language and academic skills, and diminished quality of life (7). Although often underappreciated, even hearing loss restricted to high frequency ranges (4,000-8,000 Hz) has a significant impact on language development, verbal abilities, and reasoning skills in young children (7). This is of particular concern because the ototoxic effects are concurrent with the developmental period in which the process of acquiring speech and language skills is so critical (7).

The typical pattern of high frequency loss was first noted when high-dose cisplatin was used in young children with neuroblastoma at 200 mg/m² per dose (8). The typical fall off, affects consonants more than vowels which can severely affect speech and learning particularly in the young (6,8).

The Brock grading system (9) has been used in SIOPEL (hepatoblastoma) studies to assess the ototoxicity of different regimens since the 1990's, using institutional results. In the

most recent publications of the SIOPEL 3 standard risk study, approximately 30% of children had Brock grade 1-4 hearing loss (10). However when the standard risk ototoxicity results of SIOPEL 2 and 3 were pooled, only including data from countries with an audiology reporting rate of over 60% of patients, a larger proportion of patients were shown to have Brock grade 1-4 hearing loss (11,12). The alternating cisplatin/ carboplatin and doxorubicin dose dense regimen used in the high risk SIOPEL 2 and 3 studies is more ototoxic confirming findings reported in other pediatric cancers where combined cisplatin/carboplatin regimens are used (13).

The young age of most neuroblastoma patients (around 50% are less than 1 year old at diagnosis), makes them particularly susceptible and the long-term consequences on speech development and learning are especially important (7,8). Platinum toxicity shows some interindividual variability since 20% or more of children are seemingly not affected, and there is some evidence to support ethnic/racial difference (14). These observations have led to the hypothesis that genetic factors may render certain individuals more susceptible to the adverse effects of cisplatin, but may be the characteristics of the language also influence the outcome (14).

All current induction protocols for high-risk neuroblastoma (COG, SIOPEN, N7) use cisplatin with cumulative doses inferior to 600 mg/sqm and some of them employ high-dose carboplatin as megatherapy (15-18).

The reported incidence of cisplatin ototoxicity in children ranges from 26% to over 90% with the variation influenced by treatment and patient-related factors (19) but it also depends to some extent on the grading system used. One of the key issues in designing a clinical research study to evaluate preventive interventions is having a valid and reliable outcome measure that is standardized, practical, and widely accepted. Such a hearing loss measure needs to reflect the unique aspects of testing children of different ages and capabilities. The absence of a practical and uniform system for grading ototoxicity has impeded assessment of results in prior studies, and another problem is that full compliance with complete audiological testing in these young patients remains a challenge.

Brock *et al.* published in 1991 a pediatric specific hearing loss scale for cisplatin exposure that has been widely used in European studies (9). In USA the measure used to monitor and categorize severity of hearing loss in therapeutic trials has been the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) version 3.0 which requires a baseline evaluation before treatment initiation and then measures change in hearing level as treatment progresses (20). The NCI-CTCAE v3.0 scale was

www.thetcr.org

Translational Cancer Research, Vol 3, No 6 December 2014

not specialized to children and has many inherent problems,
including the fact that baseline measurements are often
difficult to obtain in pediatric patients, particularly in very
ill patients. In addition, obtaining true auditory thresholds64% to
to 90%
Prevale
Brock

(response to lowest intensity level) can be challenging in pediatric patients. Instead, only minimal response levels are often obtainable, which makes calculating a true decrease in hearing sensitivity difficult and potentially inaccurate.

Although the most recent version of the NCI CTCAE, version 4.0 significantly improves on the previous 3.0 version by identifying specific test frequencies for pediatric patients and defining more objective measures, problems with measuring change in hearing compared with baseline measurements and the somewhat subjective nature of defining grades 3 and 4 still remain (21).

