

County poverty levels influence genome-wide DNA methylation profiles in African American and European American women

Ping-Ching Hsu^{1#}, Susan Kadlubar^{1#}, L. Joseph Su², Daniel Acheampong³, Lora J. Rogers², Gail Runnells², Pearl A. McElfish⁴, Mario Schootman⁵

¹Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, ²Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, USA; ³Graduate Program in Bioinformatics, University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, AR, USA; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College for Public Health and Social Justice, St. Louis University, St. Louis, MO, USA

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: L. Joseph Su. 4301 W. Markham Street, Slot 820, Little Rock, AR 72205, USA. Email: LJSu@uams.edu.

Abstract: Our pilot study examined global DNA methylation and telomere length (TL) using DNA from saliva samples provided by 39 participants in the Arkansas Rural Community Health (ARCH) Study. TL was quantified by qPCR, and DNA methylation and DNA methylation age was assessed using the Illumina 850K Epic BeadChip. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) was performed to identify biological pathways that were DM between residents of counties with high or low poverty rates and by race [African American descent (AA) versus European American (EA) descent]. Among AA women, hypermethylation was more common in AA residents of counties with low compared to high poverty rates (70% vs. 30%). The top canonical pathways impacted by differential methylation were related to glucocorticoid receptor, p53, and estrogen-dependent breast cancer signaling in AA women. EA women living in low-poverty counties exhibited less hypermethylation of CpGs than those living in high-poverty counties (27% vs. 73%). The top canonical pathways were related to hereditary breast cancer, glucocorticoid receptor, androgen and PI3K/AKT signaling. Several genes involved in telomere maintenance were shown to be DM by county poverty levels. Therefore, the finding of this pilot study suggests county poverty levels may impact DNA methylation patterns in breast cancerrelated pathways as well as genes involved in telomere maintenance. Larger studies should confirm our findings.

Keywords: Poverty; residence; genome-wide DNA methylation; breast cancer

Submitted Apr 07, 2018. Accepted for publication Aug 06, 2018. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2019.02.07 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2019.02.07

Introduction

Living in disadvantaged neighborhoods is a risk factor for adverse health outcomes independent of individual socioeconomic status (1,2). Biological reasons for these geographic disparities are complex, and biomarkers that could signal the potential development of disease conferred by adverse neighborhood conditions are needed. DNA methylation (DNAm) and telomere length (TL) are two possible mechanisms. DNAm is an epigenetic regulator of gene expression that is responsive to environmental stimuli, such as exposures to smoking, arsenic contamination, and alcohol consumption (3,4), but few studies have examined if DNAm is associated with the large geographic variation in life expectancy and disease incidence (5,6). In addition to methylation of specific loci and genes, the concept of DNA methylation age acceleration (DNAmAA) in relation to health and life expectancy is an emerging area of investigation. An age predictor was developed by Horvath using DNAm data from multiple studies and several human tissues, including saliva (7), and DNAm aging has been hypothesized to be a risk factor for aging-related disease and mortality (7,8). In addition, TL, a marker of cellular aging, is a predictor of early mortality independent of biological age (9) as well as risk of cancer and other non-neoplastic diseases (10).

Few studies examined rural populations with aberrant DNAm or TL variability, although persons living in rural area are at increased risk of numerous adverse health outcomes (11-13). In this pilot study, we examined the association of county poverty rates on global DNAm, DNAmAA, salivary TL, and differences in DNAm of telomere-associated genes in Arkansas, a rural state. Since racial differences in genome-wide DNAm exist in healthy women (14), analyses were conducted separately for AA and EA women to assess their methylation status by county poverty levels.

Methods

Study population

The Arkansas Rural Community Health (ARCH) study is a study involving 23,735 Arkansas women recruited at community and cancer awareness events from 2007 to 2012 in both rural and metropolitan centers of Arkansas (15). After informed consent, participants completed questionnaires and provided saliva samples. The questionnaire captured demographic characteristics, breast cancer risk factors, and personal and family history of breast cancer.

Residential location of all participants were geocoded to identify their county using ArcGIS version 10 (Esri, Redlands, CA), as well as percent poverty rate at the census tract level (*Figure S1*). Ten women of self-reported AA descent each from counties with high poverty rates (>20% of the population) and low poverty rates (<10%) were randomly selected based on the 2008–2012 US Census American Community Survey (16), as well as ten women each of EA descent from high and low poverty rates. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (IRB# 89071).

Saliva collection and DNA isolation

The saliva samples were collected using the Oragene DNA (OG-500), DNA Genotek, (Ottawa, ON, Canada). DNA was isolated according to the protocol for prepIT-L2P, purchased from the same manufacturer. A 500 µL aliquot

of the saliva sample was mixed with 20 µL of prepIT-L2P and ethanol precipitated followed by dilution in Tris-EDTA buffer solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA). The DNA samples were quantified on a NanoDropTM 8000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Watham, MA, USA).

Infinium methylation EPIC BeadChip analysis

Following bisulfite treatment of 1 µg genomic DNA using the EZ DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), the bisulfite-converted DNA was hybridized onto the Infinium Methylation EPIC BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA) following the Illumina Infinium HD Methylation protocol. The Methylation EPIC BeadChip covers over 850,000 CpG sites with increased genome coverage of regulatory regions and high reproducibility and reliability from the previous versions (17). Whole genome amplification, hybridization, staining and scanning steps for all samples were performed, and the Illumina iScan SO scanner created images of the single arrays. The intensities of the images were extracted using the Methylation module (v.1.9.0) of the GenomeStudio (v.2011.1) software (Illumina). Raw intensity data as IDAT files were imported into GenomeStudio for the computation of detection P value of the probes. Additional steps, including data import, normalization, filtering and analyses, were performed using the methylation pipeline in Partek Genomics SuiteTM 6.6 (Partek Inc., St. Louis, MO).

