Responses and response evaluation of immune checkpoint inhibitors in lymphoma

Theodora Anagnostou, Stephen M. Ansell

Department of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: All authors; (II) Administrative support: All authors; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: All authors; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Stephen M. Ansell, MD, PhD. 200 First Street, Rochester, MN 55905, USA. Email: Ansell.Stephen@mayo.edu.

Abstract: The survival and growth of lymphoma cells relies on suppression of antitumor immunity through interactions between the tumor microenvironment and lymphoma cells. These are mediated by a network of inhibitory and stimulatory receptors that deliver signals as part of the maintenance of peripheral tolerance. The most studied pathway is the B7-1/B7-2:CD28/CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4) pathway. Different molecules of this pathway are upregulated in lymphoma contributing to T-cell exhaustion and anergy and allowing tumor escape from the immune mechanisms. Additional activating and inhibitory signals modulate this pathway, and the inhibitory signal provided by the PD-L1/PD-L2:PD-1 (programmed cell death 1) axis has been found to be particularly important. PD-1 inhibitors promote immune activation and have recently been approved for the treatment of classical Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) that has relapsed after autologous stem-cell transplantation (SCT) and treatment with brentuximab vedotin. The clinical trials that led to their approval showed objective response (OR) rates over 70% and responses were durable. Less impressive responses have been described in non-HL due to the differences in the biology and composition of tumor microenvironment of these two types of lymphoma. Recently the response criteria were redesigned to reflect immune changes in the tumors caused by the medications used. Ongoing and future studies are focusing on the development of biomarkers for response assessment.

Keywords: Immune checkpoint inhibitors; lymphoma; response assessment

Received: 09 June 2017; Accepted: 26 October 2017; Published: 10 November 2017. doi: 10.21037/aol.2017.10.03 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aol.2017.10.03

Introduction

Immune checkpoints are inhibitory receptors which control the activation of T cells and thus play a critical role in the maintenance of peripheral tolerance and prevention of autoimmunity. The most studied pathway that is implicated in T cell activation is the B7-1/B7-2:CD28/CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4) pathway. A network of stimulatory and inhibitory molecules interacts to deliver signals from the outside and maintain a balance between activation and inhibition. Programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) expression increases with cell activation leading to inhibition of further activation through interaction with its ligands and production of cytokines (1). Prolonged antigen stimulation in the setting of inadequate immune activation can result in upregulation of PD-1 and exhaustion of T cells.

Inhibitors of the PD-1 pathway have shown remarkable responses in clinical trials in several types of cancers including melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer and renal cell cancer. Nivolumab, a PD-1 inhibitor breakthrough therapy designation from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2014 for the treatment of relapsed or refractory classic Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) on the basis of a phase 1 trial which showed an 87% objective response (OR) in 23 heavily pretreated patients with HL, 78% of

Page 2 of 15

whom had relapsed following previous autologous stemcell transplantation (SCT) (2). Nivolumab subsequently received accelerated approval for this indication in May 2016. That was followed by accelerated approval by the FDA of pembrolizumab for a similar indication (patients with refractory classic HL whose disease relapsed after three or more lines of therapy) based on an OR rate of 73.9% seen in the KEYNOTE-087 trial (3).

In this review we will focus on the rationale for using immune checkpoint inhibitors in lymphoma, the spectrum of responses from PD-1 blockade in different types of lymphoma and the criteria that are used to assess response.

Rationale for targeting the PD-1 pathway in lymphoma

The activation of the T-cells is a two-step process. The first signal is a stimulatory signal that derives from the interaction of an antigen presented by the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) on an antigen presenting cell (APC) with the T-cell receptor (TCR) on a naïve T-cell. The second signal is a costimulatory signal that is generated when the CD3/CD28 molecule of the TCR binds to B7-1 and B7-2 on the APC (4). Lack of the co-stimulatory signals results in anergy (5,6). Following this initial interaction, stimulatory and inhibitory receptors on the surface of T-cells get involved and regulate this process. The inhibitory receptors, also known as immune checkpoint inhibitors, serve as breaks on the activation of the immune system in order to prevent autoimmunity and maintain peripheral tolerance (7-10). These include, amongst others, the CTLA-4 and PD-1 molecules, which are targets for cancer immunotherapy (11-15).

Pattern of expression of PD-1 and its ligands on immune and cancer cells

PD-1 is a transmembrane protein expressed on T cells, B cells and NK cells. Interaction of PD-1 with its ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2, leads to recruitment of the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP2 which dephosphorylates molecules transmitting signals from the TCR. This causes attenuation of TCR signal and increases T-cell exhaustion and conversion of effector T cells to Tregs (16-18). PD-L1 is constitutively expressed on B and T lymphocytes, dendritic cells, macrophages and mesenchymal stem cells, as well as many non-hematopoietic cells (19). It is also expressed on various cancer cells, including lung,

breast (20), colon, skin, ovarian (21), gastric, pancreatic cancer, melanoma (21), as well as different types of hematologic cancers, such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (22), acute and chronic myelogenous leukemia (23,24), classic HL on Reed-Sternberg cells (25-27), primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma (PMBCL) (27), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-positive and EBV-negative post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD) (26), EBV-associated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) (28,29), follicular lymphoma (30), extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, HHV-8associated Kaposi sarcoma and plasmablastic lymphoma (31). Although different cutoffs have been used to determine PD-L1 positivity in different studies, there is clear evidence that there is a correlation between the degree of PD-L1 expression and response to PD-1 inhibitors in solid tumors (32). Expression of PD-L1 on tumor cells is regulated at the level of transcription through epigenetic regulation via DNA methylation and histone modifications (33) and at the post-transcriptional level via alternative splicing (34). It can also be induced by IFN-gamma release by activated T-cells, a condition that is called "adaptive immune resistance" (35). In contrast, PD-L2 is expressed on active dendritic cells, macrophages and bone marrow derived mast cells (1,36,37). Expression of PD-L2 has also been identified in different tumor types, including both solid tumors, such as lung cancer (38) and hematologic malignancies, such as HL (39), PMBCL (40), primary CNS lymphoma and primary testicular lymphoma (41).

Significance of expression of PD-1 and its ligands in the pathogenesis of cancer

The expression of PD-L1 on cancer cells introduced the idea that PD-1 blockade might enhance antitumor immunity by suppressing the tumor ability to escape the immune effector mechanisms. That was confirmed by the observation that PD-L1 overexpression on a mastocytoma mouse inhibits CD8+ cytotoxic activity through PD-1 ligation and increases tumor growth and invasiveness (42). Different mechanisms are implicated in the evasion of the immune mechanisms by the tumors and promote tumor survival. Following PD-L1 ligation, antiapoptotic signals are delivered to cancer cells inducing resistance against T-cell mediated cytotoxicity as well as apoptosis, which is normally induced by Fas and drugs such as staurosporine (43). On the contrary, delivery of apoptotic signals through IL-10 production and FasL expression, leads to death of antigen specific T-cells (21,44). In addition,

PD-1 ligation recruits the SHP-1 and SHP-2 phosphatases and impairs the activity of 2 pathways that are both critical for T-cell activation, the PI3K/Akt and Ras/MEK/Erk pathways. This is mediated via PTEN phosphorylation and inhibition of PLC-gamma (45-48). Finally, PD-1 ligation changes the metabolic program of T cells by switching from glycolysis, which is required for the function of effector cells, to fatty acid oxidation inducing conversion of effector cells to memory cells (49) and production of Tregs (17,50).

