



Swallowing dysfunction in patients with esophageal atresia-tracheoesophageal fistula: infancy to adulthood

Tutku Soyer

Department of Pediatric Surgery, Hacettepe University, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence to: Tutku Soyer, MD. Department of Pediatric Surgery, Hacettepe University, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey.

Email: soyer.tutku@gmail.com.

Comment on: Gibreel W, Zandalajas B, Antiel RM, *et al.* Swallowing dysfunction and quality of life in adults with surgically corrected esophageal atresia/tracheoesophageal fistula as infants. *Ann Surg* 2017;266:305-10.

Received: 10 September 2017; Accepted: 27 September 2017; Published: 28 September 2017.

doi: 10.21037/shc.2017.09.09

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/shc.2017.09.09>

Introduction

Esophageal dysfunction is a common problem in children with repaired esophageal atresia-tracheoesophageal fistula (EA-TEF) and considered as a long-term sequel of the cases. Impaired esophageal motility in EA survivors is multifactorial and is attributed to primary abnormality of esophageal innervation and vagal nerve damage during esophageal repair (1). Dysphagia, regurgitation, aspiration and chronic respiratory tract infections are considered as clinical findings of esophageal dysmotility (2). In addition to esophageal motility problems, 28% of patients with EA-TEF had oropharyngeal impairment causing swallowing dysfunction (SD) (2). Due to lack of objective criteria there is a wide variation in prevalence of SD in children with EA-TEF. Gibreel *et al.* reported that 82% of cases show some degree of SD in adulthood and most of the cases had mild symptoms that not affect the food choices of patients (3). Another study evaluating the dysphagia in adult EA survivors report dysphagia with a prevalence of 57% by using a symptom score test (4). Dysphagia is the most common symptom in patients with EA of all ages and incidence is varying depending on the definition. It has been shown that incidence is lower in infants than children and adults (5). Also, diagnostic methods that used to evaluate the dysphagia are responsible from the varying data. In this review, definition, diagnostic methods and clinical course of SD in patients with EA-TEF will be summarized from infancy to adulthood.

Definition of SD and dysphagia

Dysphagia is defined as swallowing disorder caused by sensory-motor dysfunctions or structural pathology of oral, pharyngeal and/or esophageal phases of bolus transport to the stomach (5). Gibreel *et al.* suggest that dysphagia mainly focus on difficulty of swallowing solid food and the term SD includes difficulty swallowing to all food consistencies (3). In the letter definition, difficulty of thin or thick liquids may also assessed as SD.

Incidence

Feeding difficulties are common in children with EA and they are more likely to eat slowly, refuse meals, cough and choke during eating and vomit with meals. Chetcuti *et al.* noted that these difficulties lessen with age and only 10% of them report these symptoms after age 15 (6). The incidence of dysphagia varies 15–52% in children (5) and 57% of adults with repaired EA complaint about dysphagia (4). A systematic meta-analysis by Conner *et al.* found that overall incidence of dysphagia is 50.3% (7).

Clinical findings of SD

Children present with SD show wide spectrum of clinical findings including gagging, vomiting and food refusal. Different clinical findings can be seen in different phases of deglutition (*Table 1*). By the age, most of the patients

Table 1 Different clinical findings according to the effected phases of deglutition

| Phases | Disorders |
|-------------------|---|
| Feeding behaviour | Feeding refusal, long mealtimes, late introduction to solid foods |
| Oral preparatory | Oral hypersensitivity, rumination |
| Oral | Oral hypersensitivity, oral motor impairment |
| Pharyngeal | Dyphagia, choking, gagging, coughing, aspiration |
| Esophageal | Dysphagia, regurgitation, dysmotility, bolus impaction, reduced peristalsis |

adapted their eating habits by eating slowly, longer chewing on solid foods, drinking after having swallowed solid foods and avoiding dry and hard solids. Therefore, feeding difficulties show different clinical courses and causes variety of symptoms in different age periods.

