



Endoscopy reports: not all created equal

Medhat Fanous

Department of Surgery, Aspirus Iron River Hospital & Clinics, Iron River, MI, USA

Correspondence to: Medhat Fanous, MD, FACS. Department of Surgery, Aspirus Iron River Hospital & Clinics, 1400 W Ice Lake Road, Iron River, MI 49935, USA. Email: medhat.fanous@gmail.com.

Comment on: Mugino M, Little SC, Weerasinghe DP, *et al.* Lack of diagnostic efficacy of endoscopy for paraesophageal hiatus hernia (PEH). *Ann Laparosc Endosc Surg* 2022;7:21.

Received: 20 June 2022; Accepted: 27 July 2022; Published: 30 October 2022.

doi: 10.21037/ales-22-33

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales-22-33>

Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (UGE) is usually performed by gastrointestinal physicians or surgeons. The reports are usually for diagnostic purposes to guide medical management for conditions like gastritis, duodenitis or gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). The quality of the reports varies based on knowledge and experience.

UGE for planning purposes, such as preoperative evaluation of hiatal hernia, underscores the need for accurate formal assessment. The endoscopic antireflux modalities such as transoral incisionless fundoplication or Stretta are indicated for hiatal hernia of 2 cm or less in axial height. Lack of identifying a hiatal hernia or inaccurate measurement and reporting of its size may result in offering the wrong antireflux modality (1-3).

Endoscopic evaluation of paraesophageal hernia is usually straightforward yet, can be easily missed in inexperienced hands. The Hill's Grade and axial height of hiatal hernia, among others, are objective findings that should be included in every endoscopic report (4). The author of this article demonstrates the variability of reporting among different endoscopists. This highlights the need to pursue the conventional wisdom of the operating surgeon performing his own endoscopy. However, this approach may not always be feasible due to various logistical reasons. The best pragmatic approach is to train and educate endoscopists to follow a standardized reporting which is reproducible.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://ales.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/ales-22-33/coif>). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Kuribayashi S, Hosaka H, Nakamura F, et al. The role of endoscopy in the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *DEN open* 2021;2:e86.

2. Ihde GM. The evolution of TIF: transoral incisionless fundoplication. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2020;13:1756284820924206.
3. Noar M, Squires P, Noar E, et al. Long-term maintenance effect of radiofrequency energy delivery for refractory GERD: a decade later. *Surg Endosc* 2014;28:2323-33.
4. Hill LD, Kozarek RA, Kraemer SJ, et al. The gastroesophageal flap valve: in vitro and in vivo observations. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1996;44:541-7.

doi: 10.21037/ales-22-33

Cite this article as: Fanous M. Endoscopy reports: not all created equal. *Ann Laparosc Endosc Surg* 2022;7:32.