Estimation of DNAmAA

DNAm age is a robust measurement derived from the algorithms Horvath developed (7), and has been adapted by studies that used Illumina 450K platform (18,19) as well as the EPIC BeadChip used in this study (20). Briefly, the DNAm age were computed using the R script provided based on the methylation levels at 353 CpG sites (7) without re-training the model on the present data. DNAmAA was defined as the difference between DNAm age and chronological age.

Telomere length in salivary lymphocytes

Average TL was determined using the results of absolute quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) of the repeat copy number to single gene copy number (T/S) ratio (21,22). The mean and standard deviation were

calculated using all TL and each sample (T/S) ratio was assigned to a category of high (≥ 1 standard deviation of the mean value) or low TL (≤ 1 standard deviation of the mean value) (23).

Data analyses

T-tests and Chi square tests were used to compare variables by county poverty levels and race. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) adjusted for differences on the covariate(s) with Fisher's Least significant difference contrast method were employed to determine differentially methylated (DM) CpG sites. Hypermethylated CpGs were defined if the average methylation levels were higher than the compared group, and hypomethylated CpGs were defined if the average methylation levels were lower. For pattern recognition in global DNAm profiling, unsupervised hierarchical clustering and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) were used.

Pearson's correlation was used to calculate the correlation between TL and the DNAmAA. To characterize the methylation patterns, the significant CpGs were divided by functional roles according to their genomic locations such as promoter: within 1,500 bps of a transcription start site (TSS) (TSS1500); within 200 bps of a TSS (TSS200); 5' untranslated regions (5'UTR); first exon (1stExon); body (non-promoter); 3'UTR (non-promoter); and intergenic regions (24). Genes corresponding to promoter CpGs among the significant DM CpGs were analyzed for their potential biological implications using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA).

Results

Study population demographics

Biospecimens from 39 women who lived in high or low poverty counties were included. One EA woman was missing the residential info and thus was excluded when comparing poverty levels. The mean (\pm SD) age at study enrollment was 48.0 \pm 12.0 y, mean age at menarche was 12.9 \pm 1.5 y, and the mean age at parity was 17.7 \pm 9.3 y (*Table 1*). Most participants were not using birth control pills (84.6%), most had given birth (79.5%), were postmenopausal (56.4%), and did not have a family history of breast cancer (66.7%). In all, 66.7% were overweight, had some college or technical school (46.2%), and drank an alcoholic beverage at least once a month (56.4%). Differences in BMI existed between EA and AAs (P=0.01), in current hormone therapy (P=0.004), and alcohol use between high and low county poverty levels (P=0.03). The mean TL of all women was 0.4 ± 0.02 , the mean DNAm age was 79.3 ± 9.9 y, and the mean DNAmAA was 31.3 ± 6.9 (*Table 1*).

Differences by poverty level on genome-wide methylation profiles among AA women

Based on the two-way ANOVA model controlling for alcohol use, 5,489 CpGs (P<0.01) and 164 (P<0.001, absolute fold change ≥ 1.5) CpGs were DM between highand low-poverty counties among AA women. Among the 164 DM CpGs (*Figure 1*), 49 CpGs (29.9%) were hypermethylated in women from high-poverty counties and of which, 45% were within CpG island regions (*Figure S1*) and 61% were within promoter regions (*Figure 1B*). On the other hand, 115 CpGs (70.1%) were hypermethylated in women residing in low-poverty counties, and of which, 36% of the sites were within CpG island regions (*Figure S1*) and 71% were within promoter regions (*Figure 1B*).

To investigate the potential biological implications, DM CpGs were queried by IPA, and the top networks affected by poverty levels among AA women are associated with Tissue Morphology, Cellular Development, Cellular Growth and Proliferation levels, with five molecules involved in breast cancer (BCL2, JUN, ESR1, ESR2, CYP19A1; *Figure 2A*). Top canonical pathways included: Glucocorticoid Receptor Signaling, Molecular Mechanisms of Cancer, p53 Signaling, Estrogen-Dependent Breast Cancer Signaling and ILK Signaling.

Differences by poverty level on genome-wide methylation profiles among EA women

For EA women, based on the two-way ANOVA model controlling for alcohol use, 1,411 CpGs (P<0.01) and 85 (P<0.001, $|FC| \ge 1.5$) CpGs were DM between highand low-county poverty levels. Among the 85 CpGs (*Figure 1C,D*), 61 CpGs (71.8%) were hypermethylated in women residing in high-poverty counties and of which, 20% of the sites were within CpG island regions (*Figure S2*) and 59% were within promoter regions (*Figure 1D*). On the other hand, 23 CpGs (27.1%) were hypermethylated in low-poverty counties (*Figure 1D*), and of which, 17% of the sites were within CpG island regions (*Figure S2*) and 52% were within promoter regions (*Figure 1D*).

