

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-69>

Reviewer Comments

Comment 1: Methods: A methods section would help the reader to understand the equipment used and the scales (e.g., Daniel's scale). Please add a detailed methods section.

Reply 1: Thank you for this suggestion. It would have been interesting to add a Methods section. However, in the case of our study, we have followed the CARE guidelines according the methods section and we have noticed that in most of case reports published (also in references) a material and methods section is not available. We have attached the CARE guidelines that had already been sent.

Changes in the text: Line 114, We added "We followed the CARE statement guidelines"

Comment 2: Lines 46-47: Please improve this sentence for clarity.

Reply 2: We have deleted this sentence as it is explained in the referenced article

Changes in the text: We deleted this phrase

Comment 3: Lines 44-45: Mention that there are ongoing clinical trials

Reply 3: We have mentioned that there are ongoing clinical trials

Changes in the text: 79-88

Comment 4: Figure 1. It is not clear what is the difference between left and right images, please clarify

Reply 4: There is no difference, is the same X-ray with different views, its noted that it is a X- ray just after surgery.

Changes in the text: None

Comment 5: Table 1 and 4: Please provide the description of the abbreviations used in the Table legend (e.g., ROM, MB, R/L)

Reply 5: Abreviations are listed in the tables

Changes in the text: The abbreviations were added in table 1 and table 4

Comment 6: Table 2: Typo at the second column, second row (rehabilitacion).

Reply 6: Modified

Changes in the text: Table 2, 2nd column, 2nd row

Comment 7: Lines 174-184: The results would be more interesting if a percent pre- and post- report was available for variables with positive changes.

Reply 7: We have added TABLE 5 with the improvements percents pre- and post-report

Changes in the text: Line 71, TABLE 5 was added

Comment 8: Lines 179-181: Punctuation errs.

Reply 8: Modified

Changes in the text: 257

Comment 9: Cite and discuss relevant reviews:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3135628/>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2005290118300050>

Reply 9: Citation and discussion of these reviews were added

Changes in the text: 337-367