

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gpm-23-24>

Review Comments

Comment 1- I suggest round of language revision, in order to correct few typos and improve readability;

[Reply 1: We have polished our manuscript carefully and corrected the grammatical, styling, and typos found in our manuscript.](#)

Comment 2- Considering topic and results of this study, the authors could extend the discussion by evaluating current evidence about surgical approaches for cervical cancer, considering various outcomes/possible complications and how each patient's tailored-treatment could be improved. I would be glad if the authors discuss this important point, referring to PMID: 37149905.

[Reply 2: We thank you for this good suggestion and have extended the discussion of surgical approaches for cervical cancer based on our department studies \(PMID: 33497723, 35788328, 34078579\).](#)

[Changes in the text: Page 13, line 235-254](#)

Comment 3- To date, the management of HPV infection/ cervical dysplasia and early-stage cervical cancer represents still a challenge. It would be interesting to discuss how various factors could be crucial in the development of cervical cancer and how could influence the persistence and / or recurrence of cervical pathologies. In particular, I suggest these articles to get deeper in the topic PMID: 36992282.

[Reply 3: We have added this discussion.](#)

[Changes in the text: Page 13, line 257-266.](#)