Mechanisms of SD

Esophageal dysmotility is the main cause of SD in children with EA. The primary motility disorder relates either with the development of esophageal muscle or to the innervation of the esophagus (8). Neuronal abnormalities including both intrinsic and extrinsic neuronal defects are seen. Also, interstitial cells of Cajal cell counts was reduced in esophageal examinations in EA (9). Esophageal dysmotility is also secondary to surgery and gastroesophageal reflux. Extensive mobilization of esophageal pouches may cause myoneuronal damage and worsen esophageal motility (10). Esophageal motility studies show that postoperative motor functions were disturbed when compared with preoperative studies. Thus, the mechanism of SD is multifactorial and dysphagia is an ongoing problem in patients with EA with special consequences in different periods of life.

SD related respiratory problems

Respiratory problems are reported in 47% of children with EA (11). Structural anomalies of esophagus and trachea may also lead to aspiration and chronic respiratory complications (12). Tracheomalacia, gastroesophageal reflux and vocal cord paralysis are common structural problems in which tracheomalacia tends to be decrease with age and is not related with lower tract respiratory infections and

chronic respiratory airway disease in adulthood (13). In addition to esophageal motility problems, oropharyngeal dysphagia (OPD) was observed in 28% of the EA cases and aspiration was detected in 55% of the patients with OPD (2). In patients with OPD, penetration and aspiration were also detected with higher PAS scores in 15% of all cases. It has been demonstrated that delayed pharyngeal swallowing was an indicator of aspiration and dysphagia is considered as a risk factor for respiratory problems (14).

Although, there is no direct correlation between the type of atresia and pulmonary complications, patients causing airway problems with long gap atresia or tensioned anastomosis often have respiratory problems and dysphagia. In addition to structural anomalies, tensioned anastomosis or extensive mobilization of both pouches alter hyolaryngeal mobilization and cause aspiration (15). Aspiration during swallowing is associated with esophageal dysmotility and/or gastroesophageal reflux and may lead to reduced pulmonary functions and bronchiectasis (2). Early detection and management of aspiration and thus protection of airways is mandatory to prevent pulmonary complications.

Diagnosis of SD

The evaluation of SD can be performed by diagnostic tests and/or by symptom scoring questionnaires. Contrast swallowing esophagograms, esophageal manometry and videofluoroscopic evaluation of swallowing function are common diagnostic methods. Esophageal motor functions can be assessed by both radiological esophagograms and esophageal manometry. The conventional diagnostic tools do not correlate with the clinical symptoms, however, high-resolution manometry (HRM) in combined with impedance meter is highly relevant to detect symptom related motor dysfunctions. Lemonie *et al.* described three patterns of disturbed motor function in children using esophageal pressure topography (EPT) in HRM according to Chicago classification; aperistalsis (38%), pressurization (15%) and various types of distal contractions (47%) (16). In this study, esophageal peristalsis was affected in all children including asymptomatic patients. These observations suggest that motor dysfunction may not be related with the symptoms. Secondly, van Wijk *et al.* found normal peristalsis but absent distal esophageal propagation in 6 of 20 children (17). However, lower esophageal sphincter relaxation was complete in 84% of patients.

Videofluoroscopy (VFS) is a dynamic method investigating the oral, pharyngeal and esophageal phases of

Table 2 VFS scale

| VFS findings | Score |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Oral phase (0–3) | |
| Lip closure | |
| Tongue elevation | |
| Tongue retraction | |
| Oral retention | |
| Pharyngeal phase (0–3) | |
| Delay in deglutitive reflex | |
| Touch of root of tongue to pharynx | |
| Velopharyngeal closure | |
| Hyopharyngeal elevation | |
| Closure of airway | |
| Vallecular retention | |
| Pharyngeal retention | |
| Retention in pyriform sinus | |
| Aspiration | |
| Silent aspiration | |
| Esophageal phase (0–3) | |
| Opening of UES | |
| UES retention | |
| Esophageal back-flow | |
| Motility problem | |
| Esophageal retention | |
| LES dysfunction | |