The top networks affected in EA women by poverty levels are associated with Cell Morphology, Cellular

Table 1 Demographic and behavioral characteristics of study participants

			By race		By poverty level***			
Characteristics	All	EA (n=20)	AA (n=19)	P*	High (n=18)	Low (n=20)	Р	
Age (years), mean ± SD	48.0±12.0	44.9±13.5	51.4±9.1	0.08	48.3±12.4	49.1±10.4	0.85	
Age at menstrual (years), mean \pm SD	12.9±1.5	12.7±1.2	13±1.7	0.46	12.9±1.6	12.9±1.4	0.82	
Birth control pills, n (%)				1			0.99	
Yes	4 (10.3)	2 (10.0)	2 (10.5)		2 (11.1)	2 (10.0)		
No	33 (84.6)	17 (85.0)	16 (84.2)		15 (83.3)	17 (85.0)		
Unknown	2 (5.1)	1 (5.0)	1 (5.3)		1 (5.6)	1 (5.0)		
Ever given birth, n (%)				0.95			0.43	
Yes	31 (79.5)	16 (80.0)	15 (78.9)		14 (77.8)	17 (85.0)		
No	8 (20.5)	4 (20.0)	4 (21.1)		4 (22.2)	3 (15.0)		
Age at first child (years), mean \pm SD	17.7±9.3	17.6±9.8	17.9±8.8	0.55	18.3±9.3	18±9.0	0.66	
Number of children	2.2±1.8	2.0±1.8	2.6±1.8	0.30	2.2±1.8	2.4±1.9	0.88	
Menopausal status, n (%)				0.92			0.99	
Premenopausal	12 (30.8)	6 (30.0)	6 (31.6)		6 (33.3)	6 (30.0)		
Postmenopausal	22 (56.4)	11 (55.0)	11 (57.9)		10 (55.6)	11 (55.0)		
Unknown	5 (12.8)	3 (15.0)	2 (10.5)		2 (11.1)	3 (15.0)		
Current hormone therapy, n (%)				0.24			0.004	
Yes	5 (12.8)	4 (20.0)	1 (5.3)		0 (0)	5 (25.0)		
No	24 (61.5)	10 (50.0)	14 (73.7)		12 (66.7)	11 (55.0)		
Unknown	10 (25.6)	6 (30.0)	4 (21.1)		6 (30)	4 (20.0)		
Family history of breast cancer, n (%)				0.57			0.53	
Yes	12 (30.8)	6 (30.0)	6 (31.6)		5 (27.8)	7 (35.0)		
No	26 (66.7)	14 (70.0)	12 (63.2)		13 (72.2)	12 (60.0)		
Unsure	1 (2.6)	0 (0)	1 (5.3)		0 (0)	1 (5.0)		
BMI (kg/m²)	31.7±8.6	27.4±6.4	36.2±8.6	0.001	31.4±10.5	32.5±6.5	0.69	
Education, n (%)				0.34			0.34	
Less than high school graduate	1 (2.6)	1 (5.0)	0 (0)		1 (5.6)	0 (0)		
High school graduate or GED	5 (12.8)	1 (5.0)	4 (21.1)		3 (16.7)	2 (10.0)		
Some college or technical school	18 (46.2)	9 (45.0)	9 (47.4)		9 (50.0)	8 (40.0)		
College or post-college graduate	15 (38.5)	9 (45.0)	6 (31.6)		5 (27.8)	10 (50.0)		
Alcohol use, n (%)				0.36			0.03	
2–6 times a week	2 (5.1)	0 (0)	2 (10.5)		0 (0)	2 (10.0)		
About once a week	4 (10.3)	2 (10.0)	2 (10.5)		3 (16.7)	1 (5.0)		
About once a month	16 (41.0)	11 (55.0)	5 (26.3)		5 (27.8)	10 (50.0)		
About once a year	5 (12.8)	2 (10.0)	3 (15.8)		1 (5.6)	4 (20.0)		

Table 1 (continued)

© Translational Cancer Research. All rights reserved.

Translational Cancer Research, Vol 8, No 2 April 2019

TT11 1 (...)

Table I (continuea)								
Characteristica	All		By race		By poverty level***			
Glaracteristics	All	By race By poverty level*** EA (n=20) AA (n=19) P* High (n=18) Low (n=20) F .2) 5 (25.0) 6 (31.6) 9 (50.0) 2 (10.0) F .2) 5 (25.0) 6 (31.6) 9 (50.0) 2 (10.0) F .2) 5 (25.0) 6 (31.6) 9 (50.0) 2 (10.0) F .02 0.43\pm0.03 0.43\pm0.02 0.65 0.43\pm0.03 0.43\pm0.02 0.65 .9 76.8±11.0 81.9±8.0 0.11 78.4±11.8 81.0±7.1 0.4 .9 32.0±6.4 30.5±7.4 0.51 30.0±8.2 31.9±5.1 0.5	Р					
Never	11 (28.2)	5 (25.0)	6 (31.6)		9 (50.0)	2 (10.0)		
Unsure	1 (2.6)	0 (0)	1 (5.3)		0 (0)	1 (5.0)		
Telomere length (T/S)	0.4±0.02	0.43±0.03	0.43±0.02	0.65	0.43±0.03	0.43±0.02	0.64	
DNAmAge	79.3±9.9	76.8±11.0	81.9±8.0	0.11	78.4±11.8	81.0±7.1	0.41	
Age acceleration	31.3±6.9	32.0±6.4	30.5±7.4	0.51	30.0±8.2	31.9±5.1	0.39	

*, P values represent differences between groups for each characteristic; ***, One EA participant was missing the residential info and was excluded when comparing poverty levels. Continuous variables were evaluated by two-sample *t*-tests, and chi square (χ^2) tests were used to investigate the differences in distributions of categorical variables. EA, European-Americans; AA, African-Americans.



