<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		No commercial reagents were
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		used.
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		No cells were used.
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		No cells were used.
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		No animals were used.
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		No animals were used.
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		No animals were used.
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		No plants were used.
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		No Microbes were used.
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	103 (maicate where provided.	Public data but not individual
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		secret personnel data were used
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent		Public data but not individual
obtained from study participants.		secret personnel data were used
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		Public data but not individual
		secret personnel data were used

for approval.

explain why.

Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if

relevant permits obtained, provide details of

authority approving study; if none were required,

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		Not a clinical trial
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		No laboratory protocol.
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		Not an experimental study
done , or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		Not an experimental study.
Randomisation		Not an experimental study
Blinding		Not an experimental study
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		Not an experimental study.
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State number of times the experiment was		Not an experimental
replicated in laboratory		study.
Define whether data describe technical or biological		Not an experimental
replicates		study.
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Materials and Methods	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	Data collection and pre-	
committee(s), provide reference number for	processing, Paragraph 1, lines	
approval.	113-117	
	Compliance and ethics	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		No animals were used.

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		Not a DURC.
state the authority granting approval and reference		
number for the regulatory approval		

No such materials were

used.

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Materials and Methods	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were	Data collection and pre-processing, Paragraph 1, lines	
determined and specified in advance.	110-113	

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of		
tests.		

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide	Materials and Methods	
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where	Data collection and pre-processing, Paragraph 1, lines	
possible.	107,113	

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	Materials and Methods Identification of clinically significant modules through WGCNA, Paragraph 1-2, lines 120-123; lines 137-140	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	