Each parameter was marked with 0–3 points. Normal, within functional limits =0, mild =1, moderate =2, severe =3. Retentions points: no retention =0, minimal retention =1, moderate retention =2 and severe retention =3. UES, upper esophageal sphincter; LES, Lower esophageal sphincter.

deglutitive function in children. All phases of deglutition can be evaluated with different consistencies of food in this procedure. To compare the results, a scoring system can be used (Table 2) (2). During the exam, it is possible to evaluate the penetration and aspiration by a scale (Table 3) (18). Fiberoptic endoscopy evaluation of swallowing (FEES) test uses a transnasal flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy to visualize the pharynx and larynx while swallowing. It can be used to evaluate aspiration in children and adults. Not in the esophageal parameters, but in laryngeal penetration and

aspiration, it has highest specificity and positive predictive value when compared to VFS.

Finally, several symptom-scoring systems have been developed to evaluate the SD in children and adults. Dysphagia scoring (DS) system introduced by Dakkak *et al.* includes 9 item questions regarding with the difficulty in swallowing in different food consistencies (Table 4) (19). The total sum of DS was obtained by multiplying dysphagia frequency (presence of dysphagia =1, sometimes =1/2 and absent =0) with the row number. The patients with DS score 0 were considered as no dysphagia, between 1–44 were mild dysphagia, and more than 44 were severe dysphagia. The pediatric eating assessment tool-10 (pEAT-10) is a validated, self-administered, symptom-specific outcome tool that is commonly used in documenting initial symptom severity, monitoring treatment efficacy and to predict aspiration and aspiration risk in patients with dysphagia (20). It has been found that patients with EAT-10 scores higher than 10 had 2.2 times more risk of aspiration and scores higher than 3 is predictive for aspiration (Table 5) (21). Soyer *et al.* found that sensitivity and specificity of pEAT-10 to predict aspiration were 88% and 77% in children with EA (22). Gibreel *et al.* developed swallowing dysfunction questionnaire (SDQ) including swallowing total score and overall swallowing difficulty score for adult EA survivors (3). Functional oral intake score can be also used to define the ability of oral intake in patients (Table 6). Gatzinsky *et al.* also define SD in adults by use of DS (4). There results suggest that symptom-scoring systems are more common in the evaluation of SD in adults, whereas VFS and HRM were preferred in childhood.

Management of SD in EA

Management of SD includes reducing reflux and aspiration and to improve deglutitive skills to have full oral feeding. Thickened oral feeding reduce reflux into the oropharynx and prevent retching. Patients with OFD have more airway aspirations with liquids and safer swallowing can be seen with semi-solid foods. None of the studies have shown a difference between bolus versus continuous feeding to reduce the risk of aspiration. Other strategies such as changing feeding schedule or formula and transpyloric feeding can be used in patients with severe OFD (23). Conforti *et al.* recently defined the methods, which can be applied to children with insufficient airway closure with aspiration are nonnutritive stimulations (sham feeding and/or oral stimulations) (24). However, only oral sensory

Table 3 Penetration and aspiration scale

| Number | Scale | Definition |
|--------|-------------------------------|--|
| 1 | No penetration and aspiration | No contrast material in the airway |
| 2 | | Contrast material pass to airway, above the vocal cords, no contrast remnants |
| 3 | Penetration | Contrast material pass to airway, above the vocal cords, visible contrast remnants |
| 4 | | Contrast material pass to airway, at the level of vocal cords, no contrast remnants |
| 5 | | Contrast material pass to airway, at the level of vocal cords, visible contrast remnants |
| 6 | | Contrast material pass to airway, below the vocal cords, no contrast remnants |
| 7 | Aspiration | Contrast material pass to airway, below the vocal cords, in addition to response to aspiration visible contrast remnants |
| 8 | | Contrast material pass to airway, below the vocal cords, no response to aspiration |

