COX-2 inhibitors in NSCLC: never-ending story or misplaced?

Alex Martinez-Marti, Alejandro Navarro, Enriqueta Felip

Medical Oncology Department, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital and Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Barcelona, Spain Correspondence to: Enriqueta Felip. Medical Oncology Department, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital and Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Barcelona, Spain. Email: efelip@vhio.net.

Provenance: This is an invited Editorial commissioned by the Section Editor Hengrui Liang (Nanshan Clinical Medicine School, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China).

Comment on: Edelman MJ, Wang X, Hodgson L, *et al.* Phase III Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blind Trial of Celecoxib in Addition to Standard Chemotherapy for Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer With Cyclooxygenase-2 Overexpression: CALGB 30801 (Alliance). J Clin Oncol 2017;35:2184-92.

Submitted Apr 11, 2018. Accepted for publication Apr 16, 2018. doi: 10.21037/tlcr.2018.04.17 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr.2018.04.17

The relationship between inflammation and cancer is not a new concept (1-4). In the 19th century, professor Virchow hypothesized that chronic inflammation could be crucial in the origin of cancer process, mainly due to maintained tissue injury causing enhancement of cell proliferation. Today, there is an established and growing knowledge on the complex mechanistic pathways underlying cancerrelated inflammation, involving an environment rich in inflammatory cells, growth factors and activated stroma. One way to link chronic inflammation with cancer is through the intrinsic inflammatory pathway, in which genetic alterations that induce malignant transformation also produce a cancer-promoting microenvironment. The extrinsic one concerns the inflammatory conditions predisposing to cancer development. The convergence of both pathways activates transcription factors, coordinating the production of inflammatory mediators and ultimately generating a cancerrelated inflammatory microenvironment (5).

The family of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes catalyze the two rate-limiting step of prostaglandin biosynthesis from arachidonic acid (6). The arachidonic acid is released from the plasma membrane by phospholipase A2 and through COX activity it is converted to prostaglandin G_2 (PGG₂). Secondly, a peroxidase reaction performs the conversion of PGG₂ to prostaglandin H₂ (PGH₂). At this point, PGH₂ is an unstable endoperoxide that is converted by specific synthases to PGs of the E₂, D₂, F_{2a} series and also to prostacyclin (PGI₂) and thromboxane A₂ (TXA₂) (7).

Overexpression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) has been

detected in the most frequent tumours such as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (8-10). COX-2 expression has a crucial role in complex process such as angiogenesis, invasion and immune suppression. It is also found to be associated with an increased production of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) that plays a role in the carcinogenesis of NSCLC. Selective COX-2 inhibitors have shown inhibition of cell proliferation in NSCLC cell lines and in xenograft models, but also enhancement of antitumor activity when combined with conventional anticancer agents *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

The selective COX-2 inhibitors (with high specificity) act competitively on the activating domain of COX-2 and in the last decades have been a constant focus of clinical research.

Two decades ago, it was first documented that 70% to 90% of lung adenocarcinoma and around 70% of atypical adenomatous hyperplasias (premalignant lesions) exhibited high expression of COX-2 by immunohistochemistry (IHC) (8-10).

Thereafter, a significant relationship (P=0.034) between overexpression of COX-2 and shortened survival in a cohort of resected lung adenocarcinoma patients with stage I disease was reported (11). COX-2 mRNA expression was subsequently evaluated in a larger retrospective cohort of 160 patients with resected early stage NSCLC (12). The strength of COX-2 expression (strongly positive, intermediately positive, weakly positive and negative) was associated with worse overall survival rate.

A meta-analysis including nineteen heterogeneous

and retrospective trials (of a total of 2,651 patients with NSCLC) was published highlighting that COX-2 overexpression was associated with poor survival (HR 1.86; 95% CI: 1.58–2.20, P=0.017 for heterogeneity) (13).

With this hopeful background, using the COX enzymatic family as the cornerstone, COX-2 inhibitors were evaluated in clinical trials, as promising target agents in the advanced setting of NSCLC.