Significance of the PD-1 pathway in the pathogenesis of HL

As mentioned above, PD-L1 is highly expressed in lymphoma cells as well as infiltrating macrophages of both classic HL and non-HLs (NHL) (29). However, the biology of HL and NHL is significantly different. Classic HL are composed by a small number of malignant Reed-Sternberg cells within an extensive immune-cell infiltrate mainly composed by T cells (51). The exact composition of the tumor microenvironment determines the classification of the lymphoma to 1 of the 4 subtypes, which include nodular sclerosis, mixed cellularity, lymphocytedepleted, and lymphocyte-rich HL (52) and depends on substances secreted by the Reed-Sternberg cells. The Reed-Sternberg cells have the ability to co-opt immune checkpoint pathways by expressing the PD-ligands on their surface. Such expression is mediated by the ERK/MAPK pathway (53). In preclinical studies, PD-1 blockade led to restoration of IFN-gamma production by T cells it the tumor microenvironment supporting the idea that PD-L1 expression on Reed-Sternberg cells suppresses the immune cell function in the tumor microenvironment (25).

Several mechanisms increase the expression of PD-L1. Amplification of chromosome 9p24.1 where the genes for the PD-ligands and JAK2 are located has been described in nodular sclerosis HL and has been associated with an increase in PD-L1 expression by immunohistochemistry (27). Analysis of biopsy samples from patients with both newly diagnosed and refractory or relapsed classic HL revealed copy gains of PD-ligand genes in almost all tested samples, ranging from low-level polysomy to uniform amplification (2,39). However, higher copy numbers are found in patients with advanced stage disease as opposed to early stage disease, a finding that suggests the role of PD-1 in tumor spread (39). Activation of the JAK/STAT pathway also increases transcription of the PD-L1 gene and thus increases its expression further (27). Interestingly, 9p24.1 amplifications are associated with lower progression-free

survival (PFS) in patients with new diagnosis of classic HL (39). Another mechanism that has been described to increase the expression of PD-L1 is *CIITA* gene fusion (54). In addition, in patients without 9p24.1 amplification constitutive activation of AP-1 transcription factor, which upregulates PD-L1 expression, has been described (26). Finally, EBV also activates the JAK/STAT pathway and increases PD-L1 expression (26). Of note, in a study that analyzed samples from both EBV-positive and EBV-negative classic HL, higher PD-L1 expression was detected in the EBV-positive tumors (39). Importantly, although almost all patients with nodular sclerosis have PD-L1 overexpression, only 13% of patients with lymphocyte predominant type express PD-L1 (29).

Role of tumor microenvironment in HL

In addition, the tumor microenvironment plays an important role in the survival and growth of lymphoma cells through T cell exhaustion (55) and upregulation of regulatory T cells (56). Indeed, T cells that are found in the tumor microenvironment and periphery of patients with HL express PD-1, although at low levels, which leads to anergy and T cell exhaustion (25,57) and PD-1 expression has been associated with poor prognosis (58). The characterization of the tumor microenvironment and its interactions with lymphoma cells remains an area of active research with some studies suggesting that it is mainly composed by activated and tumor specific TH1 rather than senescent TH2 cells (57). In addition, there is a high number of macrophages and monocytes which can further affect T cell activation by expression of PD-1 and delivery of inhibitory signals (29). Thus, the expression of PD-L1 on Reed-Sternberg cells and PD-1 on immune cells of the tumor microenvironment suggest the presence of an ineffective and suppressed T cell population in the tumor microenvironment and provides the rationale for targeting the PD-1 pathway in order to reactivate T cells and increase antitumor immunity.

Significance of the PD-1 pathway in the pathogenesis of NHL and CLL

In NHL, the PD-1 pathway also plays an important role in the signaling between the lymphoma cells and tumor microenvironment which affects the balance between antitumor immunity and tumor escape. The pattern of expression of molecules implicated in the PD-1 pathway varies by type reflecting differences in the strategies used to escape the host's immune mechanisms. PD-L1 is expressed in various subtypes, including PBMC, EBV-positive and EBV-negative PTLD, EBV-associated DLBCL, extranodal NK/T cell lymphoma and nasopharyngeal carcinoma, dictating a more aggressive phenotype.

The majority of T-cell NHL and follicular lymphomas (FL) overexpress PD-L1 in the tumor microenvironment, which reflects suppression in host immunity (59). The tumor microenvironment of FL contains various T-cell subsets, including effector T cells, helper T cells and a high number of Tregs. Interestingly, the number of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes that express PD-1 decreases with transformation of FL to DLBCL and is a prognostic factor of overall survival (OS) (30).

In contrast, DLBCLs express both PD-1 and PD-L1 on the tumor cells and PD-L1 expression is associated more with the non-germinal B cell subtype (60). There is an association between PD-1 expression in tumor infiltrating lymphocytes and PD-L1 expression in tumor cells (61). In addition, DLBCL is characterized by recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities, including translocations of the locus for the PD-ligands with the locus of the immunoglobulin heavy chain (62). Soluble PD-L1 levels are associated with a poor OS are higher in patients diagnosed with DLBCL when measured at diagnosis and decrease back to normal levels in patients that achieve a complete response (CR) (63). Similar with HL, there is an association between gene amplification, viral infections and PD-L1 expression in NHL. PD-L1 expression is upregulated by EBV infection and chromosome 9p24.1 amplifications in non-germinal center DLBCL, primary CNS lymphomas and primary testicular lymphomas (41,64,65)

In CLL, T-cell exhaustion plays an important role in the pathogenesis of the disease and results in increased susceptibility to infections. PD-1 expression has been shown on both CLL and T cells and PD-1 blockade restored T-cell mediated cytotoxicity and prevented T cell exhaustion and progression of the disease in mouse models (66). PD-1 expressing T-cells of CLL patients are non-functional, they tend to be present in more advanced disease and their presence is associated with worse prognosis (67,68).

The aforementioned data regarding the significance of the PD-1 pathway and its ligands in the pathogenesis and progression of HL, NHL and CLL provide the rationale for PD-1 blockade in different trials in hematologic malignancies. These malignancies have been traditionally treated with combination of multiple chemotherapy agents and immunotherapies, such as rituximab. However, over the past few years several clinical trials have been testing the role of immune checkpoint inhibitors in these diseases with very promising results, which are reviewed below.

Spectrum of responses in lymphoma

PD-1 inhibitors in HL

HL, Hodgkin lymphoma; r/r, relapsed/refractory; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; PMBCL, primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; CTCL, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; SS, Sezary syndrome; MF, mycosis fungoides; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; RT, Richter's transformation; ORR, overall response rate; CR, complete response; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; NR, not reached; SE, side effect; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; HSCT, hematopoietic stem-cell transplant; BV, brentuximab vedotin.

