

PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Section/topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
TITLE	•			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	Line Number 1	1
ABSTRACT				
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	Page Number 3-4	1
INTRODUCTION	•		•	
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	Page Number 5	1-4
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	Page Number 6	1
METHODS	•			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.		
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	Page Number 7	1
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	Page Number 6	2
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Page Number 6	2
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	Page Number 7	2
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	Page Number 7	2
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	Page Number 7	1

Summary measures 13 State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). Synthesis of results 14 Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., IP) for each meta-analysis. Risk of bias across studies 15 Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies). Additional analyses 16 Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified. RESULTS Study selection 17 Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Study characteristics 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. Risk of bias within studies 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Synthesis of individual studies 20 For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study; (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. Synthesis of results 21 Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15). Page Number 9-10 Page Numb					
Synthesis of results of the service of the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., IP) for each methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., IP) for each methods of handling data and sombining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency reporting within studies). Additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating page Number 8 which were pre-specified. RESULTS Study selection 17 Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Study selection 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. Risk of bias within studies 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Page Number 9 Page Nu		12		Page Number 7	3
results (e.g., P) for each meta-analysis. Risk of bias across studies 15 Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies). Additional analyses 16 Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which compares which were pre-specified. RESULTS Study selection 17 Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Study 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. Risk of bias within studies 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Page Number 9 Results of individual studies 20 For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. Synthesis of results 21 Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. Page Number 9-10 Risk of bias across 22 Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15). Page Number 9-10 Additional analysis 23 Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]). Page Number 10 Page Number 12 Page Number 12 Discussion 1 Page Number 12 Page Number 12 Page Number 12	•	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	Page Number 8	1
studies reporting within studies). Additional analyses and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at page Number 8 RESULTS Study selection 17 Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Study 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the cliations. Risk of bias within 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Page Number 9 Page Number 9 Page Number 9 For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. Synthesis of 20 Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. Page Number 9-10 Page Number 9-10 Risk of bias across 22 Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15). Page Number 9 Additional analysis 23 Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]). Page Number 9 P	•	14		Page Number 8	1
analyses which were pre-specified. RESULTS Study selection 17 Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Study 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. Risk of bias within 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Page Number 9 Page Number 9-10 Page Num		15		Page Number 8	1
Study selection 17 Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Study characteristics 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. Risk of bias within studies 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Page Number 9 Page Number 9 Page Number 9-10 individual studies 20 For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study; (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. Synthesis of results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. Page Number 9-10 Page Number 10		16		Page Number 8	1
each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Study characteristics 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. Risk of bias within studies 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Results of individual studies 20 For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. Synthesis of results 21 Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. Page Number 9-10 Page Number 9-10 Page Number 9 Additional analysis 23 Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]). Page Number 10 Discussion Summary of evidence 24 Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers). Limitations 25 Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias) and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of	RESULTS				
characteristics provide the citations. Risk of bias within studies 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). Page Number 9 Page Number 9-10 individual studies Page Number 9-10 intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. Synthesis of results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. Page Number 9-10 Page Number 10 Page Number 12 Pag	Study selection	17		Page Number 8	2
Results of individual studies Page Number 9 Page Number 9-10 Page Number 9 Page Number 10	•	18		Page Number 8	3
individual studies intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. Synthesis of results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. Page Number 9-10 Page Number 9 Additional analysis 23 Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]). Page Number 10 DISCUSSION Summary of evidence 24 Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).		19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	Page Number 9	1
Page Number 9-10 Risk of bias across studies 22 Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15). Additional analysis 23 Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]). Page Number 9 Page Number 9 Page Number 10 Page Number 10 DISCUSSION Summary of evidence 24 Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers). Limitations 25 Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias) and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of page Number 12)		20		Page Number 9-10	
Additional analysis 23 Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]). Page Number 10 DISCUSSION Summary of evidence 24 Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers). Limitations 25 Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias) and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of	•	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	Page Number 9-10	
DISCUSSION Summary of evidence 24 Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers). Limitations 25 Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias) and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of		22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	Page Number 9	1
Summary of evidence 24 Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers). Limitations 25 Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of	Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	Page Number 10	2
evidence key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers). Page Number 12 Page Number 12 Page Number 12	DISCUSSION				
Limitations 25 Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of	•	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page Number 12	1
identified research, reporting bias).	Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	Page Number 12	2-5
Conclusions 26 Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research. Page Number 13	Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	Page Number 13	2

FUNDING	FUNDING							
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	Page Number 15	1				

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit: www.prisma-statement.org.

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-20-31

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.