



A narrative review of giant cell arteritis in China

Edsel B. Ing^{1^}, Alis Qinyuan Xu², John Liu², Felix Tyndel^{1,3}, Arun N. E. Sundaram^{1,3}, Wai Ching Lam^{1,4}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; ²Department of Family Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; ³Department of Neurology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: EB Ing, J Liu, AQ Xu; (II) Administrative support: EB Ing, WC Lam, F Tyndel, ANE Sundaram; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: None; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: EB Ing, J Liu, AQ Xu, WC Lam; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Edsel B. Ing, MD, FRCSC, MPH, MIAD, PhD. Michael Garron Hospital, 650 Sammon, K306m Toronto, ON, Canada.
Email: edinglidstrab@gmail.com.

Objective: To determine the incidence of giant cell arteritis (GCA) in China.

Background: GCA is the most common primary vasculitis in the elderly and can cause bilateral irreversible blindness, aortitis, stroke and rarely death. The incidence of GCA in China is thought to be low, but has not been formally examined.

Methods: A narrative review of the English language publications concerning GCA in China was performed on the PubMed and Google Scholar databases from inception to May 30, 2021.

Conclusions: Five case series of GCA patients in China from Beijing, Changsha and Hong Kong showed the average number of GCA patients at the different hospitals varied from 1.7 to 9.6 patients per year. A retracted, outlier study from Lanzhou, China reported up to 336 patients per year. Latitude, smoking prevalence, percentage of Han Chinese ancestry, and average life expectancy in each city did not seem to influence the number of GCA patients. Excluding the outlier study, the incidence of GCA in China remains low. Overlap in the diagnosis of GCA with Takayasu arteritis may account for reports of higher relative incidence. To determine accurate incidence rates for GCA in China future studies require an accurate age-appropriate population denominator.

Keywords: Giant cell arteritis (GCA); epidemiology; China

Received: 15 April 2021; Accepted: 14 July 2021; Published: 30 September 2021.

doi: 10.21037/lcm-21-18

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-18>

Introduction

Giant cell arteritis (GCA) is the most common primary vasculitis of the elderly and can cause blindness, aortitis and stroke. The incidence of GCA varies by case definition and geographic location and may be as high as 43.6 cases per 100,000 individuals 50 years of age or older in Iceland (1). Northern Europe is thought to have the highest incidence of GCA, the rest of Europe has an intermediate incidence,

while Japan and Asia report few cases of GCA (1-3). A small North American series of 38 patients with biopsy-proven GCA suggested that GCA is thirty times less frequent in Asians than Caucasians (4). However, the incidence of GCA in China has not been formally summarized in a narrative review. We present the following article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-18>).

[^] ORCID: 0000-0003-0623-0934.

Table 1 Reports of GCA from various cities in China

Paper (year)	City	Latitude	Age, years	% male	Population per city in millions (9)	Life expectancy per city (10)	Smoking prevalence per city (11), men/women	% age Han Chinese	Reported GCA patients/year
Zou <i>et al.</i> (5) (in 2019)*	Lanzhou	36° N	61.1	48	3.1	74.5 (in 2020)	41.2%/1.8	99.8 (2)	140 to 336
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (6) (in 2019)	Beijing** (1/1998–12/2017)	40° N	65.3	45	20.4	82.2 (in 2018)	41.5%/2.1	95.7 (12)	4.6
Chu <i>et al.</i> (7) (in 2019)	Beijing** (11/1998–10/2017)	40° N	65.3	45	20.4	82.2 (in 2018)	41.5%/2.1	95.7 (12)	3.2
Sun <i>et al.</i> (8) (in 2016)	Beijing** (9/1992–5/2014)	40° N	66.4	50	20.4	82.2 (in 2018)	41.5%/2.1	95.7 (12)	3.2
Tam <i>et al.</i> (13) (in 2008)	Hong Kong	22° N	≥67.7	32	7.5	84.7 (in 2017)	18.6%/3.2	92.0 (14)	3.8 to 4.8
Hu <i>et al.</i> (15) (in 2002)***	Changsha	28° N	43.1	94	4.6	79.1 (in 2017)	–	99.2 (16)	1.7 to 9.6

*, the article by Zou *et al.* has been retracted, but the publication is still online. **, these studies were all from the Peking Union Medical College (PUMC) with overlapping time frames. ***, only 3 of the patients in Hu's series were 50 years of age or older, and perhaps Takayasu arteritis patients were included. Thirteen of the sixteen patients diagnosed with GCA met the American College of Rheumatology criteria for GCA. % male: percent male; % age: percentage. GCA, giant cell arteritis.