The response of different types of lymphoma to immune checkpoint inhibition varies due to the different mechanisms that are implicated in the expression of inhibitory receptors as well as the different composition of the tumor microenvironment. The clinical trials that have tested PD-1 inhibitors in lymphoma are summarized in Table 1. The best responses have been observed in refractory and relapsed classic HL, likely due to the extensive immune infiltrate that composes these tumors. HL is the first hematologic malignancy where PD-1 inhibitors were approved by the FDA. An OR was achieved in 87% of patients with HL treated with nivolumab that had relapsed following autologous SCT or brentuximab vedotin with an 86% PFS at 24 weeks. Only 17% of treated patients had a CR whereas a partial response (PR) was observed in 70% of treated patients. Further analysis of tumor samples revealed PD-L1 and PD-L2 copy-number gains in all patients tested (2). Follow up analysis at 101 weeks showed durability of responses with the median PFS not reached and a 1.5-year OS of 83% (76). This was followed by a phase II multicenter trial that included 80 patients with relapsed classic HL who had failed allogeneic SCT and had either failed or relapsed after brentuximab vedotin. At a median follow up of 8.9 months 66.3% of patients achieved an OR. The majority of responses were PR occurring in 57.5% of patients while only 8.8% of patients achieved a CR (69). Similar responses were seen in the KEYNOTE-087 trial that led to FDA approval of pembrolizumab. The OR

	Common SEs	Rash hypothyroidism diarrhea	Hypothyroidism, rash, hypersensitivity			Hypothyroidism, diarrhea, nausea, pneumonitis	Hypothyroidism, pyrexia, diarrhea			Fatigue, pneumonitis, rash		Poor appetite, nausea, fatigue, hypothyroidism, diarrhea
	SEs	78% any SE; 22% ≥grade 3	90% any SE, 25% ≥ grade 3; 93% any SE,	29% ≥ grade 3		97% any SE, 16% ≥ grade 3	4% ≥ grade 3, 28.6% immune	related SEs & infusion reactions		71% any SE, 25% ≥ grade 3		61% any SE; 11% ≥ grade 3; 5.6% immune
	Results	ORR 87%, CR 22%, Median OS & PFS NR at 101 m, 1.5-year OS 83%	ORR 66.3%, CR 8.8%, PR 57.5% Odd 67.5% CD 8%	PR 60%	ORR 68%, CR 22%, PR 46%	ORR 65%, CR 16%, PR 48%, 24w PFS 69%	ORR 73.9%, CR 21.7%, PR 52.2%	ORR 64.2%, CR 24.7%, PR 39.5%	ORR 70%, CR 20%, PR 50%	DLBCL: CR 18%, PR 18%, SD 27%, median PFS 7w; PMBCL: SD 100%		ORR 41.2%; CR 11.8%; PR 29.4%
	Regimen	3 mg/kg at w 1 and 4, then q2w for 2 years	3 mg/kg q2w			10 mg/kg q2w for 2 years	200 q3w			Level 1: 1 mg/ kg at w 1 and 4, then q2w for 2 years	Level 2: 2–3 mg/ kg at w 1 and 4; then q2w for 2 years	10 mg/kg q2w or 200 mg q3w for 2 years
d CLL	Study population	23 patients, r/r HL	Cohort 1, 6 m f/u: 80 patients, r/r HL, after HSCT & BV	Cohort 1, 12 m f/u: (same population)	Cohort 2, 63 patients, r/r HL, after HSCT without BV	31 patients, r/r HL	Cohort 1, 69 patients: r/ r HL after HSCT & BV	Cohort 2, 81 patients: r/ r HL HSCT ineligible	Cohort 3, 60 patients: r/ r after HSCT without BV	11 patients, r/r DLBCL, 2 patients, r/r PMBCL		18 patients, r/r PMBCL
homa and	Phase	_	=			ନ	=			_		=
1 inhibitors in lymp	Agent	Nivolumab	Nivolumab			Pembrolizumab	Pembrolizumab			Nivolumab		Pembrolizumab
ults from clinical trials on PD-	Reference	Ansell <i>et al., NEJM</i> 2015 NCT01592370 (2)	Younes <i>et al.</i> , <i>Lancet Oncol</i> 2016, NCT01592370 (69);	Timmerman <i>et al.</i> , ASH 2016, NCT01592370 (70)		Armand <i>et al., JCO</i> 2016, NCT01953692 (71)	Chen <i>et al., JCO</i> 2017, NCT02453594 (3)			Lesokhin <i>et al., JCO</i> 2016, NCT01592370 (72)		Zinzani et al., Blood 2017, NCT01953692 (73)
Table 1 Res	Disease	Ŧ	Н			님	土			DLBCL & PMBCL		PMBCL

 Table 1 (continued)

aol.amegroups.com

Table 1 (con	timued)							
Disease	Reference	Agent	Phase	Study population	Regimen	Results	SEs	Common SEs
Ŀ	Lesokhin <i>et al., JCO</i> 2016, NCT01592370 (72)	Nivolumab	_	10 patients, r/r FL	Level 1: 1 g/kg at w 1 and 4; then q2w for 2y	ORR 40%; CR 10%; PR 30%; Median PFS NR	72% any SE; 25% ≥grade 3	Fatigue, pneumonitis, rash
					Level 2: 2–3 mg/ kg at w 1 and 4; then q2w for 2 years			
CTCL	Khodadoust <i>et al., Blood</i> 2016, NCT02243579	Pembrolizumab	=	15 patients, r/r SS; 9 patients, r/r MF	2 mg/kg q3w for 2 years	ORR 38%; CR 4.2%; PR 33.3%	40% of SS skin flare reaction (2 grade 2, 4 grade 3)	Skin flare reaction
CLL	Ding <i>et al., Blood 2</i> 017, NCT02332980 (74)	Pembrolizumab	=	16 patients, r/r CLL; 9 patients RT	200 mg q3w	CLL: ORR 0%, SD 38.5%, PD 61.5%; RT: ORR 44%, CR 11%, PR 33%	100% any SE; 60% ≥ grade 3	Dyspnea, anemia
CLL	Jain <i>et al., Blood</i> 2016, NCT02420912 (75)	Nivolumab & ibrutinib	=	5 patients, r/r CLL; 4 patients RT	3 mg/kg q2w	CLL: PR 60%; RT: PR 50%, PD 25%	1 immune related	Thyroiditis, tumor flare
HL, Hodgki cutaneous ⁻ CR, comple disease; HS	In lymphoma; r/r, relapsed/re T-cell lymphoma; SS, Sezary ste response; OS, overall sur iCT, hematopoietic stem-cell	efractory; DLBCL, ' syndrome; MF, π 'vival; PFS, progre transplant; BV, bre	diffuse la iycosis fu ssion-fre entuxima	arge B-cell lymphoma; P ungoides; CLL, chronic ly e survival; NR, not reach b vedotin.	MBCL, primary me mphocytic leukemii hed; SE, side effect;	diastinal B-cell lymphor a; RT, Richter's transforn PR, partial response; S	na; FL, follicular mation; ORR, ove SD, stable diseas	lymphoma; CTCL, rrall response rate; e; PD, progressive

rate was 69% with 22.4% of patients achieving a CR and 39.8% a PR (3). Both studies included heavily pretreated patients that had relapsed following autologous SCT with most patients having received more than 3 previous lines of chemotherapy.