Table 4 Dysphagia score system

| Food | Often (1 points) | Occasionally (1/2 points) | Never (0 points) | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------|
| Water | | | | 1x |
| Milk/soup | | | | 2x |
| Yogurt/fruit pure | | | | 3x |
| Jelly/jam | | | | 4x |
| Mashed potatoes or scrambled eggs | | | | 5x |
| Boiled vegetables or fish | | | | 6x |
| Bread | | | | 7x |
| Fresh fruits | | | | 8x |
| Meat | | | | 9x |

Table 5 Pediatric EAT-10 (0: no problem–4: severe problem)

| pEAT-10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| My swallowing problem has caused me to lose weight | | | | | |
| My swallowing problem interferes with my ability to go out for meals | | | | | |
| Swallowing liquids takes extra effort | | | | | |
| Swallowing solids takes extra effort | | | | | |
| Swallowing pills takes extra effort | | | | | |
| Swallowing is painful | | | | | |
| The pleasure of my eating is affected by my swallowing | | | | | |
| When I swallow food sticks to my throat. | | | | | |
| I cough when I eat | | | | | |
| Swallowing is stressful | | | | | |

EAT-10, eating assessment tool-10.

Table 6 Parameters of FOIS

| Number | Definition |
|--------|---|
| 1 | No oral intake |
| 2 | Tube dependence with minimal/inconsistent oral intake |
| 3 | Tube supplements with consistent oral intake |
| 4 | Total intake of a single consistency |
| 5 | Total oral intake of multiple consistencies requiring special preparation |
| 6 | Total oral intake with no special preparation, but must avoid specific foods and liquid items |
| 7 | Total oral intake with no restrictions |

FOIS, functional oral intake scale.

stimulation techniques are not sufficient to improve pharyngeal phase problems, which cause aspiration and related respiratory symptoms. Arslan *et al.* developed a swallowing rehabilitation protocol (SRP) in patients with EA (25). SRP includes neuromuscular electrical stimulation for 30 minutes to activate anterior neck muscles, thermal tactile application for 15 min to trigger swallowing reflex and hyolaryngeal mobilization for 15 min to support hyolaryngeal elevation. After 20 sessions of SRP, patients with EA-TEF showed improvement in penetration and aspiration scores, liquid and pudding aspiration, oral phase dysfunction, delay in swallowing reflex, and residue in vallecula and pyriform sinuses after pudding swallow. Therefore, SRP has been recommended in children with OFD in the early period.

Infancy to adulthood

SD is a common problem in children with EA and persists in some of the patients in adult ages. Limited studies have been performed about SD in adult EA survivors. As the patients become adolescents and young adults they overcome SD by adopting their feeding habits such as longer chewing, swallowing with liquids and longer meal times. Therefore, it is not possible to suggest that SD resolves with age. Despite better results, Gibreel *et al.* and Gatzinsky *et al.* report poor quality of life score in these patients when they are become adults. In adult studies, SD is usually evaluated by QOL questionnaires and dysphagia score systems. The incidence of SD, evaluated by symptom scores is higher in adults when compared to children. However, there is no objective data about the motility

problems that correlating with the symptoms in adults. In conclusion, SD is a life-long consequence of EA/TEF that requires detailed diagnostic evaluation with limited therapeutic options.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Shanghai Chest*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/shc.2017.09.09>). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Romeo G, Zuccarello B, Proietto F, et al. Disorders of the esophageal motor activity in atresia of the esophagus. *J Pediatr Surg* 1987;22:120-4.
2. Yalcin S, Demir N, Serel S, et al. The evaluation of deglutition with videofluoroscopy after repair of esophageal atresia and/or tracheoesophageal fistula. *J Pediatr Surg* 2015;50:1823-7.
3. Gibreel W, Zandalajas B, Antiel RM, et al. Swallowing dysfunction and quality of life in adults with surgically corrected esophageal atresia/tracheoesophageal fistula as infants. *Ann Surg* 2017;266:305-10.