Several other hearing loss grading scales have been introduced, including a modification of the Brock *et al.* scale by Chang and Chinosornvatana in 2010 (22). The new International Society of Pediatric Oncology (SIOP) Boston Ototoxicity Grading Scale (5), and the ASHA (23). This new SIOP scale is simpler and potentially better than the Chang and Chinosornvatana scale, but it does not solve all the difficulties: how grading be coded for incomplete testing and/or objective measurements such as otoacoustic emissions or auditory evoked potentials that are necessary for testing in the younger children, are problems not solved.

Up to now preventive measures for cisplatin ototoxicity has been of little efficacy. The role of amifostine in pediatric patients treated with cisplatin-based chemotherapy is uncertain (24). The COG is currently running a randomized Phase III study of another thiol, which has shown more potential to reduce ototoxicity *in vitro*, sodium thiosulfate (25).

Carboplatin is also ototoxic, especially when delivered at myeloablative doses for autologous bone marrow transplantation, and potentiate the ototoxicity of previous cisplatin (2,4).

The SIOPEN HR-NBL-1 trial has randomized patients for megatherapy to receive busulfan-melphalan (BUMEL) or carboplatin-etoposide-melphalan (CEM). The randomization was closed in August 2012, because the results demonstrated superiority of BUMEL, and now all patients in Europe do not receive high dose carboplatin. This can be an opportunity to decrease exposure to platinum derivatives in high-risk neuroblastoma and also to decrease ototoxicity (26).

In summary, Landier *et al.* has studied ototoxicity in a big series of high-risk neuroblastoma patients treated homogeneously. Prevalence of any hearing loss (Brock, CTCAEv3, and Chang, grades 1 to 4; ASHA, grades A to C) was comparable across the four grading scales, ranging from 64% to 71% for the patients treated only with cisplatin to 86% to 90% for those receiving cisplatin and high-dose carboplatin. Prevalence of severe hearing loss differed by scale, being the Brock scale less sensitive for the detection of severe toxicity. The new SIOP Boston scale has been recently published and still has to demonstrate with their use, it's superiority to the previous ones and which are the problems it solves.

Anyway the most worrying conclusion is the high prevalence of severe ototoxicity in the survivors of high-risk neuroblastoma and the frequent need of hearing aides in so small children, with all the consequences of the permanent deficit.

Currently, the use of cisplatin cannot be avoided in induction regimes of high-risk neuroblastoma patients, but may be the inclusion of new drugs could diminish the cisplatin dose received. The results of SIOPEN HR-NBL-1 comparing CEM with BUMEL open the door to suppress high-dose carboplatin in PBSC transplantation, being substituted by BUMEL that has no ototoxicity.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Cancer Research*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2014.05.02). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Castel and Berlanga. Ototoxicity in neuroblastoma

References

- Kreissman SG, Seeger RC, Matthay KK, et al. Purged versus non-purged peripheral blood stem-cell transplantation for high-risk neuroblastoma (COG A3973): a randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2013;14:999-1008.
- Landier W, Knight K, Wong FL, et al. Ototoxicity in children with high-risk neuroblastoma: prevalence, risk factors, and concordance of grading scales--a report from the Children's Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:527-34.
- Gains J, Mandeville H, Cork N, et al. Ten challenges in the management of neuroblastoma. Future Oncol 2012;8:839-58.
- Parsons SK, Neault MW, Lehmann LE, et al. Severe ototoxicity following carboplatin-containing conditioning regimen for autologous marrow transplantation for neuroblastoma. Bone Marrow Transplant 1998;22:669-74.
- Brock PR, Knight KR, Freyer DR, et al. Platinuminduced ototoxicity in children: a consensus review on mechanisms, predisposition, and protection, including a new International Society of Pediatric Oncology Boston ototoxicity scale. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:2408-17.
- 6. Brock PR, Yeomans EC, Bellman SC, et al. Cisplatin therapy in infants: short and long-term morbidity. Br J Cancer Suppl 1992;18:S36-40.
- Knight KR, Kraemer DF, Neuwelt EA. Ototoxicity in children receiving platinum chemotherapy: underestimating a commonly occurring toxicity that may influence academic and social development. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8588-96.
- 8. Li Y, Womer RB, Silber JH. Predicting cisplatin ototoxicity in children: the influence of age and the cumulative dose. Eur J Cancer 2004;40:2445-51.
- Brock PR, Bellman SC, Yeomans EC, et al. Cisplatin ototoxicity in children: a practical grading system. Med Pediatr Oncol 1991;19:295-300.
- Zsíros J, Maibach R, Shafford E, et al. Successful treatment of childhood high-risk hepatoblastoma with dose-intensive multiagent chemotherapy and surgery: final results of the SIOPEL-3HR study. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:2584-90.
- 11. Perilongo G, Shafford E, Maibach R, et al. Risk-adapted treatment for childhood hepatoblastoma. final report of the second study of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology--SIOPEL 2. Eur J Cancer 2004;40:411-21.
- 12. Perilongo G, Maibach R, Shafford E, et al. Cisplatin versus cisplatin plus doxorubicin for standard-risk hepatoblastoma. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1662-70.
- 13. Kushner BH, Budnick A, Kramer K, et al. Ototoxicity from high-dose use of platinum compounds in patients with neuroblastoma. Cancer 2006;107:417-22.
- Ross CJ, Katzov-Eckert H, Dubé MP, et al. Genetic variants in TPMT and COMT are associated with hearing loss in children receiving cisplatin chemotherapy. Nat Genet 2009;41:1345-9.
- 15. Ladenstein R, Valteau-Couanet D, Brock P, et al.

