

Checklist of Items to Include When Reporting a Systematic Review Involving a Network Meta-analysis

Section/Topic	Item No*	Checklist item†	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
TITLE				
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review <i>incorporating a network meta-analysis (or related form of meta-analysis)</i> .	page1/line1–2	Title
ABSTRACT				
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: Background: main objectives Methods: data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal; and <i>synthesis methods, such as network meta-analysis</i> . Results: number of studies and participants identified; summary estimates with corresponding confidence/credible intervals; <i>treatment rankings may also be discussed. Authors may choose to summarize pairwise comparisons against a chosen treatment included in their analyses for brevity.</i> Discussion/Conclusions: limitations; conclusions and implications of findings. Other: primary source of funding; systematic review registration number with registry name.	page2–3/line19–44	Abstract
INTRODUCTION				
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known, <i>including mention of why a network meta-analysis has been conducted</i> .	page2/line46–74	Introduction/Paragraph1–5
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed, with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	page2–3/line46–74	Introduction/Paragraph6
METHODS				
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists and if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address); and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number.	page3/line76–78	Registration/Paragraph1
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. <i>Clearly describe eligible treatments included in the treatment network, and note whether any have been clustered or merged into the same node (with justification).</i>	page2–3/line81–91	Inclusion criteria/Paragraph1
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	page3/line101–111	Literature search/Paragraph2

Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	page1/line1-2	Appendix/Paragraph1
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	page3/line114-120	Literature screening and data extraction/Paragraph1
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	page3/line120-125	Literature screening and data extraction/Paragraph1
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	page3/line120-124	Literature screening and data extraction/Paragraph1
Geometry of the network	S1	Describe methods used to explore the geometry of the treatment network under study and potential biases related to it. This should include how the evidence base has been graphically summarized for presentation, and what characteristics were compiled and used to describe the evidence base to readers.	page4/line138-145	Statistical analysis/Paragraph1
Risk of bias within individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	page3-4/line128-132	Risk of bias assessment/Paragraph1
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). <i>Also describe the use of additional summary measures assessed, such as treatment rankings and surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) values, as well as modified approaches used to present summary findings from meta-analyses.</i>	page4/line135-153	Statistical analysis
Planned methods of analysis	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies for each network meta-analysis. This should include, but not be limited to: <i>Handling of multigroup trials;</i> <i>Selection of variance structure;</i> <i>Selection of prior distributions in Bayesian analyses; and Assessment of model fit.</i>	page4/line135-153	Statistical analysis
Assessment of inconsistency	S2	Describe the statistical methods used to evaluate the agreement of direct and indirect evidence in the treatment network(s) studied. Describe efforts taken to address its presence when found.	page4/line135-153	Statistical analysis
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	page4/line135-153	Statistical analysis
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses if done, indicating which were prespecified. This may include, but not be limited to, the following: Sensitivity or subgroup analyses; Meta-regression analyses; <i>Alternative formulations of the treatment network; and</i> <i>Use of alternative prior distributions for Bayesian analyses (if applicable).</i>	page4/line135-153	Statistical analysis

RESULTS‡				
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	page4/i i ne157-169	Literature search and basic features
Presentation of network structure	S3	Provide a network graph of the included studies to enable visualization of the geometry of the treatment network.	page11-14/i i ne230-255	Evidence Network
Summary of network geometry	S4	Provide a brief overview of characteristics of the treatment network. This may include commentary on the abundance of trials and randomized patients for the different interventions and pairwise comparisons in the network, gaps of evidence in the treatment network, and potential biases reflected by the network structure.	page11-14/i i ne230-255	Evidence Network
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	page5-10/i i ne206-209	Literature search and basic features
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment.	page11/i i ne213-226	Quality evaluation of the included literature
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: 1) simple summary data for each intervention group, and 2) effect estimates and confidence intervals. <i>Modified approaches may be needed to deal with information from larger networks.</i>	page13-14/i i ne258-319	Network Meta Analysis Results
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence/credible intervals. <i>In larger networks, authors may focus on comparisons versus a particular comparator (e.g., placebo or standard care), with full findings presented in an appendix. League tables and forest plots may be considered to summarize pairwise comparisons.</i> If additional summary measures were explored (such as treatment rankings), these should also be presented.	page17/i i ne335-337	Network Meta Analysis Results
Exploration for inconsistency	S5	Describe results from investigations of inconsistency. This may include such information as measures of model fit to compare consistency and inconsistency models, P values from statistical tests, or summary of inconsistency estimates from different parts of the treatment network.	page10-11/i i ne229-246	Evidence Network
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies for the evidence base being studied.	page13-14/i i ne258-319	Network Meta Analysis Results
Results of additional analyses	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression analyses, <i>alternative network geometries studied, alternative choice of prior distributions for Bayesian analyses, and so forth</i>).	page13-14/i i ne258-319	Network Meta Analysis Results

DISCUSSION				
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings, including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., health care providers, researchers, and policymakers).	page20/line387–411	Discussion/Paragrap1-2
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias). <i>Comment on the validity of the assumptions, such as transitivity and consistency. Comment on any concerns regarding network geometry (e.g., avoidance of certain comparisons).</i>	page20–21/line412–428	Discussion/Paragrap3
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	page21/line412–428	Conclusions
FUNDING				
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review. This should also include information regarding whether funding has been received from manufacturers of treatments in the network and/or whether some of the authors are content experts with professional conflicts of interest that could affect use of treatments in the network.	page21/line450	Funding

* Boldface indicates new items to this checklist.

† Text in italics indicates wording specific to reporting of network meta-analyses that has been added to guidance from the PRISMA statement.

‡ Authors may wish to plan for use of appendices to present all relevant information in full detail for items in this section.

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs-22-767>

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.