# Is vaping dependence like smoking dependence? —A literature review highlighting implications for vaping cessation among youth

Sherald Sanchez<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Michael Chaiton<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ontario Tobacco Research Unit, Toronto, ON, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: M Chaiton; (II) Administrative support: None; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: None; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: S Sanchez; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: S Sanchez; (VI) Manuscript writing: Both authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: Both authors.

Correspondence to: Michael Chaiton, PhD. Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH), 33 Ursula Franklin St, Toronto, ON, Canada M5S 2S1. Email: michael.chaiton@camh.ca; michael.chaiton@utoronto.ca.

**Objective:** To summarise emerging literature on vaping, highlighting key aspects that may be unique compared to other forms of tobacco use and considering the potential ways they contribute to vaping dependence and cessation among youth.

**Background:** To date, much of the clinical guidance and strategies for addressing vaping dependence and cessation infers from the literature on smoking cessation. While there are several commonalities between smoking and vaping, there is rich evidence suggesting there are unique aspects to vaping relevant to cessation—likely stemming from the variability in the types of devices and profoundly influenced by social norms. These differences have received relatively little attention.

**Methods:** Narrative literature reviews are a useful tool for providing a comprehensive perspective on emerging topics. This paper is presented in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist.

**Conclusions:** Three key differences between vaping and smoking dependence are (I) greater variability in vaping products compared to cigarettes, (II) the discreetness and convenience of vaping, and (III) greater social acceptability of vaping among youth. Suggestions for future work are outlined. There is an urgent need for vaping cessation interventions that are effective and acceptable among young people. To achieve this, the development of interventions needs to take into account the differences from smoking cessation paradigms and the subjective experiences of young people.

**Keywords:** E-cigarettes; vaping; youth; dependence; cessation

 $Received: 04 \ June \ 2021; \ Accepted: 26 \ July \ 2021; \ Published: 25 \ September \ 2021.$ 

doi: 10.21037/jphe-21-48

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jphe-21-48

#### **Background**

There are many questions about the health effects of vaping, or e-cigarette use, that remain unanswered. However, there is now "substantial evidence" (1) that vaping, particularly with nicotine, can result in symptoms of dependence. Compared to adults, young people experience greater susceptibility and longer lasting consequences from exposure to substances like nicotine (2,3). Consequently,

there is strong consensus among scientific and public health communities that children and youth can greatly benefit from effective population- and individual-level interventions targeting substance use, including vaping (4,5).

One area that has been identified as a research priority is the development of vaping cessation interventions that are both safe and effective among youth (5). To date, much of the clinical guidance and cessation strategies on vaping infers from the literature on smoking cessation (6-8). While

there are several commonalities between smoking and vaping, we highlight aspects to vaping relevant to cessation that are unique and are likely stemming from the variability in the types of vaping devices and profoundly influenced by social norms. These differences have received relatively little attention. In this narrative review, we focus on these unique aspects and consider the potential ways they contribute to dependence. Drawing from recent evidence and firsthand experience in co-designing vaping cessation interventions with youth and young adults in Canada, we speculate on why we see these differences and how they might be incorporated in future interventions. We conclude with three suggestions for future work. This paper is presented in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jphe-21-48).

# Differences between vaping and smoking dependence among youth

Several recent studies focused on vaping cessation among youth and young adults have shown that addiction and dependence are significant barriers to successful quit attempts (8-11). A similar finding has been consistently demonstrated in smoking cessation (12-14). However, a unique aspect to vaping that can affect dependence is the wide range of variability in the types of products and flavours available, which has been described in plenty of studies (15-17). Two of them found that nicotine dependence can vary by device type and that use of pod devices, such as JUUL, was associated with greater levels of dependence (18,19). A few studies compared nicotine delivery and dependence between e-cigarette and cigarette users, reporting mixed results (20-22). Beyond nicotine, enjoyment of flavours was also identified as a barrier. It would seem that though flavours are highly influential in vaping initiation, dependence and withdrawal symptoms have an equal or greater impact on sustained vaping (8-11), which is consistent with the literature on cigarette smoking onset and escalation (23).

