

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gpm-23-44>

Review Comments

Thank you for submitting this editorial commentary. I think it generally provides a nice overview of the article but just have a couple of suggestions.

you make no comment about the population recruited in the study. Is this population representative of the area / country / is there a range of ethnicity / ages / educational levels etc. If not please comment on the potential bias that this may have on the results and recommendations.

Reply 1: We have specified that this study was conducted in Sweden and includes 13 participants. We discussed that patients were recruited through purposive sampling, which inherently introduces some bias.

Changes in the Text: Line 27-29, lines 62-69

Within the paragraph starting on line 85 would talk about post partum intervention and support and information to be given at pre natal visits about the importance of pelvic health but there is no mention of the need to start preventative strategies antenatally or about individual risk assessment which would be good to mention.

Reply 2: We have modified the text as advised.

Changes in the Text: lines 96-97