Despite the high response rates reported in HL the mechanisms via which HL responds to PD-1 blockade are not entirely known. Reed-Sternberg cells evade the host's immune mechanisms through loss of expression of MHC-I on their surface due to inactivating mutations in b-2-microglobulin. MHC-I expression on the surface of nucleated cells is responsible for antigen presentation to cytotoxic CD8 cells. Thus, loss of MHC-I expression leads to impaired recognition of cancer cells by T-cells decreasing antitumor immunity further. The b-2-microglobulin gene is amongst the most commonly mutated genes in classic HL, as demonstrated by full exome sequencing. Such mutations are more commonly found in the nodular sclerosis type defining a subtype of tumors with homogeneous molecular characteristics (77). Of note, EBV-negative classic HL expresses lower levels of b-2 microglobulin (78). Finally, lack of MHC expression on Reed-Sternberg cells is an independent negative prognostic factor in classic HL (79).

Side effects of PD-1 inhibitors in these trials were similar to the ones reported in solid tumors and overall the drugs were well tolerated. The most common side effects that occurred in up to 15% of patients in the nivolumab trial were fatigue, infusion reactions, rashes and thrombocytopenia. Immune side effects were reported in lower number of patients and included pneumonitis, colitis, hepatitis and hypophysitis (2,69,72). Treatment with steroids led to resolution of symptoms in most patients. Almost half of the patients developed grade 3 or greater adverse effects and the most common ones amongst them were neutropenia and pancreatitis, while others included myelodysplastic syndrome, pneumonitis, stomatitis, colitis and cytopenias (69). No treatment related deaths were reported and less than 10% of the patients had to discontinue treatment due to side effects. Toxicity was not increased over time when nivolumab was given for up to 2 years (2).

Of note severe graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) was reported in some patients who underwent allogeneic SCT after treatment with nivolumab and 6 transplantrelated deaths were reported during the approval process of nivolumab by the FDA (80). Given the increased risk of severe GVHD associated with nivolumab treatment, this has been added as a warning to the drug label. Of note the initial nivolumab and pembrolizumab trial in HL excluded patients that had previously undergone allogeneic transplant because of the risk of worsening GVHD (2,3). In a recent case series, cytokine release syndrome at the time of transplant was reported in patients that received nivolumab followed by allogeneic SCT, although the mechanisms are not clear (81). In another retrospective cohort that analyzed 39 lymphoma patients who underwent allogeneic SCT at a median of 62 days after receiving PD-1 inhibitors, 44% developed grades 2-4 acute GVHD and 23% developed grades 3-4 acute GVHD. Four treatment related deaths were reported, 3 of which were due to acute GVHD. Given the 1-year PFS of 76% the authors concluded that allogeneic SCT is feasible after treatment with PD-1 inhibitors and leads to low relapse rates (82). With regards to the safety of administration of PD-1 inhibitors after allogeneic SCT, a recent study retrospectively analyzed the outcomes of 20 patients that received nivolumab for relapsed or refractory HL and had previously undergone allogeneic SCT, including 10 patients with previous acute GVHD. Reactivation of GVHD occurred in 30% of the patients and all of them had a history of acute GVHD. Two patients developed steroid refractory GVHD and 2 died of complications of GVHD. None of the patients experienced chronic GVHD. Overall response rate was excellent at 95% and median PFS was not reached (83). In summary, the use of PD-1 blockade either before or after allogeneic SCT is associated with good outcomes but also high rates of acute GVHD as well as severe GVHD.

PD-1 inhibitors in **DLBCL**

Responses to PD-1 inhibitors are less impressive in NHL due to the differences in biology of the two diseases and OR rates range between 15–50% in different subtypes of lymphoma. The reported OR rates with nivolumab in refractory or relapsed DLBCL were 36% in a phase I trial. Unfortunately, responses were not durable with a PFS of only 7 weeks 15% (72). These findings are supported by data that show overexpression of molecules involved in the B7-1/B7-2:CD28/CTLA-4 pathway (60). In addition, PD-L1 expression is a poor prognostic factor for OS in DLBCL (84). Consistent with the findings that EBV infection leads to overexpression of PD-L1, preclinical studies have shown that PD-1 inhibition is more potent in EBV-positive compared to EBV-negative DLBCL (64).

Page 8 of 15

PD-1 inbibitors in FL

In FL OR rates of 40% have been reported (72). Similar to DLBCL, PD-1 overexpression has been described in FL (60). However, in contrast to DLBCL, the evidence regarding the prognostic significance of PD-1 and PD-L1 expression is controversial in FL (30,85), likely reflecting the variable T-cell subsets that comprise the tumor microenvironment (86).

PD-1 inhibitors in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma

In cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, PD-1 is expressed during early stages and levels of expression decrease when transformation occurs, while PD-L1 expression follows the opposite trend (87). The results of a multicenter phase 2 study of pembrolizumab in relapsed or refractory mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome were recently presented. Pembrolizumab led to OR rates of 38% in patients that had received a median of 4 prior regimens and most responses were PR. Responses were durable with 89% of them ongoing at a median follow up of 32 weeks. However, no correlations between PD-1 or PD-L1 expression and response to pembrolizumab were found. With regards to toxicity, 40% of patients with Sezary syndrome experienced a skin flare reaction (88). Durable responses have also been described in refractory or relapsed NK/T cell lymphoma that has failed asparaginase with CR persisting at 6 months of follow-up (89). That goes back to the biology of NK/T cell lymphomas which are EBV-positive and characterized by upregulation of PD-L1.

PD-1 inhibitors in mantle cell lymphoma

No responses have been noted in few patients with mantle cell lymphoma treated with either ipilimumab or nivolumab (72,90). Although only 4 patients with mantle cell lymphoma were included in the nivolumab trial which does not allow safe conclusions regarding the efficacy of nivolumab in this type of lymphoma, there are preclinical data showing the presence of a suppressed tumor immune environment in mantle cell lymphoma. Specifically, intratumoral Tregs found in the tumor microenvironment lead to inhibition of cytokine production of effector cells and PD-L1 expression on lymphoma cells decreases antitumor immunity through impairing T-cell proliferation and T-cell mediated cytotoxicity (56,91). These provide mechanisms for evasion of the host's immunity.

PD-1 inhibitors in CLL

A recently published study showed OR of 44% to pembrolizumab in heavily pretreated CLL patients with Richter transformation to DLBCL, that had progressed on ibrutinib, but no response in refractory CLL, despite promising preclinical data. The reasons for the different responses are not known but responses were durable with PFS of 5.4 months (74), in contrast to the short-lived responses in DLBCL where PFS was only 7 weeks.

Other checkpoint inhibitors and combination therapies in lymphoma

Other immune checkpoint inhibitors have also been tested in both HL and NHL. Ipilimumab, a CTLA-4 inhibitor, was first tested in 18 patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell NHL with only 2 patients achieving an OR. However, the role of the CTLA-4 pathway has not been elucidated in NHL. More recently, the combination of nivolumab with ipilimumab in refractory or relapsed hematologic malignancies was tested in a phase I trial the results of which were presented at the ASH 2016 meeting. Sixty-five heavily pretreated patients with HL, B-NHL, T-NHL, multiple myeloma (MM), and PMBCL were included in the study. Of note, only 13% of patients with HL had undergone previous autologous SCT. The overall response rate was 74% for HL, 20% in B-NHL, 9% in T-NHL, while none of the patients with MM responded. The researchers concluded that the combination of nivolumab with ipilimumab had similar efficacy and safety as nivolumab alone (92).