Figure 1 Differentially methylated (DM) CpGs among AA & EA Women by county poverty level. 3D scatter plots of principal component analysis (PCA) scores on the differentially methylated CpGs among (A) AA and (C) EA by poverty levels. Hierarchical clustering of the top CpG sites (P<0.001, |fold change $| \ge 1.5$) distinguishing high and low poverty levels among (B) AA and (D) EA, as well as pie charts presenting the proportions of DM CpGs in promoter regions.

Assembly and Organization, Cellular Response to Therapeutics. In all, 14 molecules associated with breast cancer (FBL, CCND1, DHX16, SF3B4, FN1, PLAUR, SMARCA4, FANCA, TP53, Hsp90, UTRN, ITGA9, NR3C1, EFNB1) were also DM (*Figure 2B*). Top canonical pathways involved in the network are: Hereditary Breast Cancer Signaling, Glucocorticoid Receptor Signaling, Androgen Signaling, PI3K/AKT Signaling, and Molecular Mechanisms of Cancer.

Effects of county poverty levels on DNAmAA

DNAm Age of the 39 women in the study was highly correlated with their chronological age (r=0.82, P= $1.71e^{-10}$, Figure 3A). No significant differences was found in DNAmAA by race and poverty levels. However, DNAmAA was inverse correlated with the TL (r=-0.52, P=0.0007, Figure 3B) for all women, and the correlation was more significant among women who resided in high poverty (r=-0.6, P=0.0075) than low poverty counties (r=-0.41, P=0.0075)P=0.06) (Figure 3B). Although no significant difference in TL by race or by poverty rates (P>0.05) was observed, TL was associated with 100 CpG sites within the above genes for all women (Table S1) when the impact of DNAm in genes reported to be involved in TL (ACYP2, NAF1, OBFC1, RTEL1, TERC, TERT, ZNF208) (25) were examined. Among the 100 CpG sites, four CpGs associated with NAF1, TERC and RTEL1 promoter regions were significantly different by poverty levels among AA women. In EA women, one CpG site in the OBFC1 promoter region (TSS1500) was significantly different according to poverty levels. Likewise, methylated CpG sites in the gene body of NAF1, OBFC1, and ACYP2, RTEL1, and the 3'UTR region of OBFC1 in the 3'UTR region were significantly different by poverty levels among EA women (Table 2).

Discussion

In this pilot study, DNAm patterns differed based on race and county poverty levels. While no significant differences in TL existed, TL was associated with the DNAmAA, and the association was more prominent among women residing in counties with high poverty rates. Genes involved in telomere maintenance were also shown to be DM by county poverty levels.

Contrary to other studies (26,27), the inflammatory pathway was not prominent. Moreover, the pathways identified as DM varied between EA and AA women when county poverty rates were considered. A reversal of hypermethylation patterns between EA and AA women by county poverty existed that could be due to racial differences in single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) allele frequencies in one-carbon metabolism genes. Significant variations in allele frequencies in eleven genes involved in one-carbon metabolism showed that polygenetic risk scores were significantly associated with breast cancer risk (28). Although the current pilot study was not large enough to include SNP analyses, the differential methylation patterns merit further study.

Both overlap and differences in biological pathways existed that were DM by county poverty and race. In AA women, gene networks involved in estrogen-dependent breast cancer were DM, while hereditary breast cancer networks were DM in EA women. These data suggest gene-environment interactions that are involved in racial differences in breast cancer risk that exists between EA and AA women. Additionally, the number and identity of DM molecules related to breast cancer risk also varied between AA and EA women (5 versus 14). This is consistent with AA women having lower risk of breast cancer compared to EA women. Our results confirm previous findings indicating likely differing etiologic pathways for the development of ER negative breast cancer between AA and EA women (29).

In contrast to other studies (30-32), no significant differences existed in TL by county poverty rate or race, as well as the correlation of TL and age. This could be due to the modest sample size of the current study. However, high correlation of TL and DNAmAA was observed, signifying the potential of epigenetic modifications of life expectancy especially in the rural regions. Smoking status was not available and could have played a role in our findings. Because a high correlation between TL measured in blood compared to saliva exists (33,34), the use of saliva is less likely to be a factor. We did find significant differences in the methylation of several TL-associated genes by county poverty levels, even though TL did not vary significantly. This could be due to laboratory methods for measuring DNAm that are more sensitive than assays for telomere length.

Conclusions

The finding of this pilot study suggests county poverty levels may impact DNAm patterns in breast cancerrelated pathways, as well as genes involved in telomere



Figure 2 Ingenuity pathway analysis revealed networks associated with county poverty levels among (A) AA and (B) EA women. Molecules shown were genes annotated by the DM CpGs with green nodes representing decreased methylation levels for women who were living in high poverty counties when compared to those residing in low poverty counties, and red nodes representing increased in methylation levels from high poverty counties compared to low poverty counties. Genes known as biomarkers for breast cancer were outlined in magenta, and top scoring canonical pathways affected in the network were highlighted in yellow. AA, African-Americans; EA, European-Americans.



Figure 3 Effects of county poverty levels on DNAm age acceleration. (A) Correlation of chronological age versus DNAmAge; and (B) correlation of telomere length and age acceleration by county poverty levels in this study.