Methods

Literature searches were performed on Pubmed and Google Scholar from inception until May 30, 2021, using the keywords “giant cell arteritis”, “temporal arteritis”, “China” and “Chinese”. All case series were included, but isolated case reports were excluded. The number of patients from each hospital and the duration of the chart review were used to determine the annual number of GCA patients per year from each institution. If the study only specified the year without information about the months of the study a minimum and maximum time range was used to calculate the annual number of GCA patients per year. The latitude, life expectancy, smoking prevalence and percentage of Han Chinese ancestry of each city corresponding to the location of the hospital were tabulated. Except for the study by Tam *et al.* an incidence rate could not be calculated, because the population denominator of patients 50 years of age or over in the catchment area serviced by each hospital could not be precisely determined.

Discussion

Six case series of GCA from China were found on the literature review (5-16) and summarized in *Table 1*. The

article by Zou *et al.* (5) was retracted a year later. It is unclear if Zou's radiology article was retracted due to problems with the radiologic aspects of the article rather than epidemiology. To examine the totality of evidence and avert possible publication bias, the information from Zou's paper was placed in the *Table 1*.

The three GCA studies from Beijing (6-8) originated from the Peking Union Medical College and had large overlaps in their time frames of study.

The incidence rate of GCA by country per 100,000 population aged 50 years or greater varies from 0.34 in Hong Kong (13) to 43.6 in Iceland (1). The incidence rate of GCA in mainland China appears to be low, but indeterminate for the hospitals in mainland China because the referral patterns and population denominator for each institution were not accurately discernable.

Also, the overlap between Takayasu arteritis and GCA may make it difficult to determine figures accurately.

GCA is a disease of immunosenescence, and age is the major risk factor for GCA in North America (16). The city in China with the highest life expectancy was Hong Kong, but it did not have the highest number of annual GCA patients per institution.

In general, more patients with GCA are female but

this may be because women outlive men in most societies, including China (17). The GCA patients in Hu's series were predominantly male and exceptionally young for GCA and likely had Takayasu arteritis. Gender was not a statistically significant predictor for GCA in North America (18).

There may be a genetic predisposition for GCA and an association with HLA-DRB1*04 (19). We could not find an association between Han Chinese ancestry and HLA-DRB1*04.

Infections such as shingles may minimally increase the risk of GCA (20) but vitamin D insufficiency (21), sunlight and latitude (22) have not been definitively shown to influence GCA. We were unable to locate city-specific data for herpes zoster infection or vitamin D levels for the cities in *Table 1*.

Hong Kong had the lowest prevalence of male smokers, and the prevalence of smokers in Lanzhou was not different from Beijing (11). Although smoking may increase the risk of GCA (23) it does not appear to correlate with the frequency of GCA in the four Chinese cities in *Table 1*.

Lanzhou, China has the smallest population and lowest life expectancy of the 4 cities in the table, but had 30–70 fold more GCA patients than Beijing. Ethnic intermingling in Lanzhou does not explain the high number of GCA patients in Lanzhou, given the report of 99.8% Han Chinese ancestry. Geographic factors do not seem to explain the high number of GCA patients in Lanzhou either; the latitude of Lanzhou is 36° N which is comparable to Beijing at 40° N.

Given the high number of GCA patients in the reports by Zou *et al.* and Hu *et al.*, it is plausible that patients with Takayasu arteritis were inadvertently included as GCA. Traditionally, the key difference between Takayasu and GCA was age. Patients with Takayasu are younger and have less temporal artery involvement than GCA patients, but may have more carotidynia and limb claudication (24). Notwithstanding, Takayasu arteritis and GCA have many strong similarities and the arteriographic patterns of disease may overlap in 56% of North American patients (25). If the Changsha and Lanzhou data represent true cases of GCA, then further genetic or environmental studies in these regions may help to unravel the etiology of GCA.