One particularly challenging aspect unique to vaping is that as an activity, it is conveniently discreet. Free from that powerful and unmistakable scent associated with cigarette smoking, if desired, vaping can be pursued without others noticing. If there is some smell that breaks through, it is usually subtle—some even describe it as sweet (24)—and not distinctive enough to bother most people. Combined with an absence of a unit of delivery like cigarettes, this

allows young people to vape constantly without needing to make a conscious decision to vape and with limited behavioural cues telling them to stop. In our own work of conducting focus groups and interviews with young people who vape, we were often surprised by their ingenuity and determination in finding ways to vape in highly unusual places and scenarios: on the subway, in the shower, while driving or watching a movie in the theatre, under the sheets, or even at school in the classroom and hallways. Indeed, several youth participants report that they "pretty much vape everywhere" (8). This is noteworthy as it can result in a greater likelihood of developing habitual patterns, as well as greater difficulty in reducing or limiting use (11,15). Ironically, in the context of cessation, this discreetness and convenience of vaping might prove to be its most inconvenient feature.

Lastly, vaping enjoys a degree of social acceptability among younger age groups that smoking fortunately no longer benefits from (or at least, to a lesser degree). Existing evidence suggests this is influenced by multiple factors, most notably perceived acceptability among friends (8,9,11,25), parental and familial attitudes (26), and regulatory policies around availability and advertising (25,27,28). However, the effects of social acceptability on the process of vaping cessation have not been adequately addressed. Using smoke-free laws as an example, it has been noted that normative social influence is equally, if not more, important than legislation in ensuring compliance (29,30). In many social situations, a person might sooner risk noncompliance with smoke-free laws before venturing to smoke around other people and find themselves at the receiving end of disapproval and censure by others. In the case of vaping, though vape-free laws banning vaping in public spaces have been enacted in many places, the social norms often necessary to elicit desired behaviour (i.e., not vaping) are still absent. In the context of cessation, when considered with the discreetness of vaping, this demonstrates that young people lack enduring extrinsic motivation to quit.

#### **Future work**

Here, we highlight three suggestions for advancing research in this area. First, future studies should pay closer attention to these nuanced and unique aspects to vaping. Much of the research on vaping has been relative to smoking, including research on cessation. However, the extent to which this literature has relevance for vaping cessation efforts requires

critical evaluation (7-9). To date, there is little evidence of effective smoking cessation interventions for this age group (14). Therefore, although there is significant overlap between the two, it is plausible, and perhaps likely, that frameworks used for smoking cessation are insufficient when applied to vaping and that novel interventions are needed.

Second, approaches to cessation with self-reflection embedded in them are a promising area for intervention research. Considering the nature of vaping described here, it can be more challenging for young people to recognize their own behaviours. Many youths report not even knowing what they are vaping (8,26,31). Providing venues and strategies for reflection that can increase self-awareness of vaping behaviors can be a catalyst for cessation. For example, in our project for co-designing vaping cessation interventions with youth, participation in the design research process itself, and the self-reflection it engendered, resulted in behaviour change among some participants. In this way, mechanisms that increase awareness of subjective indicators of dependence can have an effect on cessation. This is an area where broader theoretical models in smoking cessation are perhaps more applicable to vaping than specific programmatic interventions. Specifically, two lines of inquiry offer valuable insight: one investigates the relationship between perceived addiction and cessation (32-34), while another is concerned with the identification of milestones in the process of smoking cessation among youth (12,13). We know from this body of evidence that nicotine dependence takes years to develop. Meanwhile, there are dependence and cessation milestones that are indicative of youth's desire to quit taking place earlier in the process. To illustrate, Kong and colleagues (11) were the first to identify that "tolerance breaks" were common among youth. This involves quitting vaping in short intervals to ensure a greater nicotine "buzz" upon reinitiation (11). In these situations, interventions aimed at this age group need to provide appropriate education for identifying and understanding subjective experiences of dependence and withdrawal symptoms through selfreflection (33,35). An increased understanding of this process will allow us to target interventions towards critical milestones and promote cessation before vaping becomes entrenched in the lives of youth. There is no reason why future interventions cannot accomplish both: deliver urgent support among youth and increase our understanding of the process of vaping cessation.

Finally, we highlight the importance of developing interventions with young people through co-design research processes. Future work in this area needs to be underpinned by youth's understanding of how vaping affects their lives. Similarly, Amato and colleagues (9) emphasized the importance of centering the "personal, subjective, qualitative experiences of young people." In this exploratory stage of the research, co-designing with youth offers rich insight into vaping, as well as guidance in discerning what is most relevant from the smoking cessation literature. An ideal setting would be where the lived experience of youth can be combined with the theoretical knowledge of researchers and practitioners that can then be leveraged into developing interventions that capture the complexities of vaping among youth.