Cono overbol	Chr	CpG island	Gene centric	D	Mean be	eta value	High poverty/low poverty	
Gene Symbol	Chi	regions	regions	F	High poverty	Low poverty	Fold-Change	Trend
AA women								
NAF1	4	S_Shore	TSS1500	0.002	0.46	0.58	-1.6	Down
NAF1	4	S_Shore	TSS1500	0.007	0.27	0.41	-1.9	Down
RTEL1	20	S_Shore	5'UTR	0.026	0.62	0.66 –1.1		Down
TERC	3	Island	TSS200	0.033	0.38	0.42	-1.2	Down
EA women								
NAF1	4	N_Shore	Body	0.006	0.05	0.02	2.1	Up
RTEL1	20	Island	Body	0.014	0.28	0.20	1.6	Up
NAF1	4	N_Shore	Body	0.025	0.25	0.15	1.8	Up
OBFC1	10	Open sea	Body	0.033	0.64	0.76	-1.8	Down
OBFC1	10	Open sea	3'UTR	0.034	0.64	0.76	-1.9	Down
RTEL1	20	N_Shore	Body	0.035	0.85	0.87	-1.2	Down
OBFC1	10	Open sea	Body	0.040	0.41	0.48	-1.4	Down
OBFC1	10	S_Shore	TSS1500	0.041	0.77	0.83	-1.4	Down
ACYP2	2	Open sea	Body	0.044	0.35	0.26	1.5	Up
OBFC1	10	Open sea	3'UTR	0.046	0.74	0.81	-1.5	Down
RTEL1	20	Island	Body	0.046	0.40	0.30	1.6	Up

Table 2 Telomere length-associated CpG sites significantly different by county poverty levels

Translational Cancer Research, Vol 8, No 2 April 2019

maintenance. Since DNAm is modifiable, identification of methylation patterns impacted by adverse neighborhood conditions could lead to the design of interventions that reduce health disparities experienced by residents in counties with high-poverty rates. Larger studies should confirm our findings.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the participants for their contribution to the Arkansas Rural Community Health (ARCH) Study. Funding: None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr.2019.02.07). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (IRB# 89071) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- 1. Merkin SS, Basurto-Davila R, Karlamangla A, et al. Neighborhoods and cumulative biological risk profiles by race/ethnicity in a national sample of U.S. adults: NHANES III. Ann Epidemiol 2009;19:194-201.
- 2. Zeigler-Johnson CM, Tierney A, Rebbeck TR, et al.

Prostate cancer severity associations with neighborhood deprivation. Prostate Cancer 2011;2011:846263.

- 3. Heilig M, Barbier E, Johnstone AL, et al. Reprogramming of mPFC transcriptome and function in alcohol dependence. Genes Brain Behav 2017;16:86-100.
- 4. Marsit CJ. Influence of environmental exposure on human epigenetic regulation. J Exp Biol 2015;218:71-9.
- 5. Dwyer-Lindgren L, Bertozzi-Villa A, Stubbs RW, et al. Inequalities in Life Expectancy Among US Counties, 1980 to 2014: Temporal Trends and Key Drivers. JAMA Intern Med 2017;177:1003-11.
- 6. Zolot J. U.S. Life Expectancy Varies Depending on County of Birth. Am J Nurs 2017;117:15.
- 7. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol 2013;14:R115.
- Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, et al. Genome-wide 8. methylation profiles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates. Mol Cell 2013;49:359-67.
- 9. Mons U, Muezzinler A, Schottker B, et al. Leukocyte Telomere Length and All-Cause, Cardiovascular Disease, and Cancer Mortality: Results From Individual-Participant-Data Meta-Analysis of 2 Large Prospective Cohort Studies. Am J Epidemiol 2017;185:1317-26.
- 10. Haycock PC, Burgess S, Nounu A, et al. Association Between Telomere Length and Risk of Cancer and Non-Neoplastic Diseases: A Mendelian Randomization Study. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:636-51.
- 11. Zahnd WE, James AS, Jenkins WD, et al. Rural-Urban Differences in Cancer Incidence and Trends in the United States. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2018;27:1265-74.
- 12. Williams F, Thompson E. Disparity in Breast Cancer Late Stage at Diagnosis in Missouri: Does Rural Versus Urban Residence Matter? J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2016;3:233-9.
- 13. Chen H, Liu Y, Zhu Z, et al. Does where you live matter to your health? Investigating factors that influence the selfrated health of urban and rural Chinese residents: evidence drawn from Chinese General Social Survey data. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2017;15:78.
- 14. Song MA, Brasky TM, Marian C, et al. Racial differences in genome-wide methylation profiling and gene expression in breast tissues from healthy women. Epigenetics 2015;10:1177-87.
- 15. Bondurant KL, Harvey S, Klimberg S, et al. Establishment of a southern breast cancer cohort. Breast J 2011;17:281-8.
- 16. Bureau USC. American Factfinder. 2014.
- 17. Pidsley R, Zotenko E, Peters TJ, et al. Critical evaluation

of the Illumina MethylationEPIC BeadChip microarray for whole-genome DNA methylation profiling. Genome Biol 2016;17:208.

