

AB022. Angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) versus angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE-I) use for new-onset pneumonia and lung infections: a propensity score-matched population-based cohort study with competing risk analyses

Jeremy M. H. Hui^{1,2}, Jiandong Zhou³, Yan Hiu A. Lee^{1,4}, Oscar H. I. Chou^{1,2}, Teddy T. L. Lee⁵, Tong Liu⁶, Abraham K. C. Wai⁵, Bernard M. Y. Cheung², Qingpeng Zhang⁷, Gary Tse^{6,8}

¹Cardiovascular Pharmacology Unit, Cardiovascular Analytics Group, China-UK Collaboration, Hong Kong, China; ²Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; ³Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁴Department of Medicine & Therapeutics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; ⁵Emergency Medicine Unit, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; ⁶Department of Cardiology, Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China; ⁷School of Data Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; ⁸Department of Medicine, Kent and Medway Medical School, Kent, UK

Correspondence to: Gary Tse. Department of Medicine, Kent and Medway Medical School, Kent, UK. Email: gary.tse@kmmms.ac.uk

Background: The effects of angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I) on new-onset respiratory tract infections remain unclear. This study aimed to compare the risks of pneumonia and lung infections between ARB and ACE-I users.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study included patients who were prescribed ARB/ACE-I in Hong Kong between 1st January 2000 and 31st August 2020. The primary outcomes were new-onset pneumonia and new-onset bacterial, viral, and influenza lung infections. The secondary outcomes were pneumonia, cardiovascular, and all-cause

mortality. Patients <18 years old or with prior diagnoses of the above events were excluded. A one-year lag time since initial ARB/ACE-I use was introduced to account for the latency of outcomes and reverse causality. 1:1 propensity score matching was performed based on demographics, prior comorbidities, use of other medications, and laboratory tests.

Results: After 1:1 propensity score matching, the study cohort consisted of 54,436 ARB users (45.9% male, mean age: 69.3±13.6 years, median follow-up time: 4.8 years [interquartile range (IQR): 3.1–7.8]) and 54,436 matched ACE-I users [54.0% male, mean age: 68.3±13.6 years, median follow-up time: 7.6 years (IQR: 4.3–13.5)]. ARB use was associated with higher risks of pneumonia [hazard ratio (HR): 5.73, 95% confidence interval (CI): 4.49–7.32, P<0.0001], bacterial lung infection (HR: 4.17, 95% CI: 2.94–5.91, P<0.0001), viral lung infection (HR: 4.02, 95% CI: 1.83–8.83, P=0.0005), influenza lung infection (HR: 9.84, 95% CI: 6.61–14.63, P<0.0001), pneumonia mortality (HR: 2.86, 95% CI: 2.78–2.95, P<0.0001), cardiovascular mortality (HR: 2.36, 95% CI: 2.30–2.42, P<0.0001), and all-cause mortality (HR: 1.82, 95% CI: 2.30–2.42, P<0.0001) than ACE-I use. These associations remained significant across follow-up times since initial ARB/ACE-I use. However, in the first three years, there were no significant differences in the risks of bacterial and viral lung infections, and mortality between ARB and ACE-I users. The results were confirmed by sensitivity analyses with cause-specific hazard models and sub-distribution hazard models.

Conclusions: The use of ARB was associated with higher risks of pneumonia, lung infections, and mortality than ACE-I use. The decision whether to prescribe ARB or ACE-I for short-term treatment should be made by weighing pneumonia and mortality risks.

Keywords: Angiotensin receptor antagonists; angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; pneumonia; influenza; mortality

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related

to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant

DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

doi: 10.21037/jphe-21-ab022

Cite this abstract as: Hui JMH, Zhou J, Lee YHA, Chou OHI, Lee TTL, Liu T, Wai AKC, Cheung BMY, Zhang Q, Tse G. Angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) versus angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE-I) use for new-onset pneumonia and lung infections: a propensity score-matched population-based cohort study with competing risk analyses. *J Public Health Emerg* 2021;5:AB022.