Conclusions

In summary, the reported incidence rate of GCA in Hong Kong is low at 0.34 per 100,000 patients 50 years of age or older and is also likely rare in mainland China. Although

GCA may be rare it can cause irreversible blindness, aortitis and stroke. As such accurate incidence studies are required to determine the disease burden, and for public health planning.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the Narrative Review reporting checklist. Available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-18>

Peer Review File: Available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-18>

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-18>). All authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

- Sharma A, Mohammad AJ, Turesson C. Incidence and prevalence of giant cell arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica: A systematic literature review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2020;50:1040-8.
- Lee JL, Naguwa SM, Cheema GS, et al. The geo-epidemiology of temporal (giant cell) arteritis. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2008;35:88-95.
- Gonzalez-Gay MA, Martinez-Dubois C, Agudo M,

- et al. Giant cell arteritis: epidemiology, diagnosis, and management. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2010;12:436-42.
4. Pereira LS, Yoon MK, Hwang TN, et al. Giant cell arteritis in Asians: a comparative study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2011;95:214-6.
 5. Zou Q, Ma S, Zhou X. Ultrasound versus temporal artery biopsy in patients with Giant cell arteritis: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Med Imaging* 2019;19:47. Retracted in: *BMC Med Imaging* 2020;20:54.
 6. Zhang Y, Wang D, Chu X, et al. Differences in clinical manifestations and prognosis of Chinese giant cell arteritis patients with or without polymyalgia rheumatica. *Ir J Med Sci* 2019;188:713-20.
 7. Chu X, Wang D, Zhang Y, et al. Comparisons of clinical manifestations and prognosis between giant cell arteritis patients with or without sensorineural hearing loss: A retrospective study of Chinese patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019;98:e15286.
 8. Sun F, Ma S, Zheng W, et al. A Retrospective Study of Chinese Patients With Giant Cell Arteritis (GCA): Clinical Features and Factors Associated With Severe Ischemic Manifestations. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95:e3213.
 9. PopulationStat. China Population. Available online: <https://populationstat.com/china/>
 10. Wikipedia. List of cities in China by life expectancy. Available online: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_cities_in_China_by_life_expectancy
 11. Centers for Disease Control. China's Adult Tobacco Survey Captures a Comprehensive View of Tobacco Use in 14 Cities. Available online: <https://blogs.cdc.gov/global/2015/08/18/gtss/>
 12. World Population Review. Beijing Population 2019. Available online: <http://worldpopulationreview.com/world-cities/beijing/>
 13. Tam S, Wong TC. Temporal arteritis in Hong Kong. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2008;11:163-9.
 14. Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. 2016 Population By-census - Summary Results. Available online: <https://www.byccensus2016.gov.hk/en/bc-mt.html>
 15. Hu Z, Yang Q, Zeng S, et al. Giant cell arteritis in China: a prospective investigation. *Angiology* 2002;53:457-63.
 16. Hunan Bureau of Statistic. Available online: https://web.archive.org/web/20160331215130/http://www.hntj.gov.cn/vital_statistics/census/default.htm#part1
 17. Ortiz-Ospina E, Beltekian D. Our World in Data. Available online: <https://ourworldindata.org/why-do-women-live-longer-than-men>
 18. Ing EB, Miller NR, Nguyen A, et al. Neural network and logistic regression diagnostic prediction models for giant cell arteritis: development and validation. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2019;13:421-30.
 19. Carmona FD, González-Gay MA, Martín J. Genetic component of giant cell arteritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2014;53:6-18.
 20. England BR, Mikuls TR, Xie F, et al. Herpes Zoster as a Risk Factor for Incident Giant Cell Arteritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017;69:2351-8.
 21. de Carvalho JF, Shoenfeld Y. High frequency of vitamin D insufficiency in polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis: preliminary results. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2021;25:574-5.
 22. De Smit E, Clarke L, Sanfilippo PG, et al. Geo-epidemiology of temporal artery biopsy-positive giant cell arteritis in Australia and New Zealand: is there a seasonal influence? *RMD Open* 2017;3:e000531.
 23. Brennan DN, Ungprasert P, Warrington KJ, et al. Smoking as a risk factor for giant cell arteritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2018;48:529-37.
 24. Stamatis P. Giant Cell Arteritis versus Takayasu Arteritis: An Update. *Mediterr J Rheumatol* 2020;31:174-82.
 25. Grayson PC, Maksimowicz-McKinnon K, Clark TM, et al. Distribution of arterial lesions in Takayasu's arteritis and giant cell arteritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:1329-34.

doi: 10.21037/lcm-21-18

Cite this article as: Ing EB, Xu AQ, Liu J, Tyndel F, Sundaram ANE, Lam WC. A narrative review of giant cell arteritis in China. *Longhua Chin Med* 2021;4:25.