- Horvath S, Gurven M, Levine ME, et al. An epigenetic clock analysis of race/ethnicity, sex, and coronary heart disease. Genome Biol 2016;17:171.
- Quach A, Levine ME, Tanaka T, et al. Epigenetic clock analysis of diet, exercise, education, and lifestyle factors. Aging (Albany NY) 2017;9:419-46.
- Davis EG, Humphreys KL, McEwen LM, et al. Accelerated DNA methylation age in adolescent girls: associations with elevated diurnal cortisol and reduced hippocampal volume. Transl Psychiatry 2017;7:e1223.
- Theall KP, Brett ZH, Shirtcliff EA, et al. Neighborhood disorder and telomeres: connecting children's exposure to community level stress and cellular response. Soc Sci Med 2013;85:50-8.
- 22. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 2002;30:e47.
- 23. Pellatt AJ, Wolff RK, Torres-Mejia G, et al. Telomere length, telomere-related genes, and breast cancer risk: the breast cancer health disparities study. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2013;52:595-609.
- Bibikova M, Barnes B, Tsan C, et al. High density DNA methylation array with single CpG site resolution. Genomics 2011;98:288-95.
- Codd V, Nelson CP, Albrecht E, et al. Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. Nat Genet 2013;45:422-7, 427e1-2.
- 26. Uddin M, Koenen KC, Aiello AE, et al. Epigenetic and inflammatory marker profiles associated with depression in a community-based epidemiologic sample. Psychol Med

Cite this article as: Hsu PC, Kadlubar S, Su LJ, Acheampong D, Rogers LJ, Runnells G, McElfish PA, Schootman M. County poverty levels influence genome-wide DNA methylation profiles in African American and European American women. Transl Cancer Res 2019;8(2):683-692. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2019.02.07

2011;41:997-1007.

- Smith JA, Zhao W, Wang X, et al. Neighborhood characteristics influence DNA methylation of genes involved in stress response and inflammation: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Epigenetics 2017;12:662-73.
- Gong Z, Yao S, Zirpoli G, et al. Genetic variants in one-carbon metabolism genes and breast cancer risk in European American and African American women. Int J Cancer 2015;137:666-77.
- 29. Ambrosone CB, Young AC, Sucheston LE, et al. Genomewide methylation patterns provide insight into differences in breast tumor biology between American women of African and European ancestry. Oncotarget 2014;5:237-48.
- Oliveira BS, Zunzunegui MV, Quinlan J, et al. Lifecourse Adversity and Telomere Length in Older Women from Northeast Brazil. Rejuvenation Res 2018;21:294-303.
- Lynch SM, Mitra N, Ravichandran K, et al. Telomere Length and Neighborhood Circumstances: Evaluating Biological Response to Unfavorable Exposures. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2017;26:553-60.
- 32. Gebreab SY, Riestra P, Gaye A, et al. Perceived neighborhood problems are associated with shorter telomere length in African American women. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2016;69:90-7.
- Mitchell C, Hobcraft J, McLanahan SS, et al. Social disadvantage, genetic sensitivity, and children's telomere length. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;111:5944-9.
- Lahnert P. An improved method for determining telomere length and its use in assessing age in blood and saliva. Gerontology 2005;51:352-6.

Supplementary



Figure S1 Distribution of differentially methylated CpGs by DNA regions. There were 49 unique hypermethylated CpGs in AA women residing in high poverty counties compared to 115 hypermethylated CpGs unique to low poverty county residence.



Figure S2 Distribution of differentially methylated CpGs by DNA regions. There were 61 unique hypermethylated CpGs in EA women residing in high poverty counties compared to 23 hypermethylated CpGs unique to low poverty county residence. AA, African-American; EA, European American.

$\textbf{Table S1} \ \textbf{CpG} \ \textbf{sites} \ \textbf{associated} \ \textbf{with telomere length among all women}$

