



Does oncogenic mutation status influence tumor spread in resectable lung cancer?

Tetsuya Mizuno, Yukinori Sakao

Division of Thoracic Surgery, Aichi Cancer Center Hospital, Nagoya, Japan

Correspondence to: Yukinori Sakao, MD, PhD. Division of Thoracic Surgery, Aichi Cancer Center Hospital, Kanokoden1-1, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8681, Japan. Email: ysakao@aichi-cc.jp.

Comment on: Guerrero I, Renshaw MW, Tabbó F, *et al.* Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations are linked to skip N2 lymph node metastasis in resected non-small-cell lung cancer adenocarcinomas. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2017;51:680-8.

Received: 31 May 2017; Accepted: 26 June 2017; Published: 19 July 2017.

doi: 10.21037/vats.2017.06.03

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/vats.2017.06.03>

Skip N2 metastasis of lung cancer is known to involve the mediastinum, but not the hilar lymph nodes. This phenomenon itself is not especially rare, as the incidence in resected pN2 disease is reported to range from 17.6% to 40.4% (Table 1) (1-13). Prognosis after resection is favorable for skip N2 cases compared with that of pN2 cases accompanied with hilar disease. Based on data from the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, Asamura *et al.* (15) documented no significant survival differences between the skip N2 phenotype and multistation pN1 disease, and thus recommend that physicians record N categories using new descriptors for further testing. With regard to factors predictive of skip N2 metastasis, several authors suggested that the histological type and tumor-containing lobe are predictive of skip pN2. An investigation on resected non-small-cell lung cancer cases conducted by Misthos *et al.* (3) reported that skip N2 occurred more frequently in squamous cell carcinoma than in adenocarcinoma. Li *et al.* (13) documented that acinar adenocarcinoma was more frequently associated with skip N2 metastasis in pN2 cases. In terms of the tumor-containing lobe, Riquet *et al.* (5) actually revealed a higher incidence of skip N2 metastasis involving tumors of the upper lobe and suggested potential contributions of lymph drainage patterns and visceral pleural invasion (VPI) to the spread patterns. In a study of 422 cases of cStage IA adenocarcinoma, Gorai *et al.* (12) identified 21 instances of skip N2 in 52 pN2 cases and found a significant association between skip pN2 and VPI and concluded that lymph node dissection was essential in cN0 VPI cases.

Over the past decade, the impact of oncogenic

mutational status, including the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) gene, has been emphasized. Specifically, the presence of *EGFR*-sensitive mutations predicts favorable responses and survival outcomes of advanced lung cancer after the administration of *EGFR*-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), which is currently the standard first-line treatment for genetically selected cases. However, the biological nature of spread patterns and the significance of the genotype remain unclear, especially in resected cases.

In a recent study of 177 pN2 adenocarcinoma cases, which included 45 cases with the skip N2 phenotype, Li *et al.* (13) found no significant impact of molecular alterations on lymph metastatic patterns in this cohort. On the other hand, the results of the present study on 279 cases of pN2 adenocarcinoma, which included 22 limited resection cases in which there was some concern regarding the diagnostic accuracy of skip N2, found a higher frequency of skip N2 in *EGFR*-mutated tumors than that of the non-skip N2 phenotype of pN2 adenocarcinoma (14). As described, although correlations between genetic status and tumor spread patterns remain poorly understood and controversial, the findings of this study provide a novel biological aspect of lung adenocarcinoma. Furthermore, the associations among variables, including the tumor-containing lobe, VPI, and *EGFR* status, remain a matter of interest. It is possible that *EGFR*-mutated tumors may develop in locations that favor skipping spread, such as the peripheral lung parenchyma, which may be correlated with VPI.

In spite of the small number of resected cases, it is assumed that oncogenic mutation status was not investigated

Table 1 Summary of the reports about skip pN2

Author	Year	Study cohort	pN2	Skip pN2	%	Skip pN2 associated factors
Prenzel <i>et al.</i> (1)	2003	pN2	45	17	37.8	None
Kotoulas <i>et al.</i> (2)	2004	cN0	138	27	19.6	NA
Misthos <i>et al.</i> (3)	2004	IIIA/pN2	151	44	29.1	Right side tumor, SQ histology, MDL
Okada <i>et al.</i> (4)	2005	NS	87	19	21.8	NA
Riquet <i>et al.</i> (5)	2005	pN2	731	209	28.6	Upper lobe tumor, single station
Benoit <i>et al.</i> (6)	2006	pN2	142	42	29.6	NA
Ilic <i>et al.</i> (7)	2007	NS	85	21	24.7	SQ histology
Ito <i>et al.</i> (8)	2012	pN2	40	13	32.5	NA
Li <i>et al.</i> (9)	2012	pN2	256	44	17.2	Tumor size
Saeteng <i>et al.</i> (10)	2012	NS	50	18	36.0	NA
Shimada <i>et al.</i> (11)	2012	pN2	207	55	26.6	NA
Gorai <i>et al.</i> (12)	2015	cIA	52	21	40.4	VPI
Li <i>et al.</i> (13)	2015	pN2 AD	177	45	25.4	Lymphatic invasion, histological subtype, tumor differentiation
Guerrera <i>et al.</i> (14)	2017	pN2 AD	279	54	19.4	Non-smoker, limited resection No adjuvant therapy, EGFR mutation

NS, non-small cell lung cancer; AD, adenocarcinoma; NA, not available; SQ, squamous cell carcinoma; MDL, mediastinal lymph node dissection; VPI, visceral pleural invasion.

before surgical resection. Accordingly, the usefulness of this knowledge is limited to planning a strategy before treatment by subclassification of the cohort based on clinical stage, which may be of more clinical use. However, partial elucidation of the biological nature of resectable lung adenocarcinoma harboring EGFR mutations could overcome such limitations.