This review has several limitations. First, the emerging research discussed and the commentary provided here are largely informed by the vaping experiences of youth in North America. As demonstrated in other studies (10,17,25,36-38), trends and social norms around vaping are subject to differences in specific regulatory, political, and socio-economic contexts. Strategies for understanding vaping dependence and cessation must be sensitive to these differences. Second, most of the research discussed were focused on the social and behavioural aspects of vaping; biopharmacological considerations were beyond the scope of this paper. Third, the vaping landscape is constantly evolving and with it, social norms among youth and their relevance to research and policy. Future work should continue to monitor these changes and their impact on vaping cessation among youth, particularly in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite these limitations, this literature review has important strengths. It highlights an often-overlooked assumption in the literature on vaping cessation among youth. Additionally, this is the first synthesis of existing research on this topic, providing useful insights for future research and for the development of vaping cessation interventions.

#### **Summary and conclusions**

There is an urgent need for vaping cessation interventions that are effective and acceptable among young people. To achieve this, the development of interventions needs to take into account the differences from smoking cessation paradigms and the subjective experiences of young people through meaningful engagement.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Funding: This study is part of a larger project for developing youth vaping cessation interventions funded by Health Canada (Agreement # 1920-HQ-000073).

#### **Footnote**

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, Journal of Public Health and Emergency for the series "Tobacco and Addiction". The article has undergone external peer review.

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the Narrative Review reporting checklist. Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jphe-21-48

*Peer Review File*: Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jphe-21-48

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jphe-21-48). The series "Tobacco and Addiction" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. Dr. Michael Chaiton served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series and serves as an unpaid editorial board member of Journal of Public Health and Emergency. This research is funded by a grant from the Substance Use and Addictions Program at Health Canada (Agreement #1920-HQ-000073). The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

#### References

- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Public Health Consequences of E-Cigarettes. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2018.
- Kwan LY, Eaton DL, Andersen SL, et al. This is your teen brain on drugs: In search of biological factors unique to dependence toxicity in adolescence. Neurotoxicol Teratol 2020;81:106916.
- 3. Erskine HE, Moffitt TE, Copeland WE, et al. A heavy burden on young minds: the global burden of mental and substance use disorders in children and youth. Psychol Med 2015;45:1551-63.
- 4. Degenhardt L, Stockings E, Patton G, et al. The increasing global health priority of substance use in young people. Lancet Psychiatry 2016;3:251-64.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Smoking Cessation: A Report of the Surgeon General— Executive Summary [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2020. Available online: https://www.cdc. gov/tobacco/data\_statistics/sgr/2020-smoking-cessation/ index.html
- Berg CJ, Krishnan N, Graham AL, et al. A synthesis of the literature to inform vaping cessation interventions for young adults. Addict Behav 2021;119:106898.
- Graham AL, Amato MS, Cha S, et al. Effectiveness of a Vaping Cessation Text Message Program Among Young Adult e-Cigarette Users: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med 2021;181:923-30.
- 8. Sanchez S, Kaufman P, Pelletier H, et al. Is vaping cessation like smoking cessation? A qualitative study exploring the responses of youth and young adults who vape e-cigarettes. Addict Behav 2021;113:106687.
- Amato MS, Bottcher MM, Cha S, et al. "It's really addictive and I'm trapped:" A qualitative analysis of the reasons for quitting vaping among treatment-seeking young people. Addict Behav 2021;112:106599.
- Hammond D, Reid JL, Rynard VL, et al. Indicators of dependence and efforts to quit vaping and smoking among youth in Canada, England and the USA. Tob Control 2021. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1136/ tobaccocontrol-2020-056269.
- Kong G, Bold KW, Cavallo DA, et al. Informing the development of adolescent e-cigarette cessation interventions: A qualitative study. Addict Behav 2021;114:106720.