Probeset ID	UCSC_RefGene_Name	CHR	Relation_to_UCSC_ CpG_Island	UCSC_RefGene_ Group	r	Р	Lower CI	Upper Cl
cg03339910	RTEL1; RTEL1	20	Island	Body; Body	0.58	0.00013	0.32	0.76
cg23250191	TERT; TERT	5	Island	TSS200; TSS200	-0.58	0.00015	-0.76	-0.32
cg26334826	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B; RTEL1;	20	Island	Body; Body; Body;	0.56	0.0003	0.29	0.74
C C	RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1			Body; Body				
cg00622799	RTEL1; RTEL1	20	Island	Body; Body	0.50	0.0014	0.21	0.71
cg16750953	TERT; TERT	5	Island	Body; Body	-0.48	0.002	-0.69	-0.19
cg15494117	TERC	3	Island	TSS200	0.46	0.004	0.16	0.68
cg12810518	NAF1; NAF1	4	Island	1stExon; 1stExon	-0.45	0.004	-0.68	-0.16
cg01389761	TERC	3	Island	TSS200	0.44	0.006	0.14	0.67
cg17249224	TERT; TERT	5	Island	Body; Body	-0.44	0.006	-0.66	-0.14
cg15974345	TERT; TERT	5	Island	Body; Body	-0.44	0.006	-0.66	-0.13
cg10973735	ACYP2; ACYP2	2	Island	1stExon; 5'UTR	0.39	0.017	0.08	0.63
cg27236539	RTEL1; RTEL1	20	Island	TSS200; TSS200	0.37	0.023	0.06	0.62
cg02048657	TERT; TERT	5	Island	Body; Body	-0.35	0.032	-0.60	-0.03
cg23036508	TERC	3	Island	TSS200	-0.35	0.032	-0.60	-0.03
cg10896616	TERT; TERT	5	Island	TSS200; TSS200	-0.33	0.041	-0.59	-0.02
cg17534029	RTEL1; RTEL1	20	Island	Body; Body	0.33	0.046	0.01	0.58
cg22989209	TERT; TERT	5	N_Shelf	Body; Body	-0.58	0.00012	-0.76	-0.33
cg01622668	NAF1; NAF1	4	N_Shelf	Body; Body	-0.53	0.0005	-0.73	-0.26
cg07080099	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B; RTEL1;	20	N_Shelf	Body; Body; Body;	-0.52	0.0008	-0.72	-0.24
00000001	RIEL1; RIEL1; RIEL1	10		Body; Body	0.50	0.0000	0.70	0.00
cg06293931	OBFC1	10	N_Shelf	Body	-0.52	0.0009	-0.72	-0.23
cg09218957	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B; RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1	20	N_Shelf	Body; Body; Body; Body; Body	-0.43	0.007	-0.66	-0.13
cg02601800	TERT; TERT	5	N_Shelf	Body; Body	-0.41	0.011	-0.64	-0.10
cg13830297	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B; RTEL1;	20	N_Shelf	Body; Body; Body;	-0.40	0.012	-0.64	-0.10
-	RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1			Body; Body				
cg12090364	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B; RTEL1;	20	N_Shelf	Body; Body; Body;	-0.37	0.021	-0.62	-0.06
00007000	RIELI; RIELI; RIELI	00		Body; Body	0.00	0.000000	0.70	0.00
cg26937683	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B; RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1	20	N_Shore	Body; Body; Body; Body; Body	-0.63	0.000020	-0.79	-0.39
cg05172061	ACYP2	2	N_Shore	TSS1500	-0.56	0.0003	-0.74	-0.29
cg13696431	TERT; TERT	5	N_Shore	Body; Body	-0.54	0.0005	-0.73	-0.27
cq22738152	ACYP2	2	N Shore	TSS1500	-0.52	0.0008	-0.72	-0.24
cg04137949	NAF1: NAF1	4	N_Shore	Body: Body	0.51	0.0010	0.23	0.72
cg05357717	RTEL1: RTEL1	20	N_Shore	Body: Body	-0.50	0.0013	-0.71	-0.22
cg13594182	TERT: TERT	5	N_Shore	Body: Body	-0.49	0.002	-0.70	-0.20
cg06739590	TERT: TERT	5	N_Shore	Body: Body	-0.48	0.002	-0.69	-0.19
cg04019076	TERT: TERT	5	N_Shore	Body: Body	-0.48	0.003	-0.69	-0.18
cg20081540	RTEL1: RTEL1	20	N_Shore	Body: Body	-0.47	0.003	-0.69	-0.18
cg16429735	TERT: TERT	5	N_Shore	Body: Body	-0.46	0.003	-0.68	-0.17
cg17509409	RTEL1; RTEL1: RTFI 1.	20	N_Shore	TSS1500: TSS1500	-0.38	0.019	-0.62	-0.07
5 - 55 ,00	RTEL1; RTEL1-TNFRSF6B			TSS1500; TSS1500;				
				TSS1500				
cg16336280	TERT; TERT	5	N_Shore	Body; Body	-0.37	0.021	-0.62	-0.06
cg02538752	ACYP2	2	N_Shore	TSS1500	0.37	0.021	0.06	0.62
cg17173860	RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1: RTEL1-TNFRSF6B:	20	N_Shore	ExonBnd; ExonBnd; ExonBnd: ExonBnd:	-0.37	0.023	-0.62	-0.06
	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B; RTEL1;			ExonBnd; Body;				
	RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1			Body; Body; Body; Body				
cq01986883	NAF1: NAF1	4	N Shore	Body: Body	0.36	0.027	0.04	0.61
cq04902826	OBFC1	10	N Shore	5'UTR	0.35	0.031	0.03	0.60