4. Gatzinsky V, Jöhnsson L, Johansson C, et al. Dysphagia in adults operated on for esophageal atresia- Use of symptom score to evaluate correlated factors. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2011;21:94-8.
5. Rayyan M, Allegrat K, Omari T, et al. Dysphagia in children with esophageal atresia: Current diagnostic options. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2015;25:326-32.
6. Chetcuti P, Phelan PD. Gastrointestinal morbidity and growth after repair of esophageal atresia and trachea-esophageal fistula. *Arch Dis Child* 1993;68:163-6.
7. Connor MJ, Springford LR, Kapetanakis VV, et al. Esophageal atresia and transitional care-step 1: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to define the prevalence of chronic long term problems. *Am J Surg* 2015;209:747-59.
8. Aspirot A, Faure C. Esophageal dysmotility: characterization and pathophysiology. *Dis Esophagus* 2013;26:405-9.
9. Midrio P, Allagro R, Strojna A, et al. Reduction of interstitial cells of Cajal in esophageal atresia. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2010;51:610-7.
10. Davies MR. Anatomy of the extrinsic motor nerve supply to mobilized segments of esophagus disrupted by dissection during repair of esophageal atresia with distal fistula. *Br J Surg* 1996;83:1268-70.
11. Kovesi T, Rubin S. Long-term complications of congenital esophageal atresia and/or tracheoesophageal fistula. *Chest* 2004;126:915-25.
12. Kovesi T. Long-term complications of congenital esophageal atresia with or without tracheoesophageal fistula: an update. *Dis Esophagus* 2013;26:413-6.
13. Banjar H. Tracheomalacia following repair of esophageal atresia and tracheoesophageal fistula. *Curr Pediatr Res* 2006;10:13-8.
14. Han H, Shin G, Jun A, et al. The relation between the presence of aspiration or penetration and the clinical indicators of dysphagia in post stroke survivors. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2016;40:88-94.
15. Demir N, Arslan SS, Yalcin S, et al. Alterations of hyolaryngeal elevation after esophageal anastomosis: A possible way of aspiration. *J Pediatr Surg* 2017;17:30212-9.
16. Lemoine C, Aspirot A, Le Henaff G, et al. Characterization of esophageal motility following esophageal atresia repair using high-resolution manometry. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2013;56:609-14.
17. van Wijk M, Knüppe F, Omari T, et al. Evaluation of gastroesophageal function and mechanisms underlying gastroesophageal reflux in infants and adults born with esophageal atresia. *J Pediatr Surg* 2013;48:2496-505.
18. Robbins J, Coyle J, Rosenbeck J, et al. Differentiation of normal and abnormal airway protection during swallowing using the penetration-aspiration scale. *Dysphagia* 1999;14:228-32.
19. Dakkak M, Bennett JR. A new dysphagia score with objective validation. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1992;14:99-100.
20. Demir N, Serel S, Sorgun G, et al. The Pediatric Eating Assessment Tool (PEDI-EAT-10). *Dysphagia* 2016;31:263.
21. Belafsky PC, Mouadeb DA, Rees CJ, et al. Validity and reliability of the Eating Assessment Tool (EAT-10). *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2008;117:919-24.
22. Soyer T, Yalcin S, Arslan SS, et al. Pediatric Eating Assessment Tool as an indicator of aspiration in children with esophageal atresia. *J Pediatr Surg* 2017. [Epub ahead of print].
23. Mahoney L, Rosen R. Feeding difficulties in children with esophageal atresia. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2016;19:21-7.
24. Conforti A, Valfre L, Falbo M, et al. Feeding and swallowing disorders in esophageal atresia patients: A review of a critical issue. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2015;25:318-25.
25. Serel Arslan S, Soyer T, Demir N, Karaduman A, et al. Effect of swallowing rehabilitation protocol on swallowing function in patients with esophageal atresia and/or tracheoesophageal fistula. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2017. [Epub ahead of print].

doi: 10.21037/shc.2017.09.09

Cite this article as: Soyer T. Swallowing dysfunction in patients with esophageal atresia-tracheoesophageal fistula: infancy to adulthood. *Shanghai Chest* 2017;1:42.