The factorial phase III randomized GECO trial for advanced NSCLC in first-line treatment was aimed to assess the addition of rofecoxib to standard treatment (cisplatin plus gemcitabine); but unfortunately, rofecoxib did not prolong overall survival (14). At the same time, the data of the phase II GALGB trial 30203 in advanced NSCLC to test celecoxib and zileuton added to first line treatment were published (15). Although the study failed to demonstrate differences on survival, a prospectively predefined subset analysis suggested an advantage of celecoxib plus chemotherapy for those patients with moderate to high COX-2 expression by IHC. Other two randomized phase III trials were published using COX-2 inhibitors used in combination with first line chemotherapy (16,17). In the framework of the two studies, a survival benefit as primary endpoint was not met. Furthermore, in the NVALT-4 study and in spite of not being a study selected only for population with high COX-2 expression, subset biomarker study did not demonstrate increased survival in those patients with increased COX-2 expression treated with celecoxib (16).

In the article accompanying this editorial, Edelman et al. reported the data of a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind phase III CALGB 30801 trial of celecoxib in addition to first line standard chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC and prospectively selected with moderate to high COX-2 expression by IHC (18). On the basis of data from the CALGB 30203 phase II study conducted by the same investigators indicating possible use of COX-2 overexpression in patients with advanced NSCLC as a possible prognostic or predictive biomarker of response for treatment with COX-2 inhibitors, the prospective confirmatory phase III trial CALGB 30801 was designed. In this trial, 529 patients registered were screened for COX-2 expression (with the same IHC index method previously used, defined as the product of the intensity and percentage of cells staining) and with a COX-2 index ≥ 2 percentage of 80% (422 patients); although only 312 patients with COX-2 overexpression were randomized to chemotherapy plus celecoxib 400 mg twice per day or chemotherapy plus placebo. Unfortunately, the study was halted due to futility;

there were no significant differences between both groups (HR 1.046 for COX-2 \geq 4). The study failed to demonstrate an improvement in survival with the addition of celecoxib in patients with advanced NSCLC selected for COX-2 overexpression by IHC.

In that sense, it is worth highlighting the data of metaanalysis of nine randomized clinical trials (comprising 1,679 patients) to assess the effect of COX-2 inhibitors for patients with NSCLC (19). Meta-analysis concluded that COX-2 inhibitors had no impact on survival in advanced NSCLC setting,

Another question that needs to be answered is how do COX-2 inhibitors remain in lung cancer? It is perhaps at COX-2 inhibitors are misplaced and we have a good preliminary preclinical data to relocate them in another scenario. COX-2 overexpression is associated with an increased production of PGE, that plays a role in the carcinogenesis of lung cancer and overexpression of COX-2 are detected in about seventy percent of atypical adenomatous hyperplasias who play an important bridging role as precancerous lesions. COX-2 and its derivatives PGE₂, TXA₂ and PGI₂ have well-known roles in cancer but also they are associated with cigarette smoking (20). Approximate 80% of lung cancers are attributed to cigarette smoking and smokers tended to have more COX-2 expression than non-smokers. Cigarette smoke exposure (tobacco carcinogens as nicotine and NNK) can induce COX-2 expression and lead to PGE₂ release from alveolar macrophages and lung dendritic cells. Additionally, carcinogen products stimulating the production of PGE₂ may facilitate the pro-inflammatory environment, a suitable scenario for the development of lung tumours.

If we take this fact and associate it with the Winglesstype protein (Wnt) signaling pathway through β -catenin that may play a role in maintaining cancer stem cells population and there is evidence that this Wnt pathway is important in the development of lung cancer. Wnt pathway could be important in lung cancer tumorigenesis and prognosis. β -catenin was expressed in more than ninety percent of resected squamous-NSCLC samples and in fifty percent of non-squamous NSCLC samples (21). Besides, in cultured respiratory epithelium, tobacco carcinogens upregulated Wnt signaling (22). Wnt pathway is complex and there could be possible links with other pathways. There are preclinical data that sulindac (NSAID) suppressed β -catenin expression in lung cancer cells, downregulated transcriptional targets of β-catenin and inhibited proliferation (23). There is growing evidence

Translational Lung Cancer Research, Vol 7, Suppl 3 September 2018

to support that induction of apoptosis contributes to the anti-neoplastic activity of celecoxib, as it induces apoptosis independently from its COX-2 inhibitory action via a mitochondrial apoptosis pathway.