Ongoing trials are testing the combinations of agents that modulate expression of molecules of the PD-1 pathway with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Ibrutinib is a Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor, an enzyme that plays an important role in the B-cell receptor pathway (93). It also has immunomodulating properties as it changes the balance between Th1 and Th2 by inhibiting ITK, another tyrosine kinase, which is important for the survival of Th2 cells, thus increasing antitumor immunity (94,95). Preclinical studies performed in mice with lymphoma and myeloma showed that the combination of PD-1 inhibitors and ibrutinib led to regression of tumors that were resistant to ibrutinib alone (95). Ibrutinib has shown to decrease both PD-1 and PD-L1 expression in samples from patients with CLL. Treatment with ibrutinib also decreases Tregs and improves cytotoxic CD8 activity as demonstrated by production of

IFN-gamma (96). Certain chemotherapy agents that are used in the treatment of lymphoma have also been shown to upregulate PD-L1 expression in the bone marrow stromal cells contributing further to immunosuppression and immune evasion by the tumor. Cytarabine and etoposide, amongst others, upregulate GM-CSF and activate the ERK pathway resulting in upregulation of PD-L1 which impairs T-cell function (97). Thus, immune checkpoint inhibitors could be used in combination with chemotherapy to eradicate the tumor cells that are able to evade the host's immune mechanisms through upregulation of PD-L1.

Assessment of response

Despite the durable OR in most trials, the majority of responses have a PR as opposed to a CR. In the nivolumab trial in HL, only 17% of patients had a CR, whereas the percentage of patients achieving a PR was 70%. Responses were durable with some patients continuing treatment for up to 2 years with acceptable toxicity (1). Similarly, in the KEYNOTE-087 trial 22.4% of patients achieved a CR while 46.7% achieved a PR. This cohort is currently being assessed for durability of responses and PFS at 24 weeks was 69%. It is important to point out that response was assessed with CT scans and PET-CT according to the Revised Response Criteria for Malignant Lymphomas in both studies (98). It is possible that in some cases the presence of stable disease, PR or a mixed response reflects the infiltration of the tumor by immune cells that are recruited with the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors rather than the persistence of malignant cells. The CR rate in these trials may therefore be higher than currently appreciated.

In the era of immune checkpoint inhibitors and other agents with immunomodulating properties it has been important to redesign the response criteria in order to reflect changes that may be explained by the immune effects of these medications. Immune checkpoint inhibitors have been associated with "pseudo-progression" on PET-CT due to increased recruitment of immune cells, despite clinical improvement (69,71). This consists of increase in the size of the already known lesions with delayed responses, appearance of new lesions in different areas while the known lesions are shrinking or increase in the FDG activity of the known lesions with stable size. Similar immune flares have been described with immunomodulating agents, like lenalidomide in both CLL and lymphoma and have been observed in up to 15% of patients within the first few weeks (99). These are attributed to NK-cell activation

and increase in TNF-a levels causing an inflammatory reaction (100). Use of the old criteria for response assessment poses the risk of incorrect assumption that there is inadequate response to treatment leading to early discontinuation of a treatment that in fact beneficial.

Thus, immune response criteria were recently developed to assess response of both solid tumors and lymphoid malignancies to immune checkpoint inhibitors (101,102). In solid tumors, these require confirmation with subsequent imaging, measurement of the total tumor burden and assessment of durable disease (101). A recent study in melanoma patients receiving pembrolizumab showed that the implementation of the new immune criteria in solid tumors resulted in 15% decrease in the underestimation of patients (103). In lymphoid malignancies, the Lymphoma Research Foundation recently modified the Lugano criteria by introducing the LYRIC criteria (lymphoma response to immunomodulatory therapy criteria). In the LYRIC criteria, a new response category, indeterminate response, was added. Indeterminate response was defined as either an increase of the overall tumor burden occurring within the first 12 weeks of therapy, or the appearance of a new lesion without increase of the total tumor burden, or an increase in the FDG activity of the lesion without change in its size. These require confirmation of progressive disease (PD) on 2 consecutive scans 12 weeks apart (102). On the contrary, the RECIST and Lugano criteria define PD as the increase in tumor burden above a specified cutoff (20% and 50% respectively). It is important to point out that the Lugano criteria were designed to assess the response to conventional chemotherapy or combination of chemotherapy with rituximab. Importantly, clinical stability in the setting of indeterminate response is an important criterion for treatment continuation.

Thus, compared to conventional chemotherapy, responses with immune checkpoint inhibitors tend to be partial, often delayed and are more durable.

Finally, the early identification of patients that progress as opposed to having pseudo-progression is crucial. Towards that end, the use of minimal residual disease (MRD) and circulating DNA are being tested. Different techniques have been used for MRD assessment and include multicolor flow cytometry, end-point PCR, quantitative PCR and next generation sequencing. PCR can detect specific gene translocations in patients with common breakpoints, such as the translocation IGH-BCL2 in FL. Unfortunately, not all patients have a common translocation. On the other hand, circulating tumor DNA can be used in tumors without a

Page 10 of 15

high number of circulating cells in the peripheral blood, such as DLBCL, as it relies on the detection of tumor specific DNA sequences that are found outside the cells (104,105). Despite the technical difficulties, improvement of these techniques can provide a better positive predictive value compared to PET scans which can be misinterpreted in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors and have an inflammatory response manifesting either as pseudoprogression or as a PR. Mutational load and deficiency of DNA-repair genes could also serve as possible biomarkers. Soluble PD-L1 levels have also been reported to correlate with response as well as OS and could be further developed as a potential biomarker (74).

Summary

Immune checkpoint inhibitors have demonstrated promising results in both HL and NHL when used in heavily pretreated patients and results are more impressive in HL. Ongoing trials are testing drug combinations including PD-1 inhibitors for either salvage or first line treatment in both HL and NHL. As immune checkpoint inhibitors become more available, future research should focus on their combination with immunomodulating agents and chemotherapy agents with immunomodulating properties in order to increase the efficacy of these medications and optimize the treatment outcomes. This is supported by strong evidence stemming from preclinical studies and has the potential to change the outcomes of lymphoma patients in the future. The optimal duration of treatment also remains unclear and will be determined with longer follow up of patients undergoing treatment. Assessment of response also requires further research for the development of new biomarkers that will allow MRD detection and early identification of patients that progress. Finally, further preclinical research is required to dissect the mechanisms via which HL responds to PD-1 blockade.

In conclusion, based on their extremely promising results, PD-1 inhibitors have already been incorporated in the treatment of HL and ongoing studies are testing their role in earlier stages of the disease and specific subgroups of patients. At the same time, PD-1 inhibitors are an emerging treatment for several types of NHL and more trials are currently under way. Identification of the optimal timing for use of these drugs, optimal duration of treatment as well as use in combination with other agents in order to increase their efficacy will hopefully improve the outcomes of lymphoma patients in the future.