cg15927295	TERT: TERT	5	N Shore	Body: Body	-0.34	0.034	-0.60	-0.03
cq08363415	ACYP2	2	S Shelf	Body	-0.50	0.0013	-0.71	-0.22
ca13954681	ACYP2	2	S Shelf	Body	0.33	0.045	0.01	0.59
ca18251019	OBFC1	10	S Shore	TSS200	0.58	0.00012	0.32	0.76
cg26149131	ACYP2	2	S_Shore	Body	0.57	0.0002	0.31	0.75
cq08260673	ACYP2	2	S Shore	Body	-0.57	0.0002	-0.75	-0.30
cq18120808	NAF1: NAF1	4	S Shore	TSS1500; TSS1500	-0.53	0.0007	-0.72	-0.25
cg25090302	TERC	3	S Shore	TSS1500	-0.53	0.0007	-0.72	-0.25
cg25809480	RTEL1: RTEL1: RTEL1:	20	S Shore	5'UTR: 5'UTR:	-0.51	0.0012	-0.71	-0.22
-9	RTEL1; RTEL1-TNFRSF6B			5'UTR; 5'UTR; Body				
cg12615982	TERC	3	S_Shore	TSS1500	-0.49	0.002	-0.70	-0.20
cg24333189	TERC	3	S_Shore	TSS1500	-0.49	0.002	-0.70	-0.20
cg19828863	OBFC1	10	S_Shore	TSS1500	-0.48	0.002	-0.69	-0.19
cg19507224	OBFC1	10	S_Shore	TSS200	-0.46	0.004	-0.68	-0.16
cg07062658	RTEL1; RTEL1	20	S_Shore	5'UTR; 5'UTR	0.44	0.006	0.13	0.66
cg08370839	OBFC1	10	S_Shore	TSS1500	-0.42	0.009	-0.65	-0.11
cg24019832	OBFC1	10	S_Shore	TSS1500	0.41	0.010	0.11	0.65
cg21409704	NAF1; NAF1	4	S_Shore	TSS1500; TSS1500	0.41	0.011	0.10	0.64
cg00352681	TERT; TERT	5	S_Shore	Body; Body	-0.40	0.013	-0.64	-0.09
cg24309739	NAF1; NAF1	4	S_Shore	TSS1500; TSS1500	0.38	0.017	0.07	0.63
cg24931138	TERT; TERT	5	S_Shore	Body; Body	-0.35	0.029	-0.61	-0.04
cg20441553	TERT; TERT	5	S_Shore	Body; Body	-0.33	0.043	-0.59	-0.01
cg14278567	ACYP2	2	Open sea	Body	-0.72	0.0000003	-0.85	-0.52
cg01447263	ACYP2	2	Open sea	Body	-0.62	0.00003	-0.78	-0.38
cg06749545	OBFC1	10	Open sea	3'UTR	-0.61	0.00004	-0.78	-0.37
cg09031957	OBFC1	10	Open sea	3'UTR	-0.61	0.00005	-0.78	-0.36
cg11319187	ACYP2	2	Open sea	Body	0.59	0.00009	0.34	0.77
cg09834789	OBFC1	10	Open sea	3'UTR	-0.59	0.00011	-0.76	-0.33
cg11016558	OBFC1	10	Open sea	Body	-0.58	0.0002	-0.76	-0.31
cg21916555	NAF1; NAF1	4	Open sea	Body; Body	-0.57	0.0002	-0.75	-0.31
cg13601318	NAF1; NAF1	4	Open sea	Body; Body	-0.57	0.0002	-0.75	-0.31
cg07936144	TERT; TERT; TERT; TERT	5	Open sea	ExonBnd; ExonBnd;	-0.57	0.0002	-0.75	-0.31
002/360131		o		Body	_0 56	0 0002	_0 75	_0 30
Ca03303050	AUTE2	2		Body	-0.50	0.0002	_0.75	_0.00
002565665	AUTP2	2	Open sea	BODY	-0.55	0.0003	-0.74	-0.29
Ca0/020100		0		Body	-0.54	0.0004	-0.73	-0.21 _0.27
Ca1/052002	TEDT. TEDT	2		Body: Pody	-0.54	0.0005	-0.73	-0.27
cg12040010		C	Open sea		-0.54	0.0005	-0.73	-0.26
ca16527650	AUTE2	2		Body	-0.54	0.0005	-0.73 _0.79	-0.20 -0.26
ca10122700	OPEC1	ے 10		Body	-0.03	0.0005	0.13	-0.20 0.79
cg2050223		0		Body	0.03	0.0000	_0.20	_0.73
cg20503346	AUTP2	2	Open sea	воау	-0.53	0.0007	-0.73	-0.26
cg10274419	OBECT	10	Open sea	3 UIK	-0.53	0.0007	-0.73	-0.25
Call2725690		іU И		Body: Pody	-0.52	0.0007	-0.12 _0.71	_0.20
001640010	NAFT; NAFT	4	Open sea	Dody; Body	-0.51	0.0012	-0.71	-0.22
cg21040312	NAF1; NAF1	4	Open sea	Dody; Body	-0.50	0.0013	-0.71	-0.22
	AUYP2	2	Open sea	Body	-0.50	0.0014	-0.71	-0.21
Ca06511040		10		Body	-0.49	0.002	_0.70	-0.21
cg17320010		0		Body	-0.49	0.002	_0.70	-0.2 I
cg17332810	ACYP2	2	Open sea	Body	-0.49	0.002	-0.70	-0.20
0900322053	AUYP2	2	Open sea	Body	-0.47	0.003	-0.69	-0.18
0920002908		10		Body	-0.40	0.000	-0.07	-0.10
cg12520610		19		Body: Body: Body:	-0.42	0.000	-0.62	-0.12
JU2009018	RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1	20	open sea	Body; Body; Body; Body; Body	-0.39	0.014	-0.03	-U.Uð
cg07072878	NAF1	4	Open sea	3'UTR	-0.39	0.017	-0.63	-0.07
cg06103076	RTEL1; RTEL1-TNFRSF6B;	20	Open sea	5'UTR; Body; Body;	-0.36	0.027	-0.61	-0.04
	RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1			Body; Body				
cg21939447	NAF1; NAF1; NAF1; NAF1	4	Open sea	ExonBnd; ExonBnd;	-0.34	0.036	-0.60	-0.02
ca16409670		0	Openant	Dody; BODY	_0.00	0.044	_0 50	_0.01
Ca21651647	AUTH2	2		Dody	-0.33	0.044	-0.59	-0.01
092 100 104/		2			-0.33	0.040	90.0	-0.01
cyuda56627	RTEL1; RTEL1-1NFRSF6B; RTEL1; RTEL1; RTEL1	20	Open sea	з отк; воду; Body; Body; Bodv	0.32	0.047	0.01	0.58
cg11005552	OBFC1	10	Open sea	Body	0.32	0.049	0.00	0.58