

Peer Review File

Article Information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales-23-16>

Review comments

Reviewer A

Comment 1:

I think the paper is very well written, but I think the following points should be corrected.

The section "Overview of Robotic Colon Surgical Resection" is insufficient.

Please add more volume. Adding notes on each procedure, evidence, and case reports from other institutions would be helpful.

The overall number of citations is low because this article is like a review. Please cite at least 10 more papers.

Response: We thank the reviewer and editors for your comments, but we agree to keep this as an editorial comment. We added more information in the "Overview" section.

Reviewer B

Comment 1:

This editorial commentary provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of robotic platforms for colon cancer resections, outlining both the advantages and disadvantages of the technology. The author does an excellent job of presenting a balanced view and including a wide range of relevant studies and data to support the points made.

Considering the editorial nature of this commentary, the structure and organization are understandably more relaxed than in a traditional research article. Also, the information the authors provide is quite limited. If the authors decided not to perform an extensive literature review, it would be helpful to add the authors' own experience at MSKCC, which is a very prestigious institution, and all want to know the evidence from that organization, using previously published articles regarding robotic colon cancer surgery.

Response 1: We agree with the reviewer. Changes were made in text to explain our own point of view.

Comment 2:

The conclusion is good but too general, which everybody knows now. If the authors add their wisdom to this, it would be better for readers.

Response 2: We thank the reviewer for this comment. Text has been improved to address this issue.

Comment 3:

Overall, this editorial commentary offers valuable insights into the benefits and limitations of robotic platforms for colon cancer surgery. With some revisions to improve clarity and readability, it has the potential to be an informative and engaging piece for the target audience.

Response 3: We thank the reviewer for this comment. Text has been improved to address this issue.

Reviewer C

Commentary 1:

The table of the short outcome (surgical outcome) is very useful information for colorectal surgeons. Could you make the reviewed table of past studies?

Response 1: We agree with the reviewer about the use of a table for short outcomes, but we will maintain the present article in the format of an editorial commentary, limiting the space for more tables.

Commentary 2:

Disadvantages of Robotic Platforms for Colon Cancer Resections part
The author mentioned that the operation times of robotic surgery are longer than laparoscopic surgery. please discuss and describe this point from the past reports.

Response 2: We thank the reviewer for insights about this issue. Changes had been made to expand on this issue in the main text.