Acknowledgments

Funding: SM Ansell receives research funding from Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, Affimed, Celldex and Seattle Genetics.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editor (Bruce D. Cheson) for the series "Inaugural Issue" published in *Annals of Lymphoma*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aol.2017.10.03). The series "Inaugural Issue" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. S Ansell serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Annals of Lymphoma* from Jan 2017 to Jan 2019, and reports research funding (to his institution) from Bristol Myers Squibb, Seattle Genetics, Regeneron, AI Therapeutics, Trillium, Affimed and ADC Therapeutics. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Yamazaki T, Akiba H, Iwai H, et al. Expression of programmed death 1 ligands by murine T cells and APC. J Immunol 2002;169:5538-45.
- Ansell SM, Lesokhin AM, Borrello I, et al. PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med 2015;372:311-9.

- Chen R, Zinzani PL, Fanale MA, et al. Phase II Study of the Efficacy and Safety of Pembrolizumab for Relapsed/ Refractory Classic Hodgkin Lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2017;35:2125-32.
- 4. Bretscher PA. A two-step, two-signal model for the primary activation of precursor helper T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999;96:185-90.
- Schwartz RH, Mueller DL, Jenkins MK, et al. T-cell clonal anergy. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 1989;54 Pt 2:605-10.
- 6. Appleman LJ, Boussiotis VA. T cell anergy and costimulation. Immunol Rev 2003;192:161-80.
- Tivol EA, Borriello F, Schweitzer AN, et al. Loss of CTLA-4 leads to massive lymphoproliferation and fatal multiorgan tissue destruction, revealing a critical negative regulatory role of CTLA-4. Immunity 1995;3:541-7.
- Waterhouse P, Penninger JM, Timms E, et al. Lymphoproliferative disorders with early lethality in mice deficient in Ctla-4. Science 1995;270:985-8.
- Nishimura H, Nose M, Hiai H, et al. Development of lupus-like autoimmune diseases by disruption of the PD-1 gene encoding an ITIM motif-carrying immunoreceptor. Immunity 1999;11:141-51.
- Nishimura H, Okazaki T, Tanaka Y, et al. Autoimmune dilated cardiomyopathy in PD-1 receptor-deficient mice. Science 2001;291:319-22.
- Karandikar NJ, Vanderlugt CL, Bluestone JA, et al. Targeting the B7/CD28:CTLA-4 costimulatory system in CNS autoimmune disease. J Neuroimmunol 1998;89:10-8.
- Oosterwegel MA, Greenwald RJ, Mandelbrot DA, et al. CTLA-4 and T cell activation. Curr Opin Immunol 1999;11:294-300.
- Salomon B, Bluestone JA. Complexities of CD28/B7: CTLA-4 costimulatory pathways in autoimmunity and transplantation. Annu Rev Immunol 2001;19:225-52.
- 14. Sansom DM. CD28, CTLA-4 and their ligands: who does what and to whom? Immunology 2000;101:169-77.
- Chambers CA, Kuhns MS, Egen JG, et al. CTLA-4mediated inhibition in regulation of T cell responses: mechanisms and manipulation in tumor immunotherapy. Annu Rev Immunol 2001;19:565-94.
- Bardhan K, Anagnostou T, Boussiotis VA. The PD1:PD-L1/2 Pathway from Discovery to Clinical Implementation. Front Immunol 2016;7:550.
- Francisco LM, Salinas VH, Brown KE, et al. PD-L1 regulates the development, maintenance, and function of induced regulatory T cells. J Exp Med 2009;206:3015-29.
- 18. Amarnath S, Mangus CW, Wang JC, et al. The PDL1-

PD1 axis converts human TH1 cells into regulatory T cells. Sci Transl Med 2011;3:111ra120.

- Keir ME, Butte MJ, Freeman GJ, et al. PD-1 and its ligands in tolerance and immunity. Annu Rev Immunol 2008;26:677-704.
- Cimino-Mathews A, Thompson E, Taube JM, et al. PD-L1 (B7-H1) expression and the immune tumor microenvironment in primary and metastatic breast carcinomas. Hum Pathol 2016;47:52-63.
- Dong H, Strome SE, Salomao DR, et al. Tumor-associated B7-H1 promotes T-cell apoptosis: a potential mechanism of immune evasion. Nat Med 2002;8:793-800.
- 22. Brusa D, Serra S, Coscia M, et al. The PD-1/PD-L1 axis contributes to T-cell dysfunction in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Haematologica 2013;98:953-63.
- Chen X, Liu S, Wang L, et al. Clinical significance of B7-H1 (PD-L1) expression in human acute leukemia. Cancer Biol Ther 2008;7:622-7.
- Mumprecht S, Schurch C, Schwaller J, et al. Programmed death 1 signaling on chronic myeloid leukemia-specific T cells results in T-cell exhaustion and disease progression. Blood 2009;114:1528-36.
- Yamamoto R, Nishikori M, Kitawaki T, et al. PD-1-PD-1 ligand interaction contributes to immunosuppressive microenvironment of Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood 2008;111:3220-4.
- 26. Green MR, Rodig S, Juszczynski P, et al. Constitutive AP-1 activity and EBV infection induce PD-L1 in Hodgkin lymphomas and posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders: implications for targeted therapy. Clin Cancer Res 2012;18:1611-8.
- 27. Green MR, Monti S, Rodig SJ, et al. Integrative analysis reveals selective 9p24.1 amplification, increased PD-1 ligand expression, and further induction via JAK2 in nodular sclerosing Hodgkin lymphoma and primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. Blood 2010;116:3268-77.
- Nicolae A, Pittaluga S, Abdullah S, et al. EBV-positive large B-cell lymphomas in young patients: a nodal lymphoma with evidence for a tolerogenic immune environment. Blood 2015;126:863-72.
- 29. Chen BJ, Chapuy B, Ouyang J, et al. PD-L1 expression is characteristic of a subset of aggressive B-cell lymphomas and virus-associated malignancies. Clin Cancer Res 2013;19:3462-73.
- Carreras J, Lopez-Guillermo A, Roncador G, et al. High numbers of tumor-infiltrating programmed cell death 1-positive regulatory lymphocytes are associated with

Page 12 of 15

improved overall survival in follicular lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:1470-6.