Randomized Trial of prophylactic granulocyte colonystimulating factor during rapid COJEC induction in pediatric patients with high-risk neuroblastoma: the European HR-NBL1/SIOPEN study. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:3516-24.

- Kushner BH, Kramer K, LaQuaglia MP, et al. Reduction from seven to five cycles of intensive induction chemotherapy in children with high-risk neuroblastoma. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:4888-92.
- Villablanca JG, Matthay KK, Swift PS, et al. Phase I trial of carboplatin, etoposide, melphalan and local irradiation (CEM-LI) with purged autologous bone marrow transplantation for children with high-risk neuroblastoma. Med Pediatr Oncol 1999;33:170.
- Berthold F, Hero B, Kremens B, et al. Long-term results and risk profiles of patients in five consecutive trials (1979-1997) with stage 4 neuroblastoma over 1 year of age. Cancer Lett 2003;197:11-7.
- Neuwelt EA, Brock P. Critical need for international consensus on ototoxicity assessment criteria. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1630-2.
- National Cancer Institute: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, Version 3.0. Available online: http://ctep.cancer.gov/protocoldevelopment/electronic_ applications/docs/ctcae_glossary.pdf
- National Cancer Institute. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, Version 4.03. Available online: http://www.hrc.govt.nz/sites/default/files/CTCAE%20 manual%20-%20DMCC.pdf
- 22. Chang KW, Chinosornvatana N. Practical grading system for evaluating cisplatin ototoxicity in children. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1788-95.
- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Audiologic management of individuals receiving cochleotoxic drug therapy guidelines, 1994. Available online: http://www.asha.org/policy/GL1994-00003/
- Doolittle ND, Muldoon LL, Brummett RE, et al. Delayed sodium thiosulfate as an otoprotectant against carboplatininduced hearing loss in patients with malignant brain tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2001;7:493-500.
- Lawenda BD, Kelly KM, Ladas EJ, et al. Should supplemental antioxidant administration be avoided during chemotherapy and radiation therapy? J Natl Cancer Inst 2008;100:773-83.
- 26. Ladenstein R, Pötschger U, Luksch R, et al. Myeloablative therapy (MAT) and Immunotherapy (IT) with ch14.18/ CHO for High Risk Neuroblastoma: Update and News of Randomised Results from the HR-NBL1/SIOPEN Trial. ANR 2014 meeting. Köln, May 12-15, 2014. Abstract book, page 136.

Cite this article as: Castel V, Berlanga P. Ototoxicity: a worrying problem for survivors of high-risk neuroblastoma. Transl Cancer Res 2014;3(6):521-524. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2014.05.02