- 12. Gervais A, O'Loughlin J, Meshefedjian G, et al. Milestones in the natural course of onset of cigarette use among adolescents. CMAJ 2006;175:255-61.
- O'Loughlin J, Gervais A, Dugas E, et al. Milestones in the process of cessation among novice adolescent smokers. Am J Public Health 2009;99:499-504.
- Fanshawe TR, Halliwell W, Lindson N, et al. Tobacco cessation interventions for young people. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;11:CD003289.
- Bold KW, Sussman S, O'Malley SS, et al. Measuring E-cigarette dependence: Initial guidance. Addict Behav 2018;79:213-8.
- Fadus MC, Smith TT, Squeglia LM. The rise of e-cigarettes, pod mod devices, and JUUL among youth: Factors influencing use, health implications, and downstream effects. Drug Alcohol Depend 2019;201:85-93.
- 17. East KA, Reid JL, Rynard VL, et al. Trends and Patterns of Tobacco and Nicotine Product Use Among Youth in Canada, England, and the United States From 2017 to 2019. J Adolesc Health 2021;69:447-56.
- Boykan R, Goniewicz ML, Messina CR. Evidence of Nicotine Dependence in Adolescents Who Use Juul and Similar Pod Devices. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019:16:2135.
- 19. Tackett AP, Hébert ET, Smith CE, et al. Youth use of e-cigarettes: Does dependence vary by device type? Addict Behav 2021;119:106918.
- Liu G, Wasserman E, Kong L, et al. A comparison of nicotine dependence among exclusive E-cigarette and cigarette users in the PATH study. Prev Med 2017;104:86-91.
- 21. St Helen G, Nardone N, Addo N, et al. Differences in nicotine intake and effects from electronic and combustible cigarettes among dual users. Addiction 2020;115:757-67.
- Jankowski M, Krzystanek M, Zejda JE, et al. E-Cigarettes are More Addictive than Traditional Cigarettes-A Study in Highly Educated Young People. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16:2279.
- 23. O'Loughlin J, Karp I, Koulis T, et al. Determinants of first puff and daily cigarette smoking in adolescents. Am J Epidemiol 2009;170:585-97.
- Salloum RG, Tan ASL, Thompson L. What Parents Need to Know About Teen Vaping and What They Can Do About It. JAMA Pediatr 2021;175:440.
- 25. East KA, Hitchman SC, McNeill A, et al. Social norms towards smoking and vaping and associations with product

- use among youth in England, Canada, and the US. Drug Alcohol Depend 2019;205:107635.
- 26. Alexander JP, Williams P, Lee YO. Youth who use e-cigarettes regularly: A qualitative study of behavior, attitudes, and familial norms. Prev Med Rep 2018;13:93-7.
- 27. Hammond D, Reid JL, Burkhalter R, et al. E-cigarette Marketing Regulations and Youth Vaping: Cross-Sectional Surveys, 2017-2019. Pediatrics 2020;146:e20194020.
- 28. Popova L, Fairman RT, Akani B, et al. "Don't do vape, bro!" A qualitative study of youth's and parents' reactions to e-cigarette prevention advertisements. Addict Behav 2021;112:106565.
- Institute of Medicine, Committee on Preventing Nicotine Addiction in Children and Youths. Growing Up Tobacco Free: Preventing Nicotine Addiction in Children and Youths. National Academies Press; 1994.
- Byron MJ, Cohen JE, Frattaroli S, et al. Using the theory
  of normative social behavior to understand compliance
  with a smoke-free law in a middle-income country. Health
  Educ Res 2016;31:738-48.
- Statistics Canada. Canadian Tobacco and Nicotine Survey, 2019. The Daily. 2020 [cited 2021 Jun 2]. Available online: https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/200305/ dq200305a-eng.htm
- 32. Eiser JR, van der Pligt J, Raw M, et al. Trying to stop smoking: effects of perceived addiction, attributions for failure, and expectancy of success. J Behav Med 1985;8:321-41.
- 33. Amos A, Wiltshire S, Haw S, et al. Ambivalence and uncertainty: experiences of and attitudes towards addiction and smoking cessation in the mid-to-late teens. Health Educ Res 2006;21:181-91.
- 34. Chaiton M, Cohen JE, Bondy SJ, et al. Perceived Addiction as a Predictor of Smoking Cessation Among Occasional Smokers. J Smok Cessat 2017;12:165-72.
- 35. Hadland SE, Chadi N. Through the Haze: What Clinicians Can Do to Address Youth Vaping. J Adolesc Health 2020;66:10-4.
- 36. McDermott MS, East KA, Hitchman SC, et al. Social norms for e-cigarettes and smoking: associations with initiation of e-cigarette use, intentions to quit smoking and quit attempts: findings from the EUREST-PLUS ITC Europe Surveys. Eur J Public Health 2020;30:iii46-54.
- 37. Lucherini M, Rooke C, Amos A. E-cigarettes, vaping and performativity in the context of tobacco denormalisation. Sociol Health Illn 2018;40:1037-52.

### Page 6 of 6

38. Measham F, O'Brien K, Turnbull G. "Skittles & Red Bull is my favourite flavour": E-cigarettes, smoking, vaping and the changing landscape of nicotine consumption amongst

British teenagers – implications for the normalisation debate. Drugs (Abingdon Engl) 2016;23:224-37.

## doi: 10.21037/jphe-21-48

Cite this article as: Sanchez S, Chaiton M. Is vaping dependence like smoking dependence? —A literature review highlighting implications for vaping cessation among youth. J Public Health Emerg 2021;5:27.