In both overall survival (OS) and time to recurrence (TTR) analyses, the difference between skip N2 and non-skip N2 was not evident by the Kaplan-Meier method, whereas skip N2 status was identified as an independent prognostic factor by Cox proportional hazard model analysis. According to the TTR curve, the majority of pN2 cases experienced recurrence after resection. The impact of post-recurrence treatment, including the use of TKIs, on OS was also of interest in their cohort.

At present, no initial or adjuvant treatment strategies for surgery cases have been developed based on oncogenic status. The results of the RADIANT trial, which compared adjuvant erlotinib and a placebo, found that the period of disease-free survival was longer in the EGFR mutation-positive subgroup treated with adjuvant erlotinib; however,

this result was not statistically significant (16). The results of ongoing randomized trials in Japan (IMPACT; WJOG6401L), China (ADJUVANT; CTONG 1104), and the United States (ALCHEMIST trials) have yet to be reported (17).

The results of this study show that oncogenic status strongly affects both choices of treatment strategies and outcomes of advanced disease, but not resectable cases. Hence, further studies on this issue are expected to provide useful information regarding multimodal treatment, including surgery, and follow-up after resection.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Dr. Pu Qiang (Department of Thoracic Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China).

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/vats.2017.06.03>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Prenzel KL, Mönig SP, Sinning JM, et al. Role of skip metastasis to mediastinal lymph nodes in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2003;82:256-60.
2. Kotoulas CS, Foroulis CN, Kostikas K, et al. Involvement of lymphatic metastatic spread in non-small cell lung cancer accordingly to the primary cancer location. *Lung Cancer* 2004;44:183-91.
3. Misthos P, Sepsas E, Athanassiadi K, et al. Skip metastases: analysis of their clinical significance and prognosis in the IIIA stage of non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2004;25:502-8.
4. Okada M, Sakamoto T, Yuki T, et al. Border between N1 and N2 stations in lung carcinoma: lessons from lymph node metastatic patterns of lower lobe tumors. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;129:825-30.
5. Riquet M, Assouad J, Bagan P, et al. Skip mediastinal lymph node metastasis and lung cancer: a particular N2 subgroup with a better prognosis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005;79:225-33.
6. Benoit L, Anusca A, Ortega-Deballon P, et al. Analysis of risk factors for skip lymphatic metastasis and their prognostic value in operated N2 non-small-cell lung carcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2006;32:583-7.
7. Ilic N, Petricevic A, Arar D, et al. Skip mediastinal nodal metastases in the IIIa/N2 non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2007;2:1018-21.
8. Ito M, Yamashita Y, Miyata Y, et al. Prognostic impact of the primary tumor location based on the hilar structures in non-small cell lung cancer with mediastinal lymph node metastasis. *Lung Cancer* 2012;76:93-7.
9. Li GL, Zhu Y, Zheng W, et al. Analysis of factors influencing skip lymphatic metastasis in pN(2) non-small cell lung cancer. *Chin J Cancer Res* 2012;24:340-5.
10. Saeteng S, Tantraworasin A, Euathrongchit J, et al. Nodal involvement pattern in resectable lung cancer according to tumor location. *Cancer Manag Res* 2012;4:151-8.
11. Shimada Y, Saji H, Kakihana M, et al. Retrospective analysis of nodal spread patterns according to tumor location in pathological N2 non-small cell lung cancer. *World J Surg* 2012;36:2865-71.
12. Gorai A, Sakao Y, Kuroda H, et al. The clinicopathological features associated with skip N2 metastases in patients with clinical stage IA non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2015;47:653-8.
13. Li H, Hu H, Wang R, et al. Lung adenocarcinoma: Are skip N2 metastases different from non-skip? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2015;150:790-5.
14. Guerrero F, Renaud S, Tabbó F, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations are linked to skip N2 lymph node metastasis in resected non-small-cell lung cancer adenocarcinomas. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2017;51:680-8.
15. Asamura H, Chansky K, Crowley J, et al. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for the Revision of the N Descriptors in the Forthcoming 8th Edition of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2015;10:1675-84.
16. Kelly K, Altorki NK, Eberhardt WE, et al. Adjuvant Erlotinib Versus Placebo in Patients With Stage IB-IIIa Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (RADIANT): A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:4007-14.
17. Govindan R, Mandrekar SJ, Gerber DE, et al. ALCHEMIST Trials: A Golden Opportunity to Transform Outcomes in Early-Stage Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2015;21:5439-44.

doi: 10.21037/vats.2017.06.03

Cite this article as: Mizuno T, Sakao Y. Does oncogenic mutation status influence tumor spread in resectable lung cancer? *Video-assist Thorac Surg* 2017;2:41.