- 31. Dunleavy K, Wilson WH. How I treat HIV-associated lymphoma. Blood 2012;119:3245-55.
- Patel SP, Kurzrock R. PD-L1 Expression as a Predictive Biomarker in Cancer Immunotherapy. Mol Cancer Ther 2015;14:847-56.
- Bally AP, Austin JW, Boss JM. Genetic and Epigenetic Regulation of PD-1 Expression. J Immunol 2016;196:2431-7.
- 34. Chen YB, Mu CY, Chen C, et al. Association between single nucleotide polymorphism of PD-L1 gene and non-small cell lung cancer susceptibility in a Chinese population. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol 2014;10:e1-6.
- 35. Taube JM, Anders RA, Young GD, et al. Colocalization of inflammatory response with B7-h1 expression in human melanocytic lesions supports an adaptive resistance mechanism of immune escape. Sci Transl Med 2012;4:127ra37.
- Zhong X, Tumang JR, Gao W, et al. PD-L2 expression extends beyond dendritic cells/macrophages to B1 cells enriched for V(H)11/V(H)12 and phosphatidylcholine binding. Eur J Immunol 2007;37:2405-10.
- Latchman Y, Wood CR, Chernova T, et al. PD-L2 is a second ligand for PD-1 and inhibits T cell activation. Nat Immunol 2001;2:261-8.
- Inoue Y, Yoshimura K, Mori K, et al. Clinical significance of PD-L1 and PD-L2 copy number gains in non-smallcell lung cancer. Oncotarget 2016;7:32113-28.
- Roemer MG, Advani RH, Ligon AH, et al. PD-L1 and PD-L2 Genetic Alterations Define Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma and Predict Outcome. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2690-7.
- Shi M, Roemer MG, Chapuy B, et al. Expression of programmed cell death 1 ligand 2 (PD-L2) is a distinguishing feature of primary mediastinal (thymic) large B-cell lymphoma and associated with PDCD1LG2 copy gain. Am J Surg Pathol 2014;38:1715-23.
- 41. Chapuy B, Roemer MG, Stewart C, et al. Targetable genetic features of primary testicular and primary central nervous system lymphomas. Blood 2016;127:869-81.
- 42. Iwai Y, Ishida M, Tanaka Y, et al. Involvement of PD-L1 on tumor cells in the escape from host immune system and tumor immunotherapy by PD-L1 blockade. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:12293-7.
- Azuma T, Yao S, Zhu G, et al. B7-H1 is a ubiquitous antiapoptotic receptor on cancer cells. Blood 2008;111:3635-43.

- Georgescu L, Vakkalanka RK, Elkon KB, et al. Interleukin-10 promotes activation-induced cell death of SLE lymphocytes mediated by Fas ligand. J Clin Invest 1997;100:2622-33.
- 45. Parry RV, Chemnitz JM, Frauwirth KA, et al. CTLA-4 and PD-1 receptors inhibit T-cell activation by distinct mechanisms. Mol Cell Biol 2005;25:9543-53.
- 46. Sheppard KA, Fitz LJ, Lee JM, et al. PD-1 inhibits T-cell receptor induced phosphorylation of the ZAP70/CD3zeta signalosome and downstream signaling to PKCtheta. FEBS Lett 2004;574:37-41.
- 47. Patsoukis N, Brown J, Petkova V, et al. Selective effects of PD-1 on Akt and Ras pathways regulate molecular components of the cell cycle and inhibit T cell proliferation. Sci Signal 2012;5:ra46.
- Patsoukis N, Li L, Sari D, et al. PD-1 increases PTEN phosphatase activity while decreasing PTEN protein stability by inhibiting casein kinase 2. Mol Cell Biol 2013;33:3091-8.
- Pearce EL, Walsh MC, Cejas PJ, et al. Enhancing CD8 T-cell memory by modulating fatty acid metabolism. Nature 2009;460:103-7.
- Michalek RD, Gerriets VA, Jacobs SR, et al. Cutting edge: distinct glycolytic and lipid oxidative metabolic programs are essential for effector and regulatory CD4+ T cell subsets. J Immunol 2011;186:3299-303.
- Pileri SA, Ascani S, Leoncini L, et al. Hodgkin's lymphoma: the pathologist's viewpoint. J Clin Pathol 2002;55:162-76.
- Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Pileri SA, et al. The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. Blood 2016;127:2375-90.
- 53. Yamamoto R, Nishikori M, Tashima M, et al. B7-H1 expression is regulated by MEK/ERK signaling pathway in anaplastic large cell lymphoma and Hodgkin lymphoma. Cancer Sci 2009;100:2093-100.
- 54. Steidl C, Shah SP, Woolcock BW, et al. MHC class II transactivator CIITA is a recurrent gene fusion partner in lymphoid cancers. Nature 2011;471:377-81.
- 55. Yang ZZ, Grote DM, Ziesmer SC, et al. IL-12 upregulates TIM-3 expression and induces T cell exhaustion in patients with follicular B cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. J Clin Invest 2012;122:1271-82.
- 56. Yang ZZ, Novak AJ, Stenson MJ, et al. Intratumoral CD4+CD25+ regulatory T-cell-mediated suppression of infiltrating CD4+ T cells in B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood 2006;107:3639-46.
- 57. Greaves P, Clear A, Owen A, et al. Defining characteristics

of classical Hodgkin lymphoma microenvironment T-helper cells. Blood 2013;122:2856-63.

- Muenst S, Hoeller S, Dirnhofer S, et al. Increased programmed death-1+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in classical Hodgkin lymphoma substantiate reduced overall survival. Hum Pathol 2009;40:1715-22.
- Wilcox RA, Feldman AL, Wada DA, et al. B7-H1 (PD-L1, CD274) suppresses host immunity in T-cell lymphoproliferative disorders. Blood 2009;114:2149-58.
- Laurent C, Charmpi K, Gravelle P, et al. Several immune escape patterns in non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Oncoimmunology 2015;4:e1026530.
- Kwon D, Kim S, Kim PJ, et al. Clinicopathological analysis of programmed cell death 1 and programmed cell death ligand 1 expression in the tumour microenvironments of diffuse large B cell lymphomas. Histopathology 2016;68:1079-89.
- Georgiou K, Chen L, Berglund M, et al. Genetic basis of PD-L1 overexpression in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. Blood 2016;127:3026-34.
- 63. Rossille D, Gressier M, Damotte D, et al. High level of soluble programmed cell death ligand 1 in blood impacts overall survival in aggressive diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma: results from a French multicenter clinical trial. Leukemia 2014;28:2367-75.
- 64. Quan L, Chen X, Liu A, et al. PD-1 Blockade Can Restore Functions of T-Cells in Epstein-Barr Virus-Positive Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma In Vitro. PLoS One 2015;10:e0136476.
- 65. Bentz M, Barth TF, Bruderlein S, et al. Gain of chromosome arm 9p is characteristic of primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma (MBL): comprehensive molecular cytogenetic analysis and presentation of a novel MBL cell line. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2001;30:393-401.
- 66. McClanahan F, Hanna B, Miller S, et al. PD-L1 checkpoint blockade prevents immune dysfunction and leukemia development in a mouse model of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 2015;126:203-11.
- 67. Nunes C, Wong R, Mason M, et al. Expansion of a CD8(+) PD-1(+) replicative senescence phenotype in early stage CLL patients is associated with inverted CD4:CD8 ratios and disease progression. Clin Cancer Res 2012;18:678-87.
- Rusak M, Eljaszewicz A, Bolkun L, et al. Prognostic significance of PD-1 expression on peripheral blood CD4+ T cells in patients with newly diagnosed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Pol Arch Med Wewn 2015;125:553-9.

