### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

#### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Yes page 9, 12/section 2.2, 2.8	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	Yes page 9/section 2.3	
Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b>		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of	Yes page 9/ section 2.3	
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	in and there provided page not section (regend)	n/a
genetic modification status. Provide accession		, a
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		n/a
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		n/a
in repository (where relevant) <b>OR</b> RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
<b>Plants:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession	Yes page 9 section 2.3	, u
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		n/a
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Yes page 7/section 1	, -
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Yes page 7/section 1	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Yes page 7/section 2.1	

### <u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		n/
number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		а
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	Yes	, «
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been	Yes	
done <b>, or</b> if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Page 7/section 2.1	
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Page 7/ section 2.1	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	Page 12/section 2.9	
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological	Page 12/section 2.9	
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Page 7/section 1	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		n/
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		а
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		n/
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		a
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		, n/
state the authority granting approval and reference		a
number for the regulatory approval		1

# <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Page 8/section 2.1	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Page 12/section 2.9	Πγα
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.		n/a
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		n/a
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		n/a
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		n/ a
State whether the code or software is available.		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		n/ a

# **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of	Yes page 8	
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE guidelines for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		
Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-322A		