## Reviewer A

- 1. What is the percentage of reflux relief after sleeve conversion to bypass?
- a. A new line and reference have been added on page 8. "Resolution of GERD after conversion of SG to R YGB is reported to be as high as 85%. (29)".
- 2. More information about conversion of bypass to DS technical, one stage or two stages, length of the alimentary limb and the common channel.
- a. Thank you for this suggestion. Reference 39 had been added to briefly review one vs two stage conversion, however we respectfully feel the technical aspects are beyond the scope of this review and defer to other publications.

## Reviewer B

- 1. There should be at least 3 keywords (only 2 reported).
- a. Thank you for catching this error. We have added two additional keywords: bariatric revision and obesity
- 2. Along the manuscript, it should be more clearly identified whether the reported sentences come from the literature or are personal opinions of the authors. For instance: Page 5, lines 131-136: Please add the appropriate references. Page 7 Lines 181-186 please add references. Page 8 Lines 204-207 please add references.
- a. Page 5, lines 131-136: Reference 15 and 16 have been added
- b. Page 7 Lines 181-186: these are recommendations based on data and expert consensus panels, reference 25 and 26 have been cited to reflect this
- c. Page 8 Lines 204-207: Reference 33 has been added
- 3. Page 7 Lines 172-180: the authors cite two papers on promising results ofre-sleeve, then just concluded that a recent Delphi consensus recommended against re-sleeve on GERD. Why? Please explain more.
- a. This is a great question. This is likely because patients with GERD and weight recurrence would likely be better served by conversion to R YGB for concurrent management of GERD. A sentence has been added to the manuscript to provide this clarification.
- 4. Page 7 Lines 185 -Page 8 lines 195: the choice of the preferred surgical procedure should also depend on the complexity of the revisional procedure. It should be useful to provide also some data about the morbidity and mortality of the different options in addition to the results on weight loss. The same is for the paragraph on the conversion of gastric bypass, page 9 lines 223-225
- a. Thank you for this suggestion. Weight loss outcomes with regard to SG conversion were already addressed by reference 25 and 26. Additional text was added to review clinical outcomes including short and long-term outcomes. With regards to R YGB conversion to DS, weight loss

outcomes were addressed by reference 36-38. Additional text was added to review one versus two stage outcomes although data is very limited.

- 5. Page 4 line 101 "POWER) Task Force recently proposed implementing cancer terminology" eliminate the word "cancer"
- a. The reference to "cancer" terminology was a direct quote from the manuscript published from the POWER Task Force, however we have removed the term and substituted it with "new terminology".