- Younes A, Santoro A, Shipp M, et al. Nivolumab for classical Hodgkin's lymphoma after failure of both autologous stem-cell transplantation and brentuximab vedotin: a multicentre, multicohort, single-arm phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2016;17:1283-94.
- 70. Timmerman J. Engert A, Younes A, et al. Checkmate 205 Update with Minimum 12-Month Follow up: A Phase 2 Study of Nivolumab in Patients with Relapsed/Refractory Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. Presented at the 58th American Society of Hematology (ASH) Annual Meeting. December 4-6, 2016; San Diego, CA. Abstract 1110.
- 71. Armand P, Shipp MA, Ribrag V, et al. Programmed Death-1 Blockade With Pembrolizumab in Patients With Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma After Brentuximab Vedotin Failure. J Clin Oncol 2016. [Epub ahead of print].
- 72. Lesokhin AM, Ansell SM, Armand P, et al. Nivolumab in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Hematologic Malignancy: Preliminary Results of a Phase Ib Study. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2698-704.
- 73. Zinzani PL, Ribrag V, Moskowitz CH, et al. Safety & tolerability of pembrolizumab in patients with relapsed/ refractory primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. Blood 2017;130:267-70.
- 74. Ding W, LaPlant BR, Call TG, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia with Richter's transformation and relapsed CLL. Blood 2017;129:3419-27.
- 75. Jain N, Basu S, Thompson PA, et al. Nivolumab combined with ibrutinib for CLL and Richter transformation: A phase II trial. 2016 ASH Annual Meeting. Abstract 59. Presented December 3, 2016.
- 76. Ansell S, Armand P, Timmerman JM, et al. Nivolumab in patients (Pts) with relapsed or refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma (R/R cHL): clinical outcomes from extended follow-up of a phase 1 study (CA209-039). Blood 2015;126:583.
- Reichel J, Chadburn A, Rubinstein PG, et al. Flow sorting and exome sequencing reveal the oncogenome of primary Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg cells. Blood 2015;125:1061-72.
- 78. Oudejans JJ, Jiwa NM, Kummer JA, et al. Analysis of major histocompatibility complex class I expression on Reed-Sternberg cells in relation to the cytotoxic T-cell response in Epstein-Barr virus-positive and -negative Hodgkin's disease. Blood 1996;87:3844-51.
- 79. Diepstra A, Niens M, Vellenga E, et al. Association with HLA class I in Epstein-Barr-virus-positive and with HLA class III in Epstein-Barr-virus-negative Hodgkin's

Page 14 of 15

lymphoma. Lancet 2005;365:2216-24.

- Kasamon YL, de Claro RA, Wang Y, et al. FDA Approval Summary: Nivolumab for the Treatment of Relapsed or Progressive Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. Oncologist 2017;22:585-91.
- Covut F, Pinto R, Cooper BW, et al. Nivolumab before and after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2017;52:1054-6.
- Merryman RW, Kim HT, Zinzani PL, et al. Safety and efficacy of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant after PD-1 blockade in relapsed/refractory lymphoma. Blood 2017;129:1380-8.
- Herbaux C, Gauthier J, Brice P, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of nivolumab after allogeneic transplantation for relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood 2017;129:2471-8.
- Kiyasu J, Miyoshi H, Hirata A, et al. Expression of programmed cell death ligand 1 is associated with poor overall survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Blood 2015;126:2193-201.
- Muenst S, Hoeller S, Willi N, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic utility of PD-1 in B cell lymphomas. Dis Markers 2010;29:47-53.
- 86. Westin JR, Chu F, Zhang M, et al. Safety and activity of PD1 blockade by pidilizumab in combination with rituximab in patients with relapsed follicular lymphoma: a single group, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2014;15:69-77.
- Kantekure K, Yang Y, Raghunath P, et al. Expression patterns of the immunosuppressive proteins PD-1/CD279 and PD-L1/CD274 at different stages of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma/mycosis fungoides. Am J Dermatopathol 2012;34:126-8.
- 88. Khodadoust M, Rook AH, Porcu P, et al. Pembrolizumab for Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory Mycosis Fungoides and Sezary Syndrome: Clinical Efficacy in a Citn Multicenter Phase 2 Study. Blood 2016;128:181.
- Kwong YL, Chan TS, Tan D, et al. PD1 blockade with pembrolizumab is highly effective in relapsed or refractory NK/T-cell lymphoma failing l-asparaginase. Blood 2017;129:2437-42.
- 90. Ansell SM, Hurvitz SA, Koenig PA, et al. Phase I study of ipilimumab, an anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody, in patients with relapsed and refractory B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15:6446-53.
- 91. Wang L, Qian J, Lu Y, et al. Immune evasion of mantle cell lymphoma: expression of B7-H1 leads to inhibited T-cell response to and killing of tumor cells. Haematologica 2013;98:1458-66.

- 92. Ansell S, Gutierrez ME, Shipp MA, et al. A Phase 1 Study of Nivolumab in Combination with Ipilimumab for Relapsed or Refractory Hematologic Malignancies (CheckMate 039). Blood 2016 128:183.
- 93. Honigberg LA, Smith AM, Sirisawad M, et al. The Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor PCI-32765 blocks B-cell activation and is efficacious in models of autoimmune disease and B-cell malignancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:13075-80.
- 94. Dubovsky JA, Beckwith KA, Natarajan G, et al. Ibrutinib is an irreversible molecular inhibitor of ITK driving a Th1-selective pressure in T lymphocytes. Blood 2013;122:2539-49.
- 95. Sagiv-Barfi I, Kohrt HE, Czerwinski DK, et al. Therapeutic antitumor immunity by checkpoint blockade is enhanced by ibrutinib, an inhibitor of both BTK and ITK. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112:E966-72.
- 96. Kondo K, Burger JA, Micheal K, et al. Ibrutinib Can Modulate the T Cell Response in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia By Reducing PD1/PDL1 Interactions. Blood 2015;126:1737.
- 97. Yang M, Liu P, Wang K, et al. Chemotherapy induces tumor immune evasion by upregulation of programmed cell death ligand 1 expression in bone marrow stromal cells. Mol Oncol 2017;11:358-72.
- Cheson BD, Pfistner B, Juweid ME, et al. Revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:579-86.
- 99. Chanan-Khan A, Miller KC, Lawrence D, et al. Tumor flare reaction associated with lenalidomide treatment in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia predicts clinical response. Cancer 2011;117:2127-35.
- 100. Chanan-Khan AA, Chitta K, Ersing N, et al. Biological effects and clinical significance of lenalidomide-induced tumour flare reaction in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: in vivo evidence of immune activation and antitumour response. Br J Haematol 2011;155:457-67.
- 101. Wolchok JD, Hoos A, O'Day S, et al. Guidelines for the evaluation of immune therapy activity in solid tumors: immune-related response criteria. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15:7412-20.
- 102.Cheson BD, Ansell S, Schwartz L, et al. Refinement of the Lugano Classification lymphoma response criteria in the era of immunomodulatory therapy. Blood 2016;128:2489-96.
- 103.Hodi FS, Hwu WJ, Kefford R, et al. Evaluation of Immune-Related Response Criteria and RECIST v1.1 in Patients With Advanced Melanoma Treated With

Page 15 of 15

Pembrolizumab. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:1510-7.

104. Armand P, Oki Y, Neuberg DS, et al. Detection of circulating tumour DNA in patients with aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Br J Haematol 2013;163:123-6.

doi: 10.21037/aol.2017.10.03

Cite this article as: Anagnostou T, Ansell SM. Responses and response evaluation of immune checkpoint inhibitors in lymphoma. Ann Lymphomad 2017;1:6. 105. Schwarzenbach H, Hoon DS, Pantel K. Cell-free nucleic acids as biomarkers in cancer patients. Nat Rev Cancer 2011;11:426-37.