<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

accession number if available, and source

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		n/a
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	The information of cell line was provided in the section	
Provide accession number in repository OR	of materials and methods/cell culture/	
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,	PC12 cells (cancer cell line from rat adrenal gland	
OR RRID	pheochromocytoma) (RCB 0009, RRID:CVCL_0481)were	
	purchased from Riken Cell Bank, Ibaraki, Japan.	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		n/a
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		n/a
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		n/a
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		n/a
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		n/a
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		n/a
		1

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		n/
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		а

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	The protocols were provided in the section of materials	
by-step protocols are available.	and methods.	
	doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.285000.	
	doi: 10.21873/invivo.11174	
	doi: 10.3390/antiox10010076	
	doi: 10.1016/s0024-3205(01)00950-x	
	doi: 10.21873/invivo.11955	

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	The sample size determination related statistics details were provided in the section of materials and methods/ statistical analysis. All data, expressed as the mean ± SD, were analyzed statistically by GNU PSPP Statistical Analysis Software (version 0.8.2-gad9374) (https://www.gnu.org/software/pspp/) and EZAnalyze Excel-based tools (http://www.ezanalyze.com/). One-way analysis of the variance was followed by the Post Hoc tests (including Tukey's test and Bonferroni Correction). Statistical significance was considered when P < 0.05. Each group contains at least 5 samples. All experiments were repeated 3 – 5 times independently.	
Randomisation	. ,	n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		n/a

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Provided in the section of materials and methods/ statistical analysis. All experiments were repeated 3 – 5 times independently.	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Data describe both technical and biological replicates.	

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		n/a
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		n/a
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		n/a

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		n/a
state the authority granting approval and reference		
number for the regulatory approval		

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		n/a
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Provided in the section of materials and methods/	
tests.	statistical analysis.	
	One-way analysis of the variance was followed by the	
	Post Hoc tests (including Tukey's test and Bonferroni	
	Correction). Statistical significance was considered	
	when P < 0.05.	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		n/a
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		n/a
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		n/a
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Provided in the section of materials and methods/ statistical analysis. https://www.gnu.org/software/pspp/ http://www.ezanalyze.com	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		n/a

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-12