

## Peer Review File

Article information: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gpm-21-11>

---

Comment 1: Please take care that the title has to be clearly mentioned that if it is a Review of literature by meta analysis or systematic review. This title is not suitable. Also describing the detail of the study. as the title is too broad for covering the spectrum which actually is.

Reply 1: Thank You, as suggested by editorial review it has been changed.

Comment 2: Please do also note that if this is title is mentioned as it is, all relevant articles from reputed publications need to be touched

Reply 2: It's a narrative review, not all articles are included.

Comment 3: Please noted that when you mention the FDA warning of 2014, care should also be taken to update about the FDA 2020 guidelines which cannot be ignored. A review should be covering all recent literature related to this. and a FDA guideline is very important that you cannot afford to miss.

Reply 3: here it was mentioned as an historical milestone on the theme, but You're right, it is mentioned forward

Comment 4: Please could you rephrase this sentence as this message is unclear

Reply 4: Rephrased, hope it's clear now.

Comment 5: Would like to bring to your kind attention that you cannot ignore the significance of MRI here

Reply: added, thank You

Comment 6: Please consider to change the spelling or word

Reply: corrected

Comment 7: Please describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.

Reply 7: after 2014 FDA banning a lot has been published on the argument. We tried to simplify the debated aspects through the most cited articles in English from different countries in a narrative review.

Comment 8: Please could you narrate in a table or algorithm the process for selecting studies with its due screening, eligibility, which were included in your systematic review?

Reply 8: as previously said it's a narrative review.

Comment 9: Please could you explain how your review has listed and defined all variables such as in this lines, for which data were sought and if any assumptions and simplifications made to those?.

Reply 9: Sorry could You please rephrase the question? The introduction is descriptive.

Comment 10: Please spell check

Reply 10: corrected

Comment 11: Please explain the assessment of the risk of bias across studies, which might have resulted in such cumulative evidence?

Replay 11: do You mean bias as surgeons experience? Anyway it has been added

Comment 12: lines 236-324: This section needs to be formatted with different categories, mentioning about the data concerning Myomectomies / Hysterectomies in Laparotomy and Laparoscopic routes, uncontained morcellation and contained morcellation. Because the presentation of data and interpretation is difficult to assimilate and leaves reader confused.

Reply 12: I tried to better define that

Comment 13: The interpretation of available data is not clear in the message given. Risk of bias assessment is required.

Reply 13: Corrected

Comment 14: data interpretation is unclear here.

Reply 14: corrected

Comment 15: Please consider to change the phrase

Reply 15: done

Comment 16: Please consider to change the phrase required: surgery time was significantly reduced.

Reply 16 : sorry for the mistake, thank You, corrected

Comment 17: Please Rephrase

Reply 17: Done, Thank You.

Comment 18: the low incidence of LMS in this survey outcome may also be worth a mention.

Reply 18: mentioned

Comment 19: CT scan

Reply 19: corrected. Thank You.

Comment 20: Please consider to change the spelling or word

Reply 20: done

Comment 21: If this is the continuation of the previous images, please ensure correct labelling (maybe 3a, 3b)

Reply 21: corrected Thank You

Comment 22: If this sentence is mentioned, then please do also take care to mention the 2020 FDA revision of this.

Reply 22: done

Comment 23: English language required please

Reply 24: Thank You

Comment 24: Please note that it maybe worth mentioning what parameters are important in MRI , since the Ultrasound parameter are mentioned in former line.

Reply 24: radiological features are discussed in a separated article in the same journal number as established from editorial office

Comment 25: Please note that It could be worth mentioning the good old vaginal techniques too, without any morcellation.

Reply 25: mentioned, thanks

Comment 26: Please note English language here

Reply 26: thanks

Comment 27: Please note if this applied here as Mesenchymal? Our topic is uterine leiomyoma and hence a specific terminology need be mentioned.

Reply 27: changed, thank You.