Due to the strong molecular basis to support the role of COX-2 inhibition in NSCLC, we believe that further efforts should be made focusing the approach on a less advanced stage of the disease. The potential role of celecoxib as a preventive agent in high-risk population for lung cancer (high tobacco exposure, radon exposure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, familiar history) should be analyzed and evaluated in randomized clinical trials.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Balkwill F, Mantovani A. Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? Lancet 2001;357:539-45.
- 2. Coussens LM, Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. Nature 2002;420:860-7.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011;144:646-74.
- Colotta F, Allavena P, Sica A, et al. Cancer-related inflammation, the seventh hallmark of cancer: links to genetic instability. Carcinogenesis 2009;30:1073-81.
- 5. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, et al. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature 2008;454:436-44.
- 6. Chandrasekharan NV, Simmons DL. The cyclooxygenases. Genome Biology 2004;5:241.
- Sobolewski C, Cerella C, Dicato M, et al. The role of cyclooxygenase-2 in cell proliferation and cell death in human malignancies. Int J Cell Biol 2010;2010:215158.
- Hida T, Yatabe Y, Achiwa H, et al. Increased expression of cyclooxygenase 2 occurs frequently in human lung cancers, specifically in adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res 1998;58:3761-4.
- Wolff H, Saukkonen K, Anttila S, et al. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human lung carcinoma. Cancer Res 1998;58:4997-5001.

- Hosomi Y, Yokose T, Hirose Y, et al. Increased cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) expression occurs frequently in precursor lesions of human adenocarcinoma of the lung. Lung Cancer 2000;30:73-81.
- 11. Achiwa H, Yatabe Y, Hida T, et al. Clin Cancer Res 1999;5:1001-5.
- Khuri FR, Wu H, Lee JJ, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression is a marker of poor prognosis in stage I Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2001;7:861-7.
- 13. Jiang H, Wang J, Zhao W. Cox-2 in non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta 2013;419:26-32.
- 14. Gridelli C, Gallo C, Ceribelli A, et al. Factorial phase III randomised trial of rofecoxib and prolonged constant infusion of gemcitabine in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the GEmcitabine-COxib in NSCLC (GECO) study. Lancet Oncol 2007;8:500-12.
- Edelman MJ, Watson D, Wang X, et al. Eicosanoid modulation in advanced lung cancer: cyclooxygenase-2 expression is a positive predictive factor for celecoxib + chemotherapy - Cancer and Leukemia Group B Trial 30203. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:848-55.
- Groen HJ, Sietsma H, Vincent A, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled phase III study of docetaxel plus carboplatin with celecoxib and cyclooxygenase-2 expression as a biomarker for patients with advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer: the NVALT-4 study. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:4320-6.
- Koch A, Bergman B, Holmberg E, et al. Effect of celecoxib on survival in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a double blind randomised clinical phase III trial (CYCLUS study) by the Swedish Lung Cancer Study Group. Eur J Cancer 2011;47:1546-55.
- Edelman MJ, Wang X, Hodgson L, et al. Phase III randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial of celecoxib in addition to standard chemotherapy for advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer with cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression: CALGB 30801 (Alliance). J Clin Oncol 2017;35:2184-92.
- Zhou YY, Hu ZG, Zeng FJ, et al. Clinical profile of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors in treating Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: a meta-analysis of nine randomized clinical trials. PLoS One 2016;11:e0151939.
- Huang RY, Chen GG. Cigarette smoking, cyclooxygenase-2 pathway and cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 2011;1815:158-69.
- 21. Kren L, Hermanova M, Goncharuk VN, et al. Downregulation of plasma membrane expression/

Martinez-Marti et al. COX-2 inhibitors in NSCLC

cytoplasmic accumulation of beta-catenin predicts shortened survival in non-small cell lung cancer. A clinicopathologic study of 100 cases. Cesk Patol 2003;39:17-20.

22. Lemjabbar-Alaoui H, Dasari V, Sidhu SS, et al. Wnt

Cite this article as: Martinez-Marti A, Navarro A, Felip E. COX-2 inhibitors in NSCLC: never-ending story or misplaced? Transl Lung Cancer Res 2018;7(Suppl 3):S191-S194. doi: 10.21037/tlcr.2018.04.17 and Hedgehog are critical mediators of cigarette smokeinduced lung cancer. PloS One 2006;1:e93.

23. Han A, Song Z, Tong C, et al. Sulindac suppresses betacatenin expression in human cancer cells. Eur J Pharmacol 2008;583:26-31.