

# Blood tubes should be labeled before drawing blood

# Giuseppe Lippi<sup>1,2</sup>, Mario Plebani<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IFCC Working Group on laboratory errors and patient safety (WG-LEPS); <sup>2</sup>Section of Clinical Biochemistry, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

Correspondence to: Prof. Giuseppe Lippi. Section of Clinical Biochemistry, University Hospital of Verona, Piazzale LA Scuro, Verona 37100, Italy. Email: giuseppe.lippi@univr.it.

Received: 13 November 2017; Accepted: 24 November 2017; Published: 25 November 2017. doi: 10.21037/aob.2017.11.02 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aob.2017.11.02

Blood tubes labeling is an almost unavoidable procedure in clinical and laboratory practice. This preanalytical activity typically entails attaching to the primary blood tube an adhesive paper label, which contains demographic data, specific information about the tests that will be performed on that sample along with other potentially useful data. This information is typically conveyed within a printed barcode, which is then read by preanalytical or analytical workstations interfaced with the laboratory information system (LIS) (1). Along with the type of information that can be stored within the barcode, one of the most debated issues in laboratory medicine is whether blood tubes should be labeled before or after drawing blood. This is an import issue, since a survey from the College of American Pathologists (CAP) showed that the aggregate frequency of identification errors can be as high as 379 per 1 million billable tests, half of which caused by primary specimen labeling errors and approximately 6% of which may generate adverse events (2). Although there is little doubt that blood tubes labeling should always be performed in front of the patient, we are in support of labeling blood tubes before drawing blood, for a variety of reasons that will be discussed in the following parts of this article.

As a rule of thumb, the incidence of critical phlebotomy errors (especially those related to specimens mislabeling) can be considerably decreased by replacing human activities with automated specimen processors (3,4), i.e., devices capable to labeling blood test-tubes and other biological containers for clinical laboratory use. Regardless of this firm evidence, the available guidelines about blood tubes labeling provide rather controversial suggestions. The Italian Society of Clinical Biochemistry and Clinical Molecular Biology (SIBioC) strongly advises that blood tubes should be labeled before drawing blood (5), the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) document H3-A provides an opposite indication (6), whist the European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (EFLM) (7) and the World Health Organization (WHO) (8) actually acknowledge that both practices may be suitable provided that they are performed in front of the patient. Therefore, the lack of standardization in this important preanalytical step should be regarded as an important source of uncertainty and leaves space for an open debate on the best approach that shall be followed.

In our perspective, there are some pragmatic reasons supporting the practice of labeling blood tubes before drawing blood, and not afterwards. First, automatic tube labeling devices, which are effective for consistently abating identification errors, can only be used with empty tubes. Therefore, precluding the employment of this cuttingedge technology, which also carries many other practical advantages (i.e., reducing the overall time necessary for blood sampling, increasing the number of patients managed per hour, improving phlebotomy center organization and saving money due to less healthcare personnel needed in phlebotomy centers) (4), seems now unreasonable, especially in those centers that have already implemented these efficient systems. The use of a wrong container is also possible, when the type of different blood tubes that should be collected is not clearly indicated in the attached label. It can hence happen that phlebotomists will only realize that they have collected a wrong container once blood has been completely drawn and the needle has been removed from the vein. This will dramatically increase the risk of receiving specimens in the wrong container or crosscontaminated with blood from another tube (i.e., EDTA 
 Table 1 Potential issues in labeling tubes after blood collection

Preclusion of using automatic tube labeling devices

Higher risk of collecting unsuitable samples

Delayed labeling of tubes and application of labels on the wrong tubes

Mislabeling blood tubes from multiple patients in the same room

blood transferred into citrate blood tubes). The latter aspect is even worse than the former, because this inappropriate practice cannot be easily recognized by the laboratory. Sample management immediately after blood drawing is another useful information that can be conveyed by pre-labeled blood collection tubes. For example, the type of information written on the blood tube label may include the need for accurate mixing after collection (e.g., for all samples containing additives and anticoagulants), the order of draw to be followed by the phlebotomist, the minimal draw volume, along with the temperature of transportation (i.e., immediate refrigeration for measuring blood lactate or sample warming to 37 °C for cryoglobulinemia screening, respectively). The risk of receiving unsuitable samples can hence be enormously reduced when precise instructions about the type of container and its immediate management are reported in pre-labeled blood tubes. Then, the risks for patient care when blood tubes are labeled before drawing blood are much lower than afterwards, especially when labels only contain hand-written information on patient identity, as well as limited information on the tests requested.

The same criticism that can be raised for labeling blood tubes before drawing blood (i.e., the risk of using empty labeled tubes on the wrong patient) also applies to postcollection labeling, since the tubes may remain unlabeled for long after being collected, and then another healthcare operator may put wrong labels on them. This circumstance is not implausible, as demonstrated by Wallin et al. (9), who showed that the vast majority of phlebotomists (up to 78%) tend to label test tubes only after having left the patient. In another study, Söderberg et al. also showed that not every person working in primary healthcare centres personally labels test tubes, whilst this practice is frequently left to other colleagues, who do not even see the patient (10). Blood collections from multiple patients in the same room is another potential source of errors, since all blood tubes may be first collected, and patients' labels may then be

mismatched among tubes. It is an improbable occurrence, but one may argue that it is as unlikely as the risk of using empty pre-labeled tubes for collecting blood from the wrong patient.

Although there is no unquestionable evidence to support a recommendation that blood tubes should be labeled before or after venipuncture, we believe that labeling blood tubes before drawing blood should be considered a safer procedure than post-collection labeling, at least until active tubes (e.g., equipped with active chips or RFID tags) will become available (11), and will hence completely eliminate the need of labeling blood tubes (*Table 1*). In the meanwhile, there is a compelling need of well-designed randomized studies, aimed to clarify the real patient safety risk associated with pre- or post-labeling blood tubes, which should also be designed to consider technological advancements and related improvements in preanalytical procedures and workflows.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Funding: None.

# Footnote

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/aob.2017.11.02). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

## References

1. Lippi G, Chiozza L, Mattiuzzi C, et al. Patient and

## Annals of Blood, 2017

Sample Identification. Out of the Maze? J Med Biochem 2017;36:107-12.

- College of American Pathologists, Valenstein PN, Raab SS, et al. Identification errors involving clinical laboratories: a College of American Pathologists Q-Probes study of patient and specimen identification errors at 120 institutions. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2006;130:1106-13.
- Wagar EA, Tamashiro L, Yasin B, et al. Patient safety in the clinical laboratory: a longitudinal analysis of specimen identification errors. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2006;130:1662-8.
- 4. Piva E, Tosato F, Plebani M. Pre-analytical phase: The automated ProTube device supports quality assurance in the phlebotomy process. Clin Chim Acta 2015;451:287-91.
- Lippi G, Caputo M, Banfi G, et al. Recommendations for collection of venous blood. Biochim Clin 2008;32:569-77.
- Ernst DJ, Balance LO, Calam RR, et al, editors. Procedures for collection of diagnostic blood specimens by venipuncture; approved guideline, 6th ed. CLSI document H3-A6. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards

doi: 10.21037/aob.2017.11.02

**Cite this article as:** Lippi G, Plebani M. Blood tubes should be labeled before drawing blood. Ann Blood 2017;2:18.

Institute, 2007.

- van Dongen-Lases EC, Cornes MP, Grankvist K, et al. Patient identification and tube labelling - a call for harmonisation. Clin Chem Lab Med 2016;54:1141-5.
- World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Drawing Blood: Best Practices in Phlebotomy. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2010.
- Wallin O, Söderberg J, Van Guelpen B, et al. Preanalytical venous blood sampling practices demand improvement--a survey of test-request management, test-tube labelling and information search procedures. Clin Chim Acta 2008;391:91-7.
- Söderberg J, Brulin C, Grankvist K, et al. Preanalytical errors in primary healthcare: a questionnaire study of information search procedures, test request management and test tube labelling. Clin Chem Lab Med 2009;47:195-201.
- Lippi G, Cadamuro J. Novel Opportunities for Improving the Quality of Preanalytical Phase. A Glimpse to the Future? J Med Biochem 2017;36:293-300.