



Optimal neoadjuvant chemotherapy for resectable advanced gastric cancer

Eisuke Booka, Hiroya Takeuchi

Department of Surgery, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Shizuoka, Japan

Correspondence to: Hiroya Takeuchi, MD, PhD. Department of Surgery, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, 1-20-1 Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka 431-3192, Japan. Email: takeuchi@hama-med.ac.jp.

Comment on: Kang YK, Yook JH, Park YK, *et al.* PRODIGY: a phase III study of neoadjuvant docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and S-1 plus surgery and adjuvant S-1 versus surgery and adjuvant S-1 for resectable advanced gastric cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2021;39:2903-13.

Received: 01 February 2022; Accepted: 18 February 2022; Published: 30 March 2022.

doi: 10.21037/dmr-22-14

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/dmr-22-14>

In Europe, where D2 surgery is not a standard treatment, perioperative fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and docetaxel (FLOT) is the current standard treatment for resectable gastric cancer (1). However, surgery alone often provides a favorable prognosis, and postoperative S-1 or capecitabine plus oxaliplatin is the current standard therapy in Eastern Asian countries, including Japan, South Korea, and China, where D2 surgery is the standard treatment, according to the ACTS-GC and CLASSIC trials (2,3). Thus, evidence for neoadjuvant chemotherapy has not been established. It has been suggested that neoadjuvant chemotherapy is effective only for advanced gastric cancer, which is thought to have an extremely high recurrence risk.

In recent years, S-1 + oxaliplatin (SOX regimen), which uses oxaliplatin, the same platinum medication as cisplatin, has become frequently used in daily practice as the first-line treatment for unresectable advanced or recurrent gastric cancer (4). A phase II study was conducted in South Korea to investigate the significance of performing three courses of triple therapy [docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and S-1 (DOS)] with docetaxel (DOX) in addition to these two medications (SOX) before surgery for cT3–4 or cT2N (+) gastric cancer (5). The histological complete response (pCR) rate was 19.5% (8/41), which was a very promising result. Therefore, a phase III study (PRODIGY) was conducted in which the standard treatment of postoperative S-1 therapy followed by D2 surgery (SC group) and the trial treatment of preoperative DOS + postoperative S-1 therapy followed by D2 surgery (CSC group) were randomly compared for cT2–3N (+) or cT4 gastric cancer (6).

Preoperative DOS therapy was completed in three courses in 89.9% of the CSC group, with only 2.1% experiencing tumor growth during treatment. As treatment-related adverse events of Grade 3 or higher in the CSC group, neutropenia was 12.6%, febrile neutropenia was 9.2%, diarrhea was 5.0%, and treatment-related death during preoperative treatment was 0.8%. The R0 resection rate in surgery was 96.4% in the CSC group (238 cases) and 85.8% in the SC group (246 cases) ($P < 0.0001$), with the D2 lymph node dissection rate being 98.1% in both the CSC and SC groups. Surgery-related Grade 3 or higher adverse events were observed in 6.3% of the CSC group and 8.5% of the SC group, and one patient (0.45%) died of pulmonary embolism in the CSC group. In the CSC group, pCR was observed at 10.4% ($P < 0.0001$). The primary endpoint of 3-year progression-free survival (PFS) was 60.2% in the SC group, and 66.3% in the CSC group, and the hazard ratio (HR) was 0.70 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.52–0.95, $P = 0.023$], indicating a statistically significant difference. There was no statistically significant difference in overall survival (OS) between the CSC and SC groups (HR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.60–1.19, $P = 0.338$). The 3-year OS was 74.2% (95% CI: 67.7–79.6%) in the CSC group and 73.4% (95% CI: 67.0–78.7%) in the SC group.

Although there was no significant difference in OS, PRODIGY showed improvement in PFS, which is the primary endpoint; therefore, it can be considered a positive study. Although the preoperative DOS therapy in this study has a doubtful low rate of Grade 3 or higher neutropenia of 12.6%, it has a high completion rate of approximately 90%, pCR is obtained at about 10%, and progression

disease is observed at only 2%. Given these factors, DOS therapy is considered a promising regimen. However, care must be taken when interpreting the findings of this study. In this study, if R0 resection was not obtained, the cases were treated as events at the time of surgery, and the difference in the PFS curve that opened at the surgery remains unchanged. From the result, patients who had R0 resection with upfront surgery may not require neoadjuvant chemotherapy (7). However, if R0 resection could not be obtained during surgery, the protocol was terminated and the post-treatment was freely selected. In this study, there was no difference in OS, and post-treatment may result in equivalent OS even if upfront surgery did not cause R0 resection. It is unclear whether neoadjuvant chemotherapy improves OS.

To perform neoadjuvant chemotherapy, treatment methods must be decided based on inaccurate preoperative staging. Even in relatively early cases that can be cured by surgery alone, harmful anticancer medications may be administered, which can result in fatal adverse events in some cases. Therefore, in clinical practice, it is preferable to limit neoadjuvant chemotherapy to highly advanced cases where R0 surgery may be impossible unless tumor shrinkage due to neoadjuvant chemotherapy is observed, such as gastric cancer with bulky lymph node metastasis or paraaortic lymph node metastasis (8,9).

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Digestive Medicine Research*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://dmr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/dmr-22-14/coif>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article

distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Al-Batran SE, Homann N, Pauligk C, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and docetaxel versus fluorouracil or capecitabine plus cisplatin and epirubicin for locally advanced, resectable gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (FLOT4): a randomised, phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet* 2019;393:1948-57.
2. Sakuramoto S, Sasako M, Yamaguchi T, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer with S-1, an oral fluoropyrimidine. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:1810-20.
3. Bang YJ, Kim YW, Yang HK, et al. Adjuvant capecitabine and oxaliplatin for gastric cancer after D2 gastrectomy (CLASSIC): a phase 3 open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2012;379:315-21.
4. Yamada Y, Higuchi K, Nishikawa K, et al. Phase III study comparing oxaliplatin plus S-1 with cisplatin plus S-1 in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced gastric cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2015;26:141-8.
5. Park I, Ryu MH, Choi YH, et al. A phase II study of neoadjuvant docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and S-1 (DOS) chemotherapy followed by surgery and adjuvant S-1 chemotherapy in potentially resectable gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2013;72:815-23.
6. Kang YK, Yook JH, Park YK, et al. PRODIGY: a phase III study of neoadjuvant docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and S-1 plus surgery and adjuvant S-1 versus surgery and adjuvant S-1 for resectable advanced gastric cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2021;39:2903-13.
7. Yoshida K, Koda Y, Kochi M, et al. Addition of docetaxel to oral fluoropyrimidine improves efficacy in patients with stage III gastric cancer: interim analysis of JACCRO GC-07, a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2019;37:1296-304.
8. Takahari D, Ito S, Mizusawa J, et al. Long-term outcomes of preoperative docetaxel with cisplatin plus S-1 therapy for gastric cancer with extensive nodal metastasis

- (JCOG1002). *Gastric Cancer* 2020;23:293-9.
9. Ito S, Sano T, Mizusawa J, et al. A phase II study of preoperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, cisplatin, and S-1 followed by gastrectomy with D2 plus para-aortic

lymph node dissection for gastric cancer with extensive lymph node metastasis: JCOG1002. *Gastric Cancer* 2017;20:322-31.

doi: 10.21037/dmr-22-14

Cite this article as: Booka E, Takeuchi H. Optimal neoadjuvant chemotherapy for resectable advanced gastric cancer. *Dig